

AGENDA CITY OF HAINES CITY, FLORIDA CITY COMMISSION MEETING

May 1, 2025, 7:00 p.m. City Hall Commission Chambers 620 E. Main Street, Haines City, FL 33844 Phone: 863-421-9921 Web: hainescity.com

NOTICE – Pursuant to Section 286.0105 of the Florida Statutes, if any person decides to appeal any decision made by the City Commission with respect to any matter considered at this public meeting, such person will need a record of the proceedings and for such purpose, such person may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, including the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.

For special accommodations, please notify the City Clerk's Office at least 72 hours in advance.

Help for the hearing impaired is available through the Assistive Listening System. Receivers can be obtained from the City Clerk's Office. In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), persons with a disability, such as a vision, hearing or speech impairment, or persons needing other types of assistance, and who wish to attend City Commission meetings or any other board or committee meeting may contact the City Clerk's Office in writing, or may call 863-421-9921 for information regarding available aids and services.

Pages

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. INVOCATION
- 3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 4. PRESENTATIONS AND PROCLAMATIONS
 - 4.a Special Thanks to Outgoing Mayor
 - 4.b Reorganization of The City Commission- Mayor
 - 4.c Reorganization of the City Commissioner-Vice Mayor
 - 4.d Oath of Office- City Commissioner Seat #5

5. CONSENT AGENDA

6.

7.

The action proposed is stated for each item on the Consent Agenda. Unless a City Commissioner removes an item from the Consent Agenda, no discussion on individual items will occur and a single motion will approve all items.

5.a	Addendum 1 to Pennoni Agreement for the 6th Street Water Line Improvements -Carryover Project	7
	Approve Addendum 1 to the 6 th Street Water Line Improvements agreement	
	with Pennoni.	
	Staff Contact: James Keene, Deputy City Manager	
5.b	Cemetery Deeds	17
	Staff Contact: Sharon Lauther, City Clerk, MMC	
5.c	Approval of Minutes	27
	April 17, 2025 - City Commission Meeting	
	April 17, 2025 - City Commission Workshop	
	Staff Contact: Sharon Lauther, City Clerk, MMC	
OLD I	BUSINESS	
6.a	Ordinance No. 25-2104 – Amending Chapter 24, Sec. 24-117 Code of Ordinances and Ordinance No. 22-2015 regarding Multi Modal Transportation Impact Fees – Second Reading	37
	Adopt Ordinance No. 24-2104 – Amending Chapter 24, Sec. 24-117, Code of	
	Ordinances and Ordinance No. 22-2015, regarding Multi-Modal Transportation	
	Impact Fees on Second Reading.	
	Staff Contact: Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director	
NEW	BUSINESS	
7.a	Ordinance No. 25-2101 – Minor Modification to the Sand Hill Shores RPUD – First Reading	151
	Approve Ordinance No. 25-2101, on first reading, regarding a minor	
	modification to the RPUD for the project Sand Hill Shores RPUD.	
	Staff Contact: Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director	
7.b	Ordinance No. 25-2106– Bridgemohan Property Voluntary Annexation – First Reading	167
	Approve Ordinance No. 25-2106, regarding the voluntary annexation for the	
	Bridgemohan property located at 5283 Johnson Avenue East on first reading.	

Staff Contact: Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director

7.c Ordinance No. 25-2107 – Voluntary Annexation of Joel Massey Property – First Reading Approve Ordinance No. 25-2107 regarding the voluntary annexation for the

Joel Massey Property located at 64 & 68 Joel Massey Road on first reading.

Staff Contact: Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director

7.d Ordinance No. 25-2110 Fiscal Year 2024-2025 Budget Amendment No 2 – First Reading

Approve Ordinance No. 25-2110 on first reading which will amend the budget for fiscal year ending September 30, 2025 to assign excess funds for carryover projects pursuant to Florida Statute requirements.

Staff Contact: Omar DeJesus, Finance Director, CPA

8. PUBLIC COMMENTS - REGARDING ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

- 9. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT
- 10. CITY CLERK'S REPORT
- 11. CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT
- 12. COMMISSION COMMENTS
- 13. ADJOURNMENT

183



WWW.HAINESCITY.COM

OATH OF OFFICE

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF POLK CITY OF HAINES CITY

I, ______, do solemnly swear that I will support, protect, and defend the Constitution and Government of the United States and the State of Florida; that I am duly qualified to hold office under the Constitution of the State and the Charter of the City of Haines City; and that I will well and faithfully perform the duties of City Commissioner, on which I am about to enter, so help me God.

City Commissioner, Seat #5

Sworn to and subscribed before me, as City Clerk of the City of Haines City, Florida, on this 1st day of May, 2025.

(SEAL)

Sharon Lauther, MMC City Clerk



WWW.HAINESCITY.COM

CITY MANAGER MEMORANDUM

To: The Honorable Mayor and City Commissioners

Through: James R. Elensky, City Manager

From: James Keene, Deputy City Manager

Date: May 1, 2025

Subject: Addendum 1 to Pennoni Agreement for the 6th Street Water Line Improvements -Carryover Project

Executive Summary

Approve Addendum 1 to the 6th Street Water Line Improvements agreement with Pennoni. Staff Contact: James Keene, Deputy City Manager

Introduction

The intent of this item is to request City Commission approval of Addendum 1 to the 6th Street Water Line Improvements agreement with Pennoni.

Background

This project replaces approximately 1,100 feet of undersized 1.5-inch to 2-inch galvanized water lines with new 6-inch water lines on 6th Street between Claude Holmes Sr. Avenue and Lily Avenue. On June 2, 2022, the City Commission approved an agreement with Pennoni for design, permitting and bidding. An unsuccessful attempt was made to piggyback construction of this project; therefore, the project must be formally bid. Addendum 1 provides funding for project manual preparation, bidding services and technical services during construction.

Organizational Goal(s)

Infrastructure: Maintain, protect and design infrastructure that ensures a desired level of service and provides for future needs.

Budget Impact

The budget impact is \$55,000 and it is budgeted under ADG account #401-10-53-6-6-63-10. This is an approved carryover project.



Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of Addendum 1 to the 6th Street Water Line Improvements agreement with Pennoni.



401 Third Street SW Winter Haven, FL 33880 T: 863-324-1112 F: 863-294-6185

www.pennoni.com

April 14, 2025

Proposal No. HACIX21003P

Mr. James Keene, Deputy City Manager City of Haines City 620 East Main Street Haines City, Florida 33845

RE: ADDENDUM 1 TO PROJECT AGREEMENT 21-01 TO RETAINER AGREEMENT – 6TH STREET WATER LINE IMPROVEMENTS

Dear Mr. Keene:

We are pleased to submit this Addendum 1 to Agreement 21-01 with the City to provide additional consulting engineering services for the referenced Project. This Agreement describes our scope of services to assist the City with additional bidding and construction engineering services for this Project.

Upon review and approval, please sign and return one executed copy of this Addendum to our office.

Please call if you have any questions. We sincerely appreciate the opportunity to assist the City of Haines City with this important Project.

Sincerely Pennoni

Steven & Elips

Steven L. Elias, P.E. Associate Vice President

Jogen & Homan

Roger L. Homann Water and Wastewater Division Manager

U:\Accounts\HACIX\HACIX21003 - 6th Street Water Main Replacement\ADMIN\CONTRACT_ADDTL SVCS\HACIX21003P 6th Street Water Line - Addendum 1.docx

ADDENDUM 1 to PROJECT AGREEMENT 21-01

By and Between

CITY OF HAINES CITY – and – PENNONI ASSOCIATES INC.

Project

6TH STREET WATER LINE IMPROVEMENTS

- **1.0 GENERAL:** This is Addendum 1 to Project Agreement 21-01 under the Retainer Agreement between the City of Haines City (City, Client, or Owner) and Pennoni Associates Inc. (Engineer or Pennoni) for continuing professional engineering services dated March 25, 2021. Except as provided for herein, the provisions of Retainer Agreement 21-01 between the City and Engineer shall apply to this Project Agreement.
- **2.0 EMPLOYMENT:** The City hereby retains the continuing professional engineering services of Engineer in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein and agrees in respect to the performance of professional engineering services by Engineer and the payment for those services by City as set forth herein.
- **3.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION:** The City commissioned Pennoni on June 2, 2022, to prepare design plans and specifications to replace approximately 1,100' of undersized 1.5" and 2" galvanized water lines within the 6th Street right-of-way with a new 6" water line to improve the level of service for local residents. Pennoni's design plans were completed in April of 2024 and Pennoni assisted the City with procurement of a construction contractor via a piggy-back arrangement in 2024. Because the City's initial procurement using piggy-backing with the contractor was unsuccessful, the City now desires for Pennoni to assist the City with bidding and providing technical services during construction using the conventional design-bid-build approach. Based on discussion with City staff, the scope of work herein was developed using the following assumptions:
 - City staff will provide front-end documents (bidding and contracting documents) to be included in a Project Manual including technical specifications to be prepared by Pennoni.
 - The assumed construction duration for the contractor to achieve substantial completion is 240 days from the Notice To proceed (NTP) date.
 - The contractor will achieve final completion 30 days after substantial completion.
 - The City will require the contractor to provide an as-built survey performed by a Florida licensed professional land surveyor, and will submit same to the City in digital (AutoCAD) format.

- **4.0 PURPOSE:** The purpose of this Agreement is to authorize Pennoni to provide the services described in Section 5.0 herein for the fee described in Section 6.0 herein.
- **5.0 SCOPE OF WORK:** Pennoni will provide, or obtain from others, professional engineering design services for this Project. Pennoni's services will include serving as the City's engineering representative for the Project, providing customary professional civil engineering and consulting services. Pennoni makes no warranties, express or implied, under this Agreement or otherwise, in connection with Pennoni's services. The following sections describe Pennoni's scope of work for this Project.
 - **5.1 Project Manual Preparation:** Pennoni will compile a Project Manual to be used for bidding the project using front-end documents (bidding and contracting documents) provided by the City and technical specifications prepared by Pennoni.
 - **5.2 Bidding Services:** Pennoni will provide assistance to the City in obtaining, receiving, and evaluating bids and awarding and executing the Construction Contract as described below.
 - **5.2.1** <u>Pre-Bid Conference</u>: Assist City to conduct a Pre-Bid Conference to review and answer questions from prospective bidders regarding the Construction Documents.
 - **5.2.2** <u>Respond to Questions</u>: Assist City to provide written responses to questions from bidders.
 - **5.2.3** <u>Addenda</u>: Assist City to prepare and issue Addenda to the Construction Documents, if required, which will address the questions raised at the Pre-Bid Conference and respond to other issues and questions.
 - **5.2.4** <u>Bid Review</u>: Assist City to review submitted bids, tabulate the bids, check the low bidders' references, and submit a bid award letter of recommendation for the lowest, responsible bidder.
 - **5.2.5** <u>Assist with Completing Construction Contract</u>: After the City awards the bid, assist the City with preparing and executing the Construction Contract.
 - **5.3 Technical Services during Construction:** Pennoni will provide technical services during construction of the Project as described below:
 - **5.3.1** <u>Issue Construction Documents</u>: Provide one (1) copy of Construction Documents (i.e., Construction Plans/Specifications and contract documents) to the selected Contractor.
 - **5.3.2** <u>Respond to Questions</u>: Respond to the Contractor's questions to help clarify the intent of the design documents.
 - **5.3.3** <u>Pre-Construction Meeting</u>: Conduct one pre-construction conference with representatives of the City and the Contractor to provide a clear understanding of the objectives and goals to be achieved in this Project and prepare a written summary of the salient points of this meeting and distribute to the appropriate parties.

- **5.3.4** Periodic Construction Observation and Engineering Support: Provide construction engineering support and make one (1) weekly visit to the site during the course of construction to observe and document construction for conformance with the general intent of the civil construction plans, specifications, and permit applications prepared by Pennoni. Fees for this proposed work scope item were determined assuming a total construction contract time duration of 270 days after notice to proceed, including 240 days for project substantial completion, and 30 additional days for project final completion.
- **5.3.5** <u>Shop Drawing Review</u>: Review Contractor's shop drawing submittals, respond to the Contractor's requests for information, and maintain a log of associated correspondence.
- 5.3.6 <u>Pay Application Review</u>: Review and process Contractor's pay applications.
- **5.3.7** <u>Progress Meetings</u>: Prepare for and attend monthly progress meetings conducted by the City. Pennoni will prepare meeting summary memorandum(s) to document discussions.
- **5.3.8** <u>Substantial Completion</u>: When the site work construction is substantially complete and when requested by the contractor(s) and approved by the City, conduct one site visit to observe the substantially completed construction, prepare a punch list of the observed work items to be completed in general conformance with the approved plans and permits, and provide the City and the Contractor with the punch list.
- **5.3.9** <u>Final Completion</u>: When the site work construction is 100% complete and when requested by the Contractor and approved by the City, make one site visit per project to observe the completed construction & review completed punch list items.
- 5.3.10 <u>Record Drawings</u>: Based on the Contractor's as-builts*, prepare Record Drawings of the system modification information.
 *After the improvements are completely constructed, the Contract Documents require the contractor to provide Pennoni with certified (signed and sealed by a Florida Registered Professional Land Surveyor and Mapper) and electronic drawings in AutoCAD format, which clearly illustrate the as-built conditions of the construction. These as-builts shall fulfill the requirements of the City, FDEP, and Pennoni, and be used as the basis of our Record Drawings.
- **5.3.11** <u>Certification</u>: If construction is deemed sufficient by Pennoni, submit prepared Certifications of Completion for the completed project to the Polk County Health Department and City as applicable.

- **6.0 Exclusions:** All other services not explicitly described in Section 5.0 above and based on the assumptions described herein will be performed as an Additional Service upon request and written approval by the City, including but not limited to the following:
 - Subcontracted environmental specialty consultants;
 - Right-of-way or easement acquisition assistance or preparing legal description documents to support these efforts;
 - Any special agency field testing or study requirements for permitting (geotechnical, SUE, shoring, etc.);
 - Excessive reviews (greater than two) of contractor submittals (shop drawings, pay applications, asbuilts, etc.);
 - Paying for outside review or application fees;
 - Expert testimony;
 - All other services not explicitly described in Section 5.0.

7.0 PENNONI'S COMPENSATION

7.1 Our lump sum fees, excluding reimbursable costs, to provide the above-described services are given below.

	otal \$55,000
Technical Services during Construction	<u>\$45,000</u>
Bidding Services (additional amount, \$3,840.38 remains in origi	al budget)\$5,000
Project Manual Preparation	\$5,000

- **7.2** Should the Client elect to expand the Scope of Work to include work tasks not covered in this agreement, Pennoni will perform the requested additional work tasks based on: (A) A mutually agreed upon fixed fee; or (B) The time we spend and the costs we incur to perform the work in accordance with our current Schedule of Hourly Rates and Reimbursable Costs.
- **7.3** It is understood and agreed that cost tradeoffs among the various cost categories and work tasks are allowable, so long as the total estimated cost of all work tasks is not exceeded without the City's written approval.
- **8.0 CITY'S RESPONSIBILITIES:** The City shall do the following in a timely manner so as to assist Pennoni in its work and not delay the performance of services by Pennoni.
 - **8.1** Designate a City representative with respect to the services to be rendered under this Supplement who will have complete authority to transmit instructions, receive information, and interpret and define City's policies and decisions with respect to Pennoni's services for this Project.
 - 8.2 Promptly review, comment on, and return Pennoni's submittals.

- **8.3** Payment of all permit application and review fees and other costs not included in this proposal are the responsibility of the City.
- **8.4** Promptly advise Pennoni when the City becomes aware of any defect or deficiency in Pennoni's services.
- **8.5** Furnish Pennoni with all information as to City requirements, including any special or extraordinary considerations for the Project, and make available existing pertinent data as identified in the Scope of Work as necessary (maps, as-built drawings, etc.).
- **8.6** Provide access to all City sites and facilities related to the Project.
- **8.7** Provide review(s) of Pennoni construction documents for technical and legal sufficiency.

9.0 OTHER MATTERS

- **9.1** The terms of the Retainer Agreement between City and Engineer shall apply to this Project Agreement as applicable. References to the Pennoni/ Consultant/ Engineer regarding Limitation of Liability also pertain to the Project's Engineer of Record.
- **9.2** The obligation to provide services under this Project Agreement may be terminated by either party upon seven days written notice, in the event of substantial failure by the other party to perform in accordance with the terms hereof through no fault of the terminating party. In the event of any termination, Pennoni will be paid for all services rendered.
- **9.3** Because Pennoni has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment or services furnished by others, or over methods of determining prices, or over competitive bidding or market conditions, any and all opinions as to costs rendered hereunder, including but not limited to opinions as to the costs of construction and materials, shall be made on the basis of its experience and qualifications and represent its best judgment as an experienced and qualified professional, familiar with the construction industry. Pennoni cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual costs will not vary from opinions of probable cost prepared by it. If at any time the City wishes greater assurance as to the amount of any cost, the City shall employ an independent cost estimator to make such determination.
- **9.4** This proposal may be void if not executed within 45 days.
- **9.5** In the performance of its work, Pennoni will rely on readily available and historic information (plans, as-built drawings, manuals, specifications, reports, etc.) provided by the City and by others without research to verify the accuracy of said information.

9.6 PURSUANT TO 558.0035 F.S., AN AGENT OR INDIVIDUAL EMPLOYEE OR AGENT OF PENNONI ASSOCIATES, INC CANNOT BE HELD INDIVIDUALLY LIABLE FOR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM NEGLIGENCE OCURRING WITHIN THE COURSE AND SCOPE OF THIS PROFESSIONAL SERVCIES CONTRACT OR THE PERFORMANCE OF PROFESSIONAL SERVCIES HEREUNDER. BY SIGNING THIS AGREEMENT, YOU HAVE ACCEPTED THIS LIMITATION OF LIABILITY.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement in duplicate on the

_____ of _____ 2025. (Day) (Month)

CITY OF HAINES CITY

Attest, City Clerk

Mayor, City of Haines City

PENNONI ASSOCIATES INC.

Steven & Cleas

BY: _____

Steven Elias, P.E., Associate Vice President

U:\Accounts\HACIX\HACIX21003 - 6th Street Water Main Replacement\ADMIN\CONTRACT_ADDTL SVCS\HACIX21003P 6th Street Water Line - Addendum 1.docx

THIS INSTRUMENT PREPARED BY Sharon Lauther, MMC City Clerk HAINES CITY 620 East Main Street HAINES CITY, FLORIDA 33844 PLEASE RECORD & RETURN TO PREPARER

THIS INDENTURE, Made this 17th day of April, 2025, between the CITY OF HAINES CITY, a municipal corporation, created and existing under the constitution and laws of the State of Florida, being located in Polk County, Florida, party of the first part, and Luis A Quintero-Gonzalez, whose permanent address is 3000 Hwy 17-92, Lot #93, Haines City, FL 33844 of the second part.

WITNESSETH, That the said party of the first part for and in consideration of the sum of **\$400.00** to it in hand paid, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, sold, aliened, remised, released, conveyed and confirmed, and by these presents doth grant, bargain, sell, alien, remise, release, convey and confirm unto the said Luis A Quintero-Gonzalez of the second part, his or her or its heirs and assigns forever, all that certain parcel of land lying and being in the **Forest Hill Cemetery** in the County of Polk and State of Florida, more particularly described as follows:

CEM: ORIGNL Block: COL3 Lot : WEST Space: C12

together with every privilege, right, title, interest and estate, reversion, remainder and easement thereunto belonging or anywise appertaining.

TO HAVE and TO HOLD the same in fee simple forever.

The said grant and all of the right, title, estate, interest and claim arising under and by virtue of this deed is subject to Ordinance No. 20-1676 of the City of Haines City, dated February 6, 2020 and subsequent amendments thereto regulating burials within the corporate limits and establishing rules and regulations for the operation of the City's Cemeteries; the conduct of persons therein; the construction of vaults and memorials therein; and providing for a penalty for violation thereof.

Particular attention is called to the following provisions of Chapter 8 Cemeteries of said Ordinance concerning lot sales, to wit:

(a) The sale of burial rights within cemeteries owned and/or operated by the City shall be the function of the Cemetery Administrator. The Cemetery Administrator may refuse to sell any such burial space to any person if such sale would be detrimental to the cemeteries or its permanent records and if such sale would violate any state would violate any state law prohibiting speculation in and/or on cemetery property.

(b) Transfer of ownership by deed. No owner of a cemetery spaces(s) shall transfer the space or any rights therein to a person other than the City without first allowing the Cemetery Administrator or designee to review the instrument for such transfer and provide reliable evidence of the owner's right to make such transfer.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said party of the first part has caused these presents to be signed in its name by its Mayor-Commissioner, its corporate seal to be affixed, attested by its City Clerk the day and year first herein above written.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the presence of:

Witness

Print 620 E Main St. Haines City FL 33844

Witness

Print 620 E Main St. Haines City FL 33844

CITY OF HAINES CITY

620 East Main Street Haines City, Florida 33844 Telephone (863)-421-3600

By:

	Omar Arroyo, Mayor-Commissioner
Attes	t
By:	
	Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF POLK

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by means of □ physical presence or □ online notarization, this ______ day of _____, 20___ by ______ ____ who is personally known to me: ______ or has produced _______ ____ as identification.

(Signature of Notary Public - State of Florida)

(Print, Type, or Stamp Commissioned Name of Notary Public)

THIS INDENTURE, Made this 1st day of May, 2025, between the CITY OF HAINES CITY, a municipal corporation, created and existing under the constitution and laws of the State of Florida, being located in Polk County, Florida, party of the first part, and Rosetta Richard, whose permanent address is 9055 South Bay Drive, Haines City, FL 33844 of the second part.

WITNESSETH, That the said party of the first part for and in consideration of the sum of **\$600.00** to it in hand paid, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, sold, aliened, remised, released, conveyed and confirmed, and by these presents doth grant, bargain, sell, alien, remise, release, convey and confirm unto the said Rosetta Richard of the second part, his or her or its heirs and assigns forever, all that certain parcel of land lying and being in the **Oakland Cemetery** in the County of Polk and State of Florida, more particularly described as follows:

CEM: OAKLAND Block: G Lot: 49 Space: 7 CEM: OAKLAND Block: G Lot: 56 Space: 1

together with every privilege, right, title, interest and estate, reversion, remainder and easement thereunto belonging or anywise appertaining.

TO HAVE and TO HOLD the same in fee simple forever.

The said grant and all of the right, title, estate, interest and claim arising under and by virtue of this deed is subject to Ordinance No. 20-1676 of the City of Haines City, dated February 6, 2020 and subsequent amendments thereto regulating burials within the corporate limits and establishing rules and regulations for the operation of the City's Cemeteries; the conduct of persons therein; the construction of vaults and memorials therein; and providing for a penalty for violation thereof.

Particular attention is called to the following provisions of Chapter 8 Cemeteries of said Ordinance concerning lot sales, to wit:

(a) The sale of burial rights within cemeteries owned and/or operated by the City shall be the function of the Cemetery Administrator. The Cemetery Administrator may refuse to sell any such burial space to any person if such sale would be detrimental to the cemeteries or its permanent records and if such sale would violate any state would violate any state law prohibiting speculation in and/or on cemetery property.

(b) Transfer of ownership by deed. No owner of a cemetery spaces(s) shall transfer the space or any rights therein to a person other than the City without first allowing the Cemetery Administrator or designee to review the instrument for such transfer and provide reliable evidence of the owner's right to make such transfer.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said party of the first part has caused these presents to be signed in its name by its Mayor-Commissioner, its corporate seal to be affixed, attested by its City Clerk the day and year first herein above written.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the presence of:

Witness

Print 620 E Main St. Haines City FL 33844

Witness

Print 620 E Main St. Haines City FL 33844

CITY OF HAINES CITY

620 East Main Street Haines City, Florida 33844 Telephone (863)-421-3600

By:

Omar Arroyo, Mayor-Commissioner
Attest
By:
Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF POLK

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by means of □ physical presence or □ online notarization, this ______ day of _____, 20___ by ______ ____ who is personally known to me: ______ or has produced _______ ____ as identification.

(Signature of Notary Public - State of Florida)

(Print, Type, or Stamp Commissioned Name of Notary Public)

THIS INSTRUMENT PREPARED BY Sharon Lauther, MMC City Clerk HAINES CITY 620 East Main Street HAINES CITY, FLORIDA 33844 PLEASE RECORD & RETURN TO PREPARER

THIS INDENTURE, Made this 17th day of April, 2025, between the CITY OF HAINES CITY, a municipal corporation, created and existing under the constitution and laws of the State of Florida, being located in Polk County, Florida, party of the first part, and Chimeka Townsend, whose permanent address is 4726 Dunrobin Drive, Apt B Hope Mills, NC 28348-5729 of the second part.

WITNESSETH, That the said party of the first part for and in consideration of the sum of **\$600.00** to it in hand paid, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, sold, aliened, remised, released, conveyed and confirmed, and by these presents doth grant, bargain, sell, alien, remise, release, convey and confirm unto the said Chimeka Townsend of the second part, his or her or its heirs and assigns forever, all that certain parcel of land lying and being in the **Oakland Cemetery** in the County of Polk and State of Florida, more particularly described as follows:

CEM: OAKLAND Block: G Lot: 17 Space: 6

together with every privilege, right, title, interest and estate, reversion, remainder and easement thereunto belonging or anywise appertaining.

TO HAVE and TO HOLD the same in fee simple forever.

The said grant and all of the right, title, estate, interest and claim arising under and by virtue of this deed is subject to Ordinance No. 20-1676 of the City of Haines City, dated February 6, 2020 and subsequent amendments thereto regulating burials within the corporate limits and establishing rules and regulations for the operation of the City's Cemeteries; the conduct of persons therein; the construction of vaults and memorials therein; and providing for a penalty for violation thereof.

Particular attention is called to the following provisions of Chapter 8 Cemeteries of said Ordinance concerning lot sales, to wit:

(a) The sale of burial rights within cemeteries owned and/or operated by the City shall be the function of the Cemetery Administrator. The Cemetery Administrator may refuse to sell any such burial space to any person if such sale would be detrimental to the cemeteries or its permanent records and if such sale would violate any state would violate any state law prohibiting speculation in and/or on cemetery property.

(b) Transfer of ownership by deed. No owner of a cemetery spaces(s) shall transfer the space or any rights therein to a person other than the City without first allowing the Cemetery Administrator or designee to review the instrument for such transfer and provide reliable evidence of the owner's right to make such transfer.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said party of the first part has caused these presents to be signed in its name by its Mayor-Commissioner, its corporate seal to be affixed, attested by its City Clerk the day and year first herein above written.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the presence of:

Witness

Print 620 E Main St. Haines City FL 33844

Witness

Print 620 E Main St. Haines City FL 33844

CITY OF HAINES CITY

620 East Main Street Haines City, Florida 33844 Telephone (863)-421-3600

By:

Omar Arroyo, Mayor-Commissio	oner
Attest	
By:	
Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Cler	′k

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF POLK

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by means of □ physical presence or □ online notarization, this ______ day of _____, 20___ by ______ ____ who is personally known to me: ______ or has produced _______ ____ as identification.

(Signature of Notary Public - State of Florida)

(Print, Type, or Stamp Commissioned Name of Notary Public)

THIS INSTRUMENT PREPARED BY Sharon Lauther, MMC City Clerk HAINES CITY 620 East Main Street HAINES CITY, FLORIDA 33844 **PLEASE RECORD & RETURN TO PREPARER**

THIS INDENTURE, Made this 1st day of May, 2025, between the CITY OF HAINES CITY, a municipal corporation, created and existing under the constitution and laws of the State of Florida, being located in Polk County, Florida, party of the first part, and Brenda Ayala, whose permanent address is 8712 Micmac Court, Polk City, FL 33868 of the second part.

WITNESSETH, That the said party of the first part for and in consideration of the sum of **\$600.00** to it in hand paid, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, sold, aliened, remised, released, conveyed and confirmed, and by these presents doth grant, bargain, sell, alien, remise, release, convey and confirm unto the said Brenda Ayala of the second part, his or her or its heirs and assigns forever, all that certain parcel of land lying and being in the **Forest Hill Cemetery** in the County of Polk and State of Florida, more particularly described as follows:

CEM: ADD 2 Block: Z Lot : 35 Space: 6

together with every privilege, right, title, interest and estate, reversion, remainder and easement thereunto belonging or anywise appertaining.

TO HAVE and TO HOLD the same in fee simple forever.

The said grant and all of the right, title, estate, interest and claim arising under and by virtue of this deed is subject to Ordinance No. 20-1676 of the City of Haines City, dated February 6, 2020 and subsequent amendments thereto regulating burials within the corporate limits and establishing rules and regulations for the operation of the City's Cemeteries; the conduct of persons therein; the construction of vaults and memorials therein; and providing for a penalty for violation thereof.

Particular attention is called to the following provisions of Chapter 8 Cemeteries of said Ordinance concerning lot sales, to wit:

(a) The sale of burial rights within cemeteries owned and/or operated by the City shall be the function of the Cemetery Administrator. The Cemetery Administrator may refuse to sell any such burial space to any person if such sale would be detrimental to the cemeteries or its permanent records and if such sale would violate any state would violate any state law prohibiting speculation in and/or on cemetery property.

(b) Transfer of ownership by deed. No owner of a cemetery spaces(s) shall transfer the space or any rights therein to a person other than the City without first allowing the Cemetery Administrator or designee to review the instrument for such transfer and provide reliable evidence of the owner's right to make such transfer.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said party of the first part has caused these presents to be signed in its name by its Mayor-Commissioner, its corporate seal to be affixed, attested by its City Clerk the day and year first herein above written.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the presence of:

Witness

Print 620 E Main St. Haines City FL 33844

Witness

Print 620 E Main St. Haines City FL 33844

CITY OF HAINES CITY

620 East Main Street Haines City, Florida 33844 Telephone (863)-421-3600

By:

	Omar Arroyo, Mayor-Commissioner
Attes	t
By:	
	Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF POLK

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by means of □ physical presence or □ online notarization, this ______ day of _____, 20___ by ______ ____ who is personally known to me: ______ or has produced _______ ____ as identification.

(Signature of Notary Public - State of Florida)

(Print, Type, or Stamp Commissioned Name of Notary Public)

THIS INDENTURE, Made this 1st day of May, 2025, between the CITY OF HAINES CITY, a municipal corporation, created and existing under the constitution and laws of the State of Florida, being located in Polk County, Florida, party of the first part, and **Glenn Gregory**, whose permanent address is 15956 NE 95th Way, Redmond, WA 98052 of the second part.

WITNESSETH, That the said party of the first part for and in consideration of the sum of **\$800.00** to it in hand paid, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, sold, aliened, remised, released, conveyed and confirmed, and by these presents doth grant, bargain, sell, alien, remise, release, convey and confirm unto the said Glenn Gregory of the second part, his or her or its heirs and assigns forever, all that certain parcel of land lying and being in the **Forest Hill Cemetery** in the County of Polk and State of Florida, more particularly described as follows:

CEM: ORIGNL Block: COL3 Lot : WEST Space: B7 CEM: ORIGNL Block: COL3 Lot : WEST Space: B8

together with every privilege, right, title, interest and estate, reversion, remainder and easement thereunto belonging or anywise appertaining.

TO HAVE and TO HOLD the same in fee simple forever.

The said grant and all of the right, title, estate, interest and claim arising under and by virtue of this deed is subject to Ordinance No. 20-1676 of the City of Haines City, dated February 6, 2020 and subsequent amendments thereto regulating burials within the corporate limits and establishing rules and regulations for the operation of the City's Cemeteries; the conduct of persons therein; the construction of vaults and memorials therein; and providing for a penalty for violation thereof.

Particular attention is called to the following provisions of Chapter 8 Cemeteries of said Ordinance concerning lot sales, to wit:

(a) The sale of burial rights within cemeteries owned and/or operated by the City shall be the function of the Cemetery Administrator. The Cemetery Administrator may refuse to sell any such burial space to any person if such sale would be detrimental to the cemeteries or its permanent records and if such sale would violate any state would violate any state law prohibiting speculation in and/or on cemetery property.

(b) Transfer of ownership by deed. No owner of a cemetery spaces(s) shall transfer the space or any rights therein to a person other than the City without first allowing the Cemetery Administrator or designee to review the instrument for such transfer and provide reliable evidence of the owner's right to make such transfer.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said party of the first part has caused these presents to be signed in its name by its Mayor-Commissioner, its corporate seal to be affixed, attested by its City Clerk the day and year first herein above written.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the presence of:

Witness

Print 620 E Main St. Haines City FL 33844

Witness

Print 620 E Main St. Haines City FL 33844

CITY OF HAINES CITY

620 East Main Street Haines City, Florida 33844 Telephone (863)-421-3600

By:

	Omar Arroyo, Mayor-Commissioner
Attest	t
By:	
	Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF POLK

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by means of □ physical presence or □ online notarization, this ______ day of _____, 20___ by ______ ____ who is personally known to me: ______ or has produced _______ ____ as identification.

(Signature of Notary Public - State of Florida)

(Print, Type, or Stamp Commissioned Name of Notary Public)



CITY COMMISSION WORKSHOP HAINES CITY, FLORIDA

MINUTES

April 17, 2025, 6:00 p.m. City Hall Commission Chambers 620 E. Main Street, Haines City, FL 33844 Phone: 863-421-9921 Web: hainescity.com

Commissioners in	Vice-Mayor, Morris West
Attendance:	
	Commissioner, Anne Huffman
	Commissioner, Vernel Smith
	Commissioner, Kim Downing
Commissioners Absent:	Mayor, Omar Arroyo
Staff in Attendance:	City Manager, James Elensky
	City Attorney, Fred Reilly
	Deputy City Clerk, Erica Sanchez

1. CALL TO ORDER

The Mayor called the City Commission Meeting to order at 6:01 on April 17, 2025 in the City Commission Chambers, located at 620 E Main Street, Haines City, FL.

2. PRESENTATIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

2.a Commissioner Compensation Discussion

Attorney Fred Reilly presented this item and provided the following options to the commission:

A. Provide you with copies of Ordinance No. 17-1574 and Ordinance No. 22-2026 concerning compensation for the Mayor and City Commissioners.B. Request directions from the City Commission concerning the preparation of an Ordinance adjusting the compensation for the Mayor and City Commissioners.

Commissioner Downing noted that the population has doubled since the last increase in 2022, so she supports an increase.

Vice Mayor West asked downing what percentage she was considering increasing.

Commissioner Downing said she didn't have a number but that she had contacted other cities to get their population and salary rates to get a comparison. She found that some cities were smaller and slower to grow but had higher salaries.

Commissioner Smith said one thing he found in doing his research is that our city is unique and noted that in 2022 the bureau of economic and business research had our population at 31,3979 and we are currently at 45,383. This is a 41% increase with 13,000 additional residents. Based on explosion growth and the city's increasing budget, which means more municipal responsibility and decisions to make, they should get an increase of around 3%.

Vice Mayor West read a memo from Linda Bourgeois from 2017 that stated that the city commission would receive a 1.5 percent increase after every 5K in population growth. He questioned if that was repealed.

City Attorney Reilly clarified why the 2022 ordinance was created the way it was and offered options for its modification.

Commissioner Huffman apologized for being tardy and mentioned that she voted for the increase in 2022 and believes an increase is warranted now because the job requires a lot more. She asked her colleagues what number they were considering.

Vice Mayor West mentioned that the City of Bartow receives a cola increase every year along with its employees.

Commissioner Huffman questioned whether they were even making what the city's minimum wage policy suggests and asked how much it would take to get there.

Commissioner Downing said she had considered a minimum of a 5% increase.

Vice Mayor West noted that they are currently at \$12.50 an hour.

Commissioner Huffman recommended a salary of \$15 an hour plus an annual COLA to be in line with the city's minimum wage and said this still keep us under other cities.

Commissioner Downing said that is in line with her calculations.

City Attorney Reilly noted that would bring them to a base salary of \$32,000 plus cola, which he believes is appropriate since it keeps them in line with the employees cost of living.

City Manager Elensky said they are on target with what he was thinking.

Commissioners agreed to work 40 hours per week for a total of \$31,980 annually, plus the annual COLA beginning in October. The increase will begin following the ordinance adoption. with the mayor receiving \$2,000 above the commissioners.

3. AGENDA REVIEW

none

4. ADJOURNMENT

Without any further business the meeting was adjourned at 6:50.

Omar Arroyo, Mayor

Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk



CITY COMMISSION MEETING HAINES CITY, FLORIDA

MINUTES

April 17, 2025, 7:00 p.m. City Hall Commission Chambers 620 E. Main Street, Haines City, FL 33844 Phone: 863-421-9921 Web: hainescity.com

Present:	Commissioner, Anne Huffman
	Commissoner Morris West
	Vice-Mayor, Omar Arroyo
	Commissioner, Vernel Smith
	Commissioner, Kim Downing
Staff in Attendance:	City Manager, James Elensky
	City Attorney, Fred Reilly
	City Clerk, Sharon Lauther
	Erica Sanchez

1. CALL TO ORDER

The Vice-Mayor called the City Commission Meeting to order at 7:00 PM on April 17, 2025, in the City Commission Chambers, located at 620 E Main Street, Haines City, FL.

2. INVOCATION

Pastor Bob Moore of Faith Lutheran Church provided the invocation.

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Vice-Mayor led the pledge of allegiance.

4. PRESENTATIONS AND PROCLAMATIONS

4.a CMC and Pinning Presentation for Deputy City Clerk Erica Sanchez

Dawn Wright, FACC Central West District Director, presented Deputy City Clerk Sanchez her certified municipal clerk designation.

4.b National Records and Information Management Month

City Clerk Lauther read the proclamation into the record. Lucia Gonzalez and Angela Stewart were recognized for all of their hard work in maintaining record transparency for the city.

4.c Water Conservation Month- 2025

City Clerk Lauther read the proclamation into the record. Cindy Rodriguez, Sr. Government Affairs Regional Manager for the Southwest Florida Water Management District accepted the proclamation and thanked the city for their proactive approach to water conservation.

4.d American Cancer Society Check Presentation

As a result of the Mayors 5K, \$6,055 was raised to donate to the American Cancer Society

This item is for presentation purposes only.

5. CONSENT AGENDA

Vice-Mayor West asked if there was any questions from the dais regarding the items on the Consent agenda. There was no comment. Vice-Mayor opened the public hearing. No one came forward and the public hearing was closed.

Moved by Vernel Smith Seconded by Kim Downing Approve consent as a whole.

Carried Unanimously

- 5.a Commission Meeting Minutes 03.20.25
- 5.b Commission Meeting Minutes 04.03.25
- 5.c Cemetery Deeds
- 5.d Agreement to Piggyback a Contract Services Bid by Another Governmental Entity – Building Inspector and Plans Examiner

City staff recommends the approval from the City Commission to have M. T. Causley, LLC provide a temporary position(s) for Building Inspector(s) through the piggybacking of the Town of Astatula, Florida's Agreement that was entered into on March 24, 2020.

6. NEW BUSINESS

6.a Contract Award for Request for Proposals (RFP) 24-21 Red Light and School Zone Speed Detection Cameras

Omar DeJesus, Finance Director, CPA presented the procurement process and timeline for the various factors to consider the methods with the city that is done by Ivy Williams, procurement Manager for the City. Commissioner Downing stated that not all of the questions that were requested at the last meeting. Finance Director stated that he and the city attorney met with Verra Mobility and the current contract was revised to meet the concerns. Police Chief Jay Hopwood gave an update on which schools would be selected and cameras placed accordingly. Commissioner Smith stated that staff gave the recommendation however did not receive commission input. Finance Director stated that finance staff gives the input and then bring the best options to the Commission. Commissioner Huffman inquired if the cameras would observe Daylight Savings Time. Commissioner Downing stated that she wanted to know where the cameras were going to be placed. Chief Hopwood stated the cameras would be placed at the beginning of the school zone and extend to the end of the school zone. The contract for RFP 24-21, Red Light and School Zone Speed Detection Cameras was presented for consideration. Discussion took place. Vice-Mayor/Commissioner West opened the public hearing. No one came forward and the public hearing was closed. Roll call vote was doneCommissioner Huffman-Yea

Commissioner Smith-Yea

Commissioner Downing-Yea

Vice-Mayor West- Yea

Moved by Anne Huffman Seconded by Vernel Smith

> Staff recommends City Commission approve the contract award to American Traffic Solutions, Inc. dba Verra Mobility (ATS) for Request for Proposals (RFP) 24-21 Red Light and School Zone Speed Detection Cameras.

Carried

6.b Ordinance No. 25-2104 – Amending Chapter 24, Sec. 24-117 Code of Ordinances and Ordinance No. 22-2015 regarding Multi Modal Transportation Impact Fees – First Reading

Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director presented Ordinance No. 25-2104 to amend chapter 24, section 24-117, code of ordinances and ordinance no. 22-2015, regarding multi-modal transportation impact fees. There were no questions from the dais. Vice-Mayor opened the public hearing. No one came forward and the public hearing was closed. Commissioner Huffman introduced Ordinance No. 25-2104. Attorney Reilly read the ordinance into the record.

Moved by Anne Huffman Seconded by Kim Downing

Staff recommends approval of Ordinance No. 25-2104 Amending Chapter 24, Sec. 24-117, Code of Ordinances and Ordinance No. 22-2015, regarding Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fees on First Reading.

Carried

7. PUBLIC COMMENTS - REGARDING ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Johnnie Baker- 1207 Avenue J, Haines City- Mr. Baker had questions about where he parks his vehicle. Mr. Baker also inquired about the stop sign on 10th Street.

8. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

City Manager Elensky stated we had a Job Fair at Lake Eva with over 300 attendees.

9. CITY CLERK'S REPORT

City Clerk Lauther reminded everyone of the run-off election on April 29, 2025.

10. CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Attorney wished everyone a Happy Easter.

11. COMMISSION COMMENTS

Commissioner Smith- stated he saw the video of the City Clerk explaining the run-off election.

Commissioner Huffman- stated she would like to see the city recognize the 1st Saturday in April as Autism Day and have this item formally placed on the agenda for approval. Commissioner Huffman also spoke about the (listen to audio)

Commissioner Downing-wished everyone a Happy Easter. Commissioner Downing is looking forward to seeing everyone at the Easter egg hunt.

12. ADJOURNMENT

Without any further business the meeting was adjourned at TIME.

Roy Tyler, Mayor

Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk


WWW.HAINESCITY.COM

CITY MANAGER MEMORANDUM

To: The Honorable Mayor and City Commissioners

Through: James R. Elensky, City Manager

From: Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director

Date: May 1, 2025

Subject: Ordinance No. 25-2104 – Amending Chapter 24, Sec. 24-117 Code of Ordinances and Ordinance No. 22-2015 regarding Multi Modal Transportation Impact Fees – Second Reading

Executive Summary

Adopt Ordinance No. 24-2104 – Amending Chapter 24, Sec. 24-117, Code of Ordinances and Ordinance No. 22-2015, regarding Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fees on Second Reading.

Staff Contact: Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director

Introduction

The intent of this item is to request adoption of Ordinance No. 25-2104, Amending Chapter 24, Sec. 24-117, Code of Ordinances and Ordinance No. 22-2015, regarding Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fees on Second Reading,

Background

As previously discussed in the two (2) required workshops regarding the City increasing the Multi Modal Transportation Impact Fees, Haines City, and its surrounding area, continues to experience high rates of growth. As such, the City is seeking to address mobility system funding needs.

The Polk County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) adopted an updated 2045 Transportation Plan in December, 2020. However, while the City has adopted some cost indexing of its road impact fees in the past, the City's current Road Impact Fee is based on a technical report dated 2004, which substantially pre-dates the current growth forecasts and transportation plans. That being said, Florida Statute 163.31801 requires that impact fees levied by local governments be based on the "most recent and localized data."

On September 19, 2024, the City Commission approved the Haines City 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study as prepared by the City's consultant, W. E. Oliver, P.E., LLC. With the



approved Study the City can now use current data in order to update and adjust its Multi Modal Transportation Impact Fees in order to meet the demands of new development.

Organizational Goal(s)

Infrastructure: Maintain, protect and design infrastructure that ensures a desired level of service and provides for future needs.

Budget Impact

There is no budget impact for fiscal year 2024/2025.

Recommendation

Staff recommends adoption of Ordinance No. 25-2104 Amending Chapter 24, Sec. 24-117, Code of Ordinances and Ordinance No. 22-2015, regarding Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fees on Second Reading.

ORDINANCE NO. 25-2104

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF HAINES CITY, FLORIDA AMENDING CHAPTER 24 - TAXATION, SECTION 24-117 – ROAD IMPACT FEE OF THE CODE OF **ORDINANCES AND AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 22-**2015; PROVIDING FOR INCORPORATION OF RECITALS; PROVIDING FINDINGS; FOR PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY: PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION AND **INCLUSION** OF **ORDINANCES;** IN THE CODE **PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.**

WHEREAS, Article VIII, Section 2(b) of the Florida Constitution and Section 166.021 of the Florida Statutes grant the City of Haines City broad home rule powers necessary to carry on municipal government;

WHEREAS, Section 163.3202(3) of the Florida Statutes encourages the use of innovative land development regulations which include the use of impact fees to implement the goals, objectives and policies of a City's Comprehensive Plan;

WHEREAS, significant growth has been occurring and is expected to continue to occur in the areas that are provided municipal services by the City;

WHEREAS, growth within the Service Area has resulted in the need to continue to expand municipal services to accommodate the demands imposed by

such growth without decreasing the current level of municipal services at the cost of existing users;

WHEREAS, expansion of municipal services to accommodate new growth shall promote and protect the public health, safety and general welfare of the residents of Haines City;

WHEREAS, in order for future growth to pay more equitably the cost of expanding municipal services to meet the demands imposed by such growth, the City Commission finds that the amendment of City Road Impact Fees, to be levied as one-time charges upon new developments within the City or new demand on the created through expansions or renovations, is an appropriate and equitable method of capital cost recovery;

WHEREAS, the intent of the City Road Impact Fees is to recover only the pro rata share of the costs incurred to meet the demands of growth;

WHEREAS, the City Commission's intent is to expend the City Road Impact Fees collected pursuant to this article only for the purposes for which they were collected, specifically, to defray the capital cost incurred to meet the demands imposed by growth;

WHEREAS, in order to equitably distribute the proportionate fair share of new municipal services on new developments within the City of Haines City that create the need for such municipal services, it serves the health, safety, and general welfare of the residents of the City of Haines City to amend Ordinance No. 22-2015, establishing Multi-Modal Transportation Fees; WHEREAS, give the significant increase in growth and development, combined with the need to update the multi-modal transportation impact fee schedule, the City Commission of the City of Haines City, Florida has determined that it is in the best interest of citizens of Haines City to make amendments to Chapter 24 – Taxation, Section 24.117 – Road Impact Fee of the Code of Ordinances and Ordinance No. 22-2015;

WHEREAS, the City of Haines City has commissioned and adopted the "Haines City 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study" (the "Study"), as prepared by W. E. Oliver, P.E., LLC, dated September 5, 2024. Said Study demonstrated that transportation impact fees needed to be amended to better address the cost of financing transportation facilities and improvements required to serve new development. This Study shall be made a part of this Ordinance as supportive documentation regarding the amended Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Schedule; and

WHEREAS, the City has held the required Workshops regarding the increase of multi-modal transportation impact fees.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HAINES CITY, FLORIDA:

Section 1. Incorporation of Recitals. The above Recitals are true and correct and are incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. Amendment to Chapter 24 – Taxation, Sec. 24-117 – Road Impact Fee and Ordinance No. 22-2015. That Chapter 24 – Taxation, Sec. 24-177 – Road Impact Fee, of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Haines City, Florida, and Ordinance No. 22-2015 is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT A

<u>Section 3. Severability.</u> If any provision or portion of this Ordinance is declared by any court of competent jurisdiction to be void, unconstitutional, or unenforceable, then all remaining provisions and portions of this Ordinance shall remain in full effect.

Section 4. Repeal of Ordinances in Conflict. All Ordinances or parts of Ordinances which are in conflict with this Ordinance are hereby repealed, to the extent necessary to alleviate the conflict, but shall continue in effect insofar as they are not in conflict herewith, unless repeal of the conflicting portion destroys the overall intent and effect of any of the conflicting Ordinances, in which case those Ordinances so affected shall be hereby repealed in their entirety.

Section 5. Codification. It is the intention of the City Commission and it is hereby provided that the provisions of this Ordinance shall be made a part of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Haines City; and that the sections of this Ordinance may be numbered, renumbered or re-lettered to accomplish such intention; and that the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section", "article", or other appropriate designation, all as may be necessary or useful to ensure proper codification.

Section 6. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall become effective on August 1, 2025 and upon its passage and approval as a non-emergency ordinance at two regular meetings of the City Commission.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED on first reading in regular session of the City Commission of the City of Haines City, this 17th day of April, 2025.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk

Omar Arroyo, Mayor-Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CORRECTNESS:

Fred Reilly, City Attorney

PASSED on second and final reading by the City Commission of the City of Haines City,

Florida, at regular session this 1st day of May, 2025.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk

_____, Mayor-Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CORRECTNESS:

Fred Reilly, City Attorney

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF POLK

I, the undersigned duly appointed City Clerk of the City of Haines City, Florida, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of Ordinance No. 25-2104, as shown in the records of the City on file in the office of the City Clerk.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the City of Haines City, Florida, this _____ day of _____, 2025.

SHARON LAUTHER, MMC, CITY CLERK

EXHIBIT A

Sec. 24-117. Road impact fee. Multi-Model Transportation Impact Fee.

- (a) Short title, authority and applicability.
 - (1) This section shall be known and may be cited as the "City of Haines City Road Impact Multi-Model <u>Transportation Impact</u> Fee Ordinance".
 - (2) The city commission of the City of Haines City (the "City Commission") has the authority to adopt this section pursuant to Article VIII of the Florida Constitution and F.S. Ch. 163 and 166.
 - (3) The provisions of this section shall apply within the corporate limits of the City of Haines City (the "City").
- (b) Establishment of service area. The city <u>City</u> hereby establishes the following area as its road impact <u>Multi-Model Transportation Impact</u> fee service area (the "Service Area"):

<u>Roads included in the The City of Haines City's Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element, as it may be</u> <u>amended from time to time.</u>

The scope of the service area is hereby found to be reasonable and established on the basis of sound planning or engineering principles.

(c) *Definitions.* The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this section, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Access improvements means improvements designed to ensure safe and adequate ingress and egress.

Affordable housing means a dwelling unit which is offered for sale or rent to low-income persons or verylow-income persons and which monthly rent or monthly mortgage payments, including taxes and insurance, do not exceed thirty (30) percent of that amount which represents the percentage of the median adjusted gross income for low-income persons and very-low-income persons.

Applicant means the person who applies for a building permit.

Arterial road means a road that is a main traffic artery carrying relatively high traffic volumes for relatively long distances. This classification includes all roads that function above the level of a collector road.

Average daily trip<u>ends</u> means the projected number of trips based upon proposed development as determined in the Institute of Transportation Engineers ("ITE") Trip Generation Manual, 7th <u>11th</u> ed., or its

successor, or a locally conducted trip generation study of a similar land use accepted by the City Manager, or designee.

Building permit means an official document or certificate issued by the authority having jurisdiction authorizing the commencement of construction of any building or parts thereof; the term also includes construction plan approval for new mobile home development.

Capacity means the maximum number of vehicles for a given time period which a typical new lane can safely and efficiently carry, usually expressed in terms of vehicles per day. For the purpose of this section, typical new capacity is defined at level of service D and for non-State roads shall mean seven thousand five hundred (7,500) vehicles per day per through lane.

Collector road means a road that carries traffic from local roads to arterial roads. Collector roads have more continuity, carry higher traffic volumes, and may provide less <u>land</u> access than local roads.

External trip means any trip which has either its origin or destination at the development site and which impacts the major road network system major road network.

Impact-fee eligible roadway shall mean a road, as determined by the city manager City Manager, for which planning, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction, and improvement costs are eligible for road impact Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee funding.

Local trip length means the average length in miles of external trips, as derived from the Polk County Urban Area Transportation Study 2020.

Low-income persons means one (1) or more natural persons, the total adjusted gross household income of which does not exceed eighty (80) percent of the median adjusted gross income for households within the Lakeland-Winter Haven, Florida, metropolitan statistical area as reported by the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development or its governmental successor in function.

Major-road network system means all existing and committed arterial and collector roads within the city <u>City</u> and under the city's <u>City or County's</u> jurisdiction.

Off-site road improvements means road improvements located outside of the boundaries of the parcel proposed for a development site which are required by the city <u>City</u> in order to serve the development's external trips, but not including access improvements, as defined in this section.

Pass-by traffic means traffic that has a primary trip purpose other than visiting the <u>a secondary</u> land use at which the <u>a</u> vehicle may access. The trip is already on the local major roadway network for the <u>its</u> primary purpose, but may alter its trip pattern to access the secondary land use en_route to the primary trip land use.

Traffic-generating development means land development designed or intended to permit a use of the land which will contain more dwelling units or floor space than the then-existing use of land, or to otherwise change the use of the land in a manner that increases the generation of vehicular traffic.

Trip(s) means a one-way movement of vehicular travel from an origin (one trip trip-end) to a destination (the other trip trip-end). For the purposes of this section, the term "trip(s)" shall have the meaning that it has in commonly accepted traffic engineering practice and which is substantially the same as that definition in the previous sentence.

Trip generation means the attraction or production of trips caused by a given type of land development.

Very-low-income persons means one (1) or more natural persons, the total adjusted gross household income of which does not exceed fifty (50) percent of the median adjusted gross income for households within the Lakeland-Winter Haven, Florida, metropolitan statistical area as reported by the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development or its governmental successor in function.

(d) Findings, intent and purpose.

- (1) The <u>City Commission city commission</u> has determined and recognized through adoption of the Haines City Comprehensive Plan that new growth and development which the <u>city City</u> is experiencing necessitates extensive improvements to the major-road network system. In order to finance the necessary new capital improvements, several combined methods of financing shall be employed, one (1) of which will be to impose a regulatory impact fee on new growth and development which will not exceed a pro-rata share of the reasonably anticipated costs of major road network system expansion and improvement.
- (2) The planning for new and expanded city <u>City</u> public transportation facilities needed to serve new development and the implementation of those plans are the responsibility of the city <u>City</u> under F.S. Ch. 163 and 166, and are in the best interest of the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the city <u>City</u>.
- (3) The city <u>City</u> intends for new development to pay a fair share of anticipated costs of needed city <u>City</u> <u>public</u> transportation facilities improvements necessary to serve new development, and not to collect fees in excess of the anticipated costs for needed public service improvements necessary to serve new development.
- (4) The city City has commissioned, and adopted the "Haines City 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study", a study by Dyer, Riddle, Mills & Precourt, Inc., dated June 10, 2004 W.E. Oliver, P.E.,LLC and Vanesse, Hangen, Brustlin, Inc., as sub-consultant to CivilSurv Design Group, Inc. (sometimes referred to as the "Consultant's Study"), dated September 19, 2024. This study which has demonstrated that municipal impact fees need to be changed to cover better address the cost of financing city public transportation facilities and improvements required to serve new development. This study addresses impacts to the City and County major road networks, and is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- (5) Furthermore, the city City has adopted its Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element prepared a road network system expansion list setting forth a proposed list of which constitutes impact-fee eligible roadways. A copy of the road network system expansion list is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein in its entirety.
- (6) The purpose of this section is to ensure that new development pays a fair share of the anticipated costs of needed road system transportation system improvements as set forth in the road network system expansion list Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element necessary to serve new development. In order to finance the necessary new capital improvements, several combined methods of financing shall be employed, one (1) of which will impose a regulatory impact fee on new growth and development which does not exceed a pro-rata share of the reasonably anticipated costs of major road network system major road network expansion and improvements.
- (7) Implementing a regulatory scheme that requires new development to pay a road impact <u>Multi-Model</u> <u>Transportation Impact</u> fee that does not exceed a pro-rata share of the reasonably anticipated expansion costs of new roads needed to serve new growth and development is the responsibility of the city <u>City</u> in order to carry out the traffic circulation element of its comprehensive plan, as adopted under F.S. § 163.3161 et seq., and is in the best interest of the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of the city <u>City</u>.
- (8) The purpose of this section is to enable the city <u>City</u> to allow growth and development to proceed in the city <u>City</u> in compliance with the adopted comprehensive plan <u>Comprehensive Plan</u>, and to regulate growth and development so as to require growth and development to share in the burdens of growth by paying its pro-rata share for the reasonably anticipated expansion costs of major road network system improvements the major road network.
- (9) It is not the purpose of this section to collect fees from growth and development in excess of <u>its pro-rata share of</u> the cost of the reasonably anticipated improvements to the major road network system

major road network needed to serve the new growth and development. The city commission City Commission finds that this section has approached the problem of determining the road impact Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee in a conservative and reasonable manner. This section will only partially recoup the governmental expenditures associated with growth. The city commission has directed that the city shall impose the road impact fees at a rate of: (i) fifteen (15) percent of the full rate established in the consultant's study from November 1, 2004 through April 30, 2005; and (ii) as of May 1, 2005, twenty five (25) percent of the full rate established in the consultant's study. Under this section existing residents also shall pay a fair share of the costs of needed improvements to the majorroad network system.

- (10) It shall be the policy of the city <u>City</u> to collect the impact fees assessed by this section in lieu of any offsite road improvements.
- (e) Limitation on issuance of building permit. Any person who applies, through the filing of a complete permit application, for the issuance of a building permit for a new building, including single-family residential buildings, or for additions or expansions to buildings other than single-family residential buildings shall be required to pay a road impact Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee in the manner and amount set forth in this section. Except as provided in this section, no building permit shall be issued unless and until the required road impact Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee has been paid.
- (f) Road impact <u>Multi-Model Transportation Impact</u> fee schedule.
 - (1) The road impact <u>Multi-Model Transportation Impact</u> fee for the Service Area shall be determined in accordance with the schedule set forth as identified in Exhibit "B".
 - (2) If an applicant for a building permit contends that the land use for which the building permit is requested is not within the categories set forth in subsection (a1) of this section or is within a different category, the city manager City Manager, or her designee, shall make a determination as to the appropriate land use designation. Such determination may be appealed to the city commission City Commission, whose decision shall be final and binding on the applicant.
- (g) Alternative road impact Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee calculation.
 - (1) If an applicant believes that the cost of his/her off-site road improvements needed to serve his/her proposed development will be less than that established in subsection (6), the applicant may submit an alternative road impact <u>Multi-Model Transportation Impact</u> fee calculation, prepared by a competent professional within the traffic engineering field, to the <u>city manager City Manager</u>. The <u>city manager City Manager</u>, or <u>Designee</u>, may request an alternative impact fee calculation in lieu of the standard fee structure, if, in the <u>city manager's City Manager's</u>, or the <u>Designee's</u> opinion, a study is warranted by exceptional traffic-generating development characteristics of the proposed development.
 - (2) The city manager City Manager, or Designee, shall review the data, information, and assumptions used by the applicant in the alternative road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee calculation to determine whether the requirements of this section are satisfied. If the city manager City Manager, or Designee, finds that data, information, and assumptions used by the applicant to calculate the alternative impact fee satisfy the requirements of this section, she City Manager, or Desginee, shall recommend an the alternative road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee for the applicant to the City Commission city commission. If the City Manager, or Designee, shall so advise the applicant. The applicant may appeal the city manager's City Manager's, or the Designee's, decision to the city commission City Commission, and the decision of the city commission City Commission as to an alternative road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee or the road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation City Commission as to an alternative road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee or the road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation City Commission as to an alternative road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee or the road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee or the road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee or the road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee or the road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee or the road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee or the road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee or the road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee or the road impact Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee or the road impact

(3) The alternative road impact <u>Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact</u> fee shall be calculated by use of the following formula <u>documented in the technical support document referenced in Section</u> (d)(4) of this Ordinance.

Alternative Impact Fee = (ADT) x (PNT) x (TL) x (C)(0.25)(1+IF)*

<u>— CAP x 2</u>

۱۸/	horo	
	nere.	

-ADT	-	Number of average daily trip ends generated
PNT	-	Percent new trips (100% less pass-by trip percentage)
П	-	Local trip length for each proposed use
CAP	-	Typical new capacity per lane mile in vehicles per day at LOS D (7500)
e	-	Cost of right-of-way acquisition plus construction costs (\$3,494,448.00 per lane mile 2004 dollars)
#	-	Inflation Factor projected at 2.5% per year
(0.25)	-	Impact Fee rate adjustment factor
n	=	Number of periods from the base year of 2004

- (4) The alternative road impact <u>Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact</u> fee calculations shall be based on data, information or assumptions contained in this Ordinance and supporting documents, or provided by independent sources, provided that:
 - a. The independent source is an accepted standard source of transportation engineering or planning data or information;
 - b. The independent source is a local study carried out by a qualified traffic planner or engineer pursuant to an accepted methodology of transportation planning or engineering; or
 - c. If a prior approved development submitted, during the approval process, a traffic impact a travel demand study substantially consistent with the criteria required by this section was submitted during the approval process for a prior approved development, and if that study is determined by the city manager City Manager, or Designee, to still be valid, the traffic impacts of the approved development shall may be presumed to be as described in such prior study. In such circumstances, the road impact Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee payable for such development under this Ordinance shall be revised accordingly to reflect the presumed traffic impact of such development. There shall be a rebuttable presumption that a traffic impact study conducted more than one (1) year prior to the effective date of the ordinance from which this Ordinance derives is invalid. This subsection shall not apply where a development order previously granted provides that this section shall supersede such traffic impact study.

- (5) The new building shall be presumed to generate the maximum number of average daily trips to be generated by the most intensive use permitted under the applicable land development regulations, such as the comprehensive plan or zoning regulations, or under applicable deed or plat restrictions.
- (6) The cost of development and the city's City's review of the alternative road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee calculation shall be paid by the applicant. Upon submittal of the alternative road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee calculation by the applicant, the building department shall collect a review fee deposit from the applicant in an amount established by resolution of the city commission City Commission to cover city costs in review of the application for alternative road impact Alternative Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee calculations.
- (7) A determination by the city manager <u>City Manager, or Designee</u>, that the alternative calculation does not satisfy the requirements of this section may be appealed to the city commission <u>City Commission</u>.
- (h) Redevelopment of property or change of use. The city City through the city manager City Manager or her designee shall review the applicability of road impact Multi-Model Transportation Impact fees due for the redevelopment of a property or the change of land use of a property based on the net traffic impacts of the proposed change. If the redeveloped property or modified land use generates additional traffic impacts (based upon the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 7th edition product of trip rate times trip length times percent new trips), or its successor edition, then a road impact Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee rate determination will be made for that amount of additional traffic impacts. The rate fee due will be based on the current road impact Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee calculation as determined pursuant to subsection (g) of this section. Determinations by the city City pursuant to this section may be appealed to the city commission City Commission.
- (i) Impact fee credits. In computing the road impact Multi-Model Transportation Impact fee applicable to a given development activity, credit may be given for the fair market value of any dedication of land for, improvement to, or new construction of, any roadway system improvements that enhance capacity of the city's major roadway network. This fair market value will be measured at the time of dedication of land or upon the completion of dedicated improvements or construction. It must be mutually agreed upon between the city manager City Manager, or Designee, and the developer that the scope and timing of proposed dedication of land or improvement or construction of roadways meets the objectives of the city's City's capital improvements program or comprehensive plan for identified roadway capacity transportation system needs. The developer may appeal the city manager's City Manager's, or the Designee's, decision to the city commission City Commission and the decision of the city commission as to an impact fee credit shall be final and binding on the developer.
- (j) Use of road impact <u>Multi-Model Transportation Impact</u> fee funds.
 - (1) At least once each fiscal year, the city manager City Manager or designee shall present to the city commission City Commission: (i) a proposed capital improvement program for road funds, including any accrued interest from the applicable impact fee trust fund to specific improvement projects and related capital costs, and (ii) a proposed update to the major road network system expansion list. Monies, including any accrued interest, not assigned in any fiscal year shall be retained in the same impact fee trust fund until the next fiscal year.
 - (2) Debt service. In the event that bonds or other debt instruments are issued for advance provision of road capital requirements for which the road impact <u>Multi-Model Transportation Impact</u> fees were intended to fund the growth related demands, impact fees may be used to pay debt service on such bonds or other debt instruments to the extent that the proceeds of the bonds (and a pro-rata share of the cost of issuance) are expended upon the capital facilities.
 - (3) From the collected fees, the City shall remit to Polk County their respective Transportation Impact Fee, unless an agreement is otherwise reached for the use of said funds.

- (4) Use of road impact Multi-Modal Transportation Impact fees. Funds collected for road impact Multi-Modal Transportation Impact fees pursuant hereto shall be used solely for the purpose of acquiring or expanding non-State city public transportation (road) capital facilities under the jurisdiction of addressed in the city, City's Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element. eExpansion and development of the roads, streets, highways, and bridges determined to be needed to serve new development, including may include, but is not limited to:
 - a. Design and construction plan preparation of the road network system expansion list and of individual major road <u>network</u> improvement projects. In the event the city <u>City</u> determines that making improvements to a <u>county County</u> road <u>identified</u> within the <u>city's City's jurisdiction</u> <u>Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element</u> are in the best interest of the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the city <u>City</u>, the <u>city City</u> may advance expend road impact <u>Multi-Modal</u> <u>Transportation Impact</u> fees <u>with no requirement</u> provided that prior to the advancement of the fees for the city <u>City to</u> will enter into an interlocal <u>reimbursement</u> agreement with Polk County which will provide, among other things, that the city will be reimbursed for the amount of fees that have been advanced within five (5) years of the date of advancement; _.
 - b. Right-of-way acquisition;
 - c. Construction of new through <u>or turn</u> lanes;
 - d. <u>Construction of sidewalks, bicycle lanes or facilities, or street lighting;</u>
 - e. <u>Construction of capital improvements motivated by safety concerns;</u>
 - df. Construction of new bridges;
 - eg. Construction of new drainage facilities in conjunction with new roadway construction;
 - fh. Purchase and installation of traffic control devices;
 - gi. Construction of new curbs, medians, and shoulders;
 - hj. Conservation area mitigation;
 - ik. Compensating storage; and
 - <u>jl</u>. Intersection improvements, such as, <u>including but not limited to roundabouts, traffic signal</u> <u>installation</u>, but not limited to, new turn lanes or extension of existing turn lanes.

All funds collected by reason of the establishment of the road impact <u>Multi-Modal Transportation Impact</u> fee in accordance with this article shall be used exclusively within the benefit areas from which they were collected and in the manner consistent with the principles set forth in Contractors & Builders Assoc. of Pinellas County v. City of Dunedin, 329 So. 2d 314 (Fla. 1976); Hollywood, Inc. v. Broward County, 431 So. 2d 606 (Fla. 4th DCA 1983) cert. denied, 440 So. 2d 352 (Fla. 1983); Home Builders and Contractors Assoc. of Palm Beach County, Inc. v. Bd. of County Comm'rs of Palm Beach County, 446 So. 2d 140 (Fla. 4th DCA 1983), cert. denied, 451 So. 2d 848 (Fla. 1984), and otherwise consistent with all requirements of the Constitution of the United States and the state of Florida and all applicable laws. The funds shall not be used to maintain or repair any roads.

- (k) Impact fee exemptions.
 - The following shall be exempted from payment of city <u>City</u> road impact <u>Multi-Modal Transportation</u> <u>Impact</u> fees;
 - a. The alteration or expansion of an accessory building or structure which will not create additional dwelling units or will not increase the usable square footage associated with the principal building or use of the land.
 - b. The replacement of a dwelling unit or building with a new dwelling unit or building where no additional dwelling units or square footage are created and where the existing and replacement

buildings or dwelling units are located on the same lot; provided that the replacement of a dwelling unit or building which has been destroyed or otherwise rendered uninhabitable must be replaced within five (5) years of the date it was destroyed or rendered uninhabitable in order to be exempted from the payment of city City road impact Multi-Modal Transportation Impact fees.

- c. The issuance of a tie-down permit for a mobile home on which impact fees have previously been paid for the lot upon which the mobile home is to be situated.
- d. Government-owned residential construction and government-owned buildings.
- e. The construction, alteration or expansion of any structure within a core improvement area that is formally recognized and designated by an interlocal agreement between the city City and the county County, provided that the county County has waived the imposition of impact fees within such area, excluding water and sewer utility impact fees. Before this exemption shall apply, the city City and the county County county shall enter into an interlocal agreement recognizing the affected area along with a legal description.
- f. Any residential construction that qualifies as affordable housing and meets the following requirements:
 - (1) Any person seeking an affordable housing exemption shall file with the city manager City <u>Manager</u> an application for exemption prior to the impact fee payment date pursuant to subsection (e) for the proposed residential construction. The application for exemption shall contain the following:
 - i. The name and address of the owner;
 - ii. The legal description of the residential construction;
 - iii. The proposed selling price or the proposed rental price, as applicable;
 - iv. Evidence that the residential construction shall be occupied by low-income persons or very low-income persons; and
 - v. Evidence that the residential construction is part of a multi-family project, which is funded by a governmental affordable housing program, if applicable.
 - (2) For residential construction to receive an affordable housing exemption, it must meet all the restrictions of affordable housing as provided herein and these restrictions must continue for a period of at least seven (7) years from the date of issuance of a certificate of occupancy. Such restrictions must either be contained within the deed for the residential construction; the terms, restrictions and conditions of a direct government grant or subsidy that will fund the residential construction; or within the terms of a development agreement between the city City and the owner.
 - (3) If the residential construction meets the requirements for an affordable housing exemption, the city manager City Manager, or Designee, shall issue an exemption. The exemption shall be presented in lieu of payment of the impact Multi-Modal Transportation Impact fees.
 - (4) The amount of the impact <u>Multi-Modal Transportation Impact</u> fees shall not be increased to replace any revenue lost due to the affordable housing exemption.
 - (5) In the event the residential dwelling unit fails to meet the restrictions of affordable housing as provided herein with<u>in</u> the seven-year period following the issuance of the certificate of occupancy such that the property no longer qualifies as affordable housing and is no longer occupied by low-income or very-low-income persons, the impact fees in effect at the time of the change in circumstances shall be immediately due.

- g. The construction, alteration or expansion of a dwelling unit for the purpose of providing living quarters for one (1) or more natural or adoptive parents or grandparents or of the owner or the owner's spouse which satisfies the requirements of Article VII, Section 4 of the Constitution of the State of Florida, F.S. § 193.703, and Polk County Ordinance No. 06-083 and meets the following:
 - (1) Any person seeking an exemption pursuant to this subsection shall file with the city manager <u>City Manager</u> an application for exemption prior to the <u>impact Multi-Modal</u> <u>Transportation Impact</u> fee payment date pursuant to subsection (e) for the proposed construction. The application for exemption shall contain the following:
 - i. The name and address of the owner;
 - ii. The legal description of the **<u>Rr</u>**esidential property;
 - iii. Evidence that the residential property is the legal homestead of the owner;
- (I) Periodic adjustment of road impact Multi-Modal Transportation Impact fees. On June 1 of each year after the adoption of this article, the Schedule of Impact Fees in Exhibit "B" herein shall be automatically adjusted upward, if appropriate, by the same percentage that the Construction Price Index-20 Cities Average, as published in the magazine "Engineering News Record," has increased between May 1 of the year in which the adjustment is being made and May 1 of the prior year. At no time shall the schedule of impact fees be adjusted downward.
- (m) Review of article. This article shall be reviewed by the city commission City Commission at least every five (5) years, pursuant to 163.31801 F.S.. The review shall consider updated growth trends, adopted plans, revenue forecasts, trip generation rates, trip lengths, and actual construction and right-of-way acquisition costs of work contracted for by the city City and the state department of transportation within the city City. The purpose of this review is to analyze the effects of inflation on the actual costs of roadway improvements and to ensure that the fee charged new land development activity generating traffic will not exceed its pro-rata share for the reasonably anticipated expansion costs of road improvements necessitated solely by its presence, and to assess the City's ability to implement the transportation system required by its Comprehensive Plan.

(Ord. No. 04-1125 , §§ 1—11, 8-19-04; Ord. No. 20-1675 , § 1, 2-6-20)

Exhibit "A" HAINES CITY,

ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT LISTING NOT INCLUDING INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS (1)

-IÐ No-	Road Name	From	Ŧo	Improvement	Distance	Cost Estimate
110.	Nume				()	(\$million)
1	Hughes	US 27	10th St.	Widen 2 to 4	1.0	7.0
	Rd.			lanes		
2	White	10th St.	Adams	Widen 2 to 4	3.1	21.7
	Clay Pit Rd.		Nursery Rd.	lanes		
3	Grace	30th St.	Bradbury	Widen 2 to 4	1.9	13.3
	Ave.		Rd.	lanes		
4	Hinson	US 17/92	Kali-	Widen 2 to 4	3.0	21.0
	Ave.		Gradis Rd.	lanes		

5A	Patterson Rd./Snell Creek Rd.	US 27	Carter Rd.	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	1.7	11.9
5B	Patterson Rd./Snell Creek Rd.	Carter Rd.	Power Line Rd.	Extension as 4 lane	1.0	7.0
6	Forest Grove Dr./Hughes Rd.	Hatchineha Rd.	Bannon I sland Rd.	Extension as 4 lane	2.3	16.1
7A	Power Line Rd.	Hinson Ave.	Snell Creek Rd.	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	2.4	16.8
7B	Power Line Rd.	Bannon Island Rd.	Hinson Ave.	Extension as 4 lane	1.8	12.6
8	Detour Rd.	Hughes Rd.	CR 5 44	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	1.4	<u>9.8</u>
9A	30th St.	CR 5 44	Baker Dariy Rd.	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	2.3	16.1
98	30th St.	Baker Dairy Rd.	N. of Shamrock Rd.	Extension as 4 lane	1.0	7.0
10A	Bates Rd.	US 27	Patterson Rd.	Widen 2 to 4 I anes	1.5	10.5
10B	Bates Rd.	Patterson Rd.	30th St. Extension	Extension as 4 lane	0.3	2.1
11	Jahana Sand Mine Rd. Ext.	CR 544 @ Bice Groove	Snell Creek Rd.	Extension as 4 lane	4. 3	30.1
12	Peninsular Dr.	CR 5 44	Hinson Ave.	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	1.4	<u>9.8</u>
13	Polk City Rd.	Railroad Ave.	US 27	Widen 2 to 4 lanes	1.3	9.1
1 4	Mini Dump Rd.	Diamond Acres Rd.	US 27	Pave existing 2 lane	0.8	<u>2.8</u>
15	Forest Lake Dr.	US 27	Kingham Rd.	Pave existing 2 lane	1.0	3.5
17	-Holly Hill Cutoff Rd.	Diamond Acres Rd.	US 27	Pave existing 2 lane	0.8	2.8
18	North Blvd.	US 27	Kingham Rd.	Pave existing 2 lane	1.0	3.5
19	Sanders Rd.	FDC Grove Rd.	US 27	Pave existing 2 lane	0.7	2.4
20	Olson Rd.	FDC Grove Rd.	10th St.	Extension as 2 lane	0.7	2.4

21	Airport Rd.	FDC Grove Rd.	US 27	Pave existing 2 lane	0.5	1.7
22	-Baker Dairy Rd.	30th St.	Kali- Gradis Rd. Ext.	Pave existing 2 lane	2.8	9.8
23	- Robinson Dr.	30th St.	Power Line Rd. Ext.	Pave existing 2 lane	1.0	3.5
24	Roe Rd.	30th St.	Bradbury Rd.	Pave existing 2 lane	1.0	3.5
25	Bannon Island Rd.	10th St.	Forest Grove Dr.	Pave existing 2 lane	2.3	8.0
26	-Bannon Loop Rd.	10th St.	Forest Grove Dr.	Pave existing 2 lane	1.4	4.9
27	Warren Brothers Rd.	10th St.	Forest Grove Dr.	Pave existing 2 lane	1.4	4.9
28	Hughes Rd.	10th St.	Forest Grove Dr.	Pave existing 2 lane	1.5	5.2
29	Bice Groove Rd.	Kokomo Rd.	CR 544	Pave existing 2 lane	1.4	4.9
30	Adams Nursery Rd. Ext.	CR 544	Hinson Ave.	Extension as 2 lane	1.8	6.3
31	Kalogridis Rd.	CR 5 44	Baker Dariy Rd.	Pave existing 2 lane	0.4	1.4
32	Marly Extention	CR 5 44	Grace	Extension as 2 lane	1.2	4 .2
33	East North /South Collector	Water Tank R.	Baker Dairy	Extension as 2 lane	5.0	17.5
3 4	West- North/South Collector	Lake Henry	Polk City Road	Extension as 2 lane	2.7	9. 4
	- Total Project Cost Estimate					324.3

Notes:

1. Improvement cost estimate is based on \$3.495 million per lane mile.

2. Identified improvements are for planning purposes only and do not represent funded projects.

3. Projects listed are within the City's service area boundary and may extend beyond the current municipal limits, however these improvements would not be initiated until these roads were annexed and accepted for maintenance.

CITY OF HAINES CITY

-INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS	COST
1. Kentucky Street & SR 17-92	\$ 300,000
2. "F" Street & SR 17-92	\$ 300,000
3. "C" Street & SR 17-92	\$ 300,000
4. N. 10th Street & Bates road	\$ 300,000
5. Claude Holmes Sr. Blvd. & Polk City road	\$ 300,000
6. Martin Luther King Way & N. 12th Street	\$ 300,000
7. N. 10th Street & Avenue "F"	\$ 300,000
8. Baker Avenue & SR 17-92	\$ 300,000
9. Melbourne Avenue & SR 17-92	\$ 300,000
10. Railroad Avenue & SR 17-92	\$ 300,000
11. N. 20th Street & CR-580	\$ 300,000
12. Stuart Avenue & N. 12 th Street	\$ 300,000
13. Stuart Avenue & SR 17-92	\$ 300,000
14. N. 22nd Street & Hinson Avenue	\$ 300,000
15. N. 22nd Street & Grace Avenue	\$ 300,000
16. Grace Avenue & SR 17	\$ 300,000
17. Park Avenue & Jones Avenue	\$ 300,000
18. Robinson Drive & SR 17	\$ 300,000
	\$ 5,400,000

Exhibit "B" - Road Impact Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Schedule ITE 7th Edition Adopted

RESIDENTIAL

LAND USE	Independent	Land	Daily	Percent	Impact Fee	Impact Fee	Impact Fee
CATEGORY	Variable	Use	Trip	New	(15% cost)	(25% cost)	(37.5% Cost)
		Code	Rates	Trips	From	4/30/05 to	As of
				-	11/01/04 to	05/06/20	05/06/20
					04/30/05		
Single Family	Dwelling Unit	210	9.57	100%	478.22	797.04	1,195.56
Multi-Family	Dwelling Unit	221	6.59	100%	329.31	548.85	823.28
Mobile Home	Dwelling Unit	240	4.99	100%	249.36	415.59	623.39
Hotel/Motel	Room	310	<u>8.92</u>	100%	445.74	742.90	1,114.35

NON-RESIDENTIAL

LAND USE	Independent	Land	Daily	Percent	Impact Fee	Impact Fee	Impact Fee
CATEGORY	Variable	Use	Trip	New	(15% cost)	(25% cost)	(37.5% Cost)
		Code	Rates	Trips	From	4/30/05 to	As of
					11/01/04 to	05/06/20	05/06/20
					04/30/05		
Racquet Club	1,000 sq. ft.	4 <u>92</u>	<u>32.93</u>	4 9%	806.32	1,343.87	2,015.51
Hospital	1,000 sq. ft.	610	17.57	100%	877.99	1,463.32	2,194.98
Day Care	1,000 sq. ft.	565	79.26	28%	1,109.00	1,848.33	2,772.50
School	1,000 sq. ft.	530	12.89	100%	644.13	1,073.55	1,610.33
Bowling Alley	1,000 sq. ft.	437	33.33	49%	816.11	1,360.19	2,040.29
Movie Theater	1,000 sq. ft.	443	78.06	100%	3,900.75	6,501.2 4	9,751.86

OFFICE

LAND USE CATEGORY	Independent Variable	Land Use Code	Daily Trip Rates	Percent New Trips	Impact Fee (15% cost) From 11/01/04 to 04/30/05	Impact Fee (25% cost) 4/30/05 to 05/06/20	Impact Fee (37.5% Cost) As of 05/06/20
Less than 100,000 SF	1,000 sq. ft.	710	15.65	100%	782.05	1,303.41	1,955.12
100,000 to 200,000 SF	1,000 sq. ft.	710	12.15	100%	607.15	1,011.92	1,517.88
Greater than 200,001 SF.	1,000 sq. ft.	710	11.37	100%	568.17	946.95	1,420.43
Business Park	1,000 sq. ft.	750	12.76	100%	637.63	1,062.72	1,594.08
Medical Office	1,000 sq. ft.	720	36.13	100%	1,805.46	3,009.09	4,513.64

SERVICES

LAND USE CATEGORY	Independent Variable	Land Use Code	Daily Trip Rates	Percent New Trips	Impact Fee (15% cost) From 11/01/04 to 04/30/05	Impact Fee (25% cost) 4/30/05 to 05/06/20	Impact Fee (37.5% Cost) As of 05/06/20
Auto Care Center	service position	942	15.86	51%	4 04.20	673.66	1,010.49
Quick Lube Center	service position	941	40.00	51%	1,019.41	1,699.02	2,548.53
Bank/Savings	1,000 sq. ft.	912	246.49	53%	6,528.21	10,880.35	16,320.53

RETAIL

LAND USE CATEGORY	Independent Variable	Land Use Code	Daily Trip Rates	Percent New Trips	Impact Fee (15% cost) From 11/01/04 to 04/30/05	Impact Fee (25% cost) 4/30/05 to 05/06/20	Impact Fee (37.5% Cost) As of 05/06/20
Less than 50,000 SF	1,000 sq. ft .	820	110.32	100%	2,701.28	4 ,502.13	6,753.20
50,000 to 100,000 SF	1,000 sq. ft.	820	75.10	100%	1,801.36	3,002.26	4,503.39
100,000 to 300,000 SF	1,000 sq. ft.	820	53.28	100%	1,624.10	2,706.84	4,060.26
300,001 to 500,000 SF	1,000 sq. ft.	820	4 <u>1.80</u>	100%	1,545.71	2,576.18	3,864.27
500,001 to 1,000,000 SF	1,000 sq. ft.	820	33.55	100%	1,357.99	2,263.32	3,394.98
Greater then 1,000,000 SF	1,000 sq. ft.	932	30.33	81%	1,227.66	2,046.09	3,069.14
Restaurant: Sit-Down	1,000 sq. ft.	93 4	127.15	57%	3,621.68	6,036.14	9,054.21
Restaurant: Drive-Through	1,000 sq. ft.	9 44	4 96.12	50%	12,395.84	20,659.73	30,989.60
Gas Pumps	fueling position	851	168.56	28%	2,358.48	3,930.80	5,896.20
Convenience Store	1,000 sq. ft.	851	737.99	28%	10,325.89	17,209.82	25,814.73
Supermarket	1,000 sq. ft.	850	102.24	64%	3,269.79	5,449.65	8,174.48
Drug Store	1,000 sq. ft.	880	90.06	50%	2,250.20	3,750.33	5,625.50

INDUSTRIAL/AGRICULTURAL

LAND USE CATEGORY	Independent	Land	Daily	Percent	Impact Fee	Impact Fee	Impact Fee
	Variable	Use	Trip	New	(15% cost)	(25% cost)	(37.5% Cost)
		Code	Rates	Trips	From	4/30/05 to	As of
					11/01/04	05/06/20	05/06/20
					to		
					04/30/05		
Manufacturing	1,000 sq. ft.	140	<u>3.82</u>	100%	190.89	318.15	477.23
Wholesale/Warehousing	1,000 sq. ft.	150	4.96	100%	247.86	413.09	619.64

Mini-Warehouse	1,000 sq. ft. 151 2	2.50 100%	124.93 2()8.21	312.32
			0		
ITT I and Have			Current City		<u>City Fee</u>
<u>ITE Land Use</u>	L and Llas	11	<u>Fee (eff</u>	L lucit	(<u>err</u>
<u>Code(1)</u>			<u>12///2024)</u>	Unit	08/01/2025)
<u>110/140/156</u>	Manufacturing/Light	<u>1,000 st</u>	<u>\$649.03</u>	<u>1,000 st</u>	<u>\$3,891</u>
150/154/155/157	Passive Warehousing/Storage	1 000 sf	\$842 71	1.000 sf	\$1,102
151	Self-Storage/Mini-Warehouse	1 000 sf	\$424.75	1.000 sf	\$965
210	Single Family < 1 200 sf	DU	<u>\$1 625 95</u>	D.U.	\$3,182
210	Single Family 1 200 to 2 500 sf	<u>D.U.</u>	\$1,625,95	D.U.	\$5,846
210	Single-Family >2.500 sf	<u>D.U.</u>	\$1.625.95	D.U.	\$7.933
220	Multi-Family	D.U.	\$1,119.65	D.U.	\$4,706
240	Mobile Home	D.U.	\$847.80	D.U.	\$6.368
310/320	Hotel/Motel	Room	\$1.515.50	Room	\$2.535
520/522/525	School	1.000 sf	\$2,190.04	Student	\$1.437
565	Dav Care	1.000 sf	\$3,770.59	1.000 sf	\$27.244
610	Hospital	1.000 sf	\$2,985,18	1.000 sf	\$7.822
710	Office (>10.000 sf)	1.000 sf	\$2.658.95	1.000 sf	\$7.236
712	Office (<=10,000 s.f.)	1,000 sf	\$2,658.95	1,000 sf	\$10,729
720	Medical Office	1.000 sf	\$6,138,55	1.000 sf	\$31,400
750	Office Park	1,000 sf	\$2,167.95	1,000 sf	\$7,462
820	Retail (>150 ksf gla)	1.000 sf	\$5,521.95	1.000 sf	\$19,755
821	Retail (40-150 ksf gla)	1.000 sf	\$6,124,60	1.000 sf	\$43,404
822	Retail (<40 ksf gla)	1.000 sf	\$9,184,34	1.000 sf	\$15,246
850	Supermarket	1.000 sf	\$11,117.29	1.000 sf	\$31,824
851	Convenience Store	1.000 sf	\$35,108,02	1.000 sf	\$135.754
881	Drug Store w/Drive-Through	1.000 sf	\$7,650.67	1.000 sf	\$30,027
912	Bank w/ Drive-Through Lane(s)	1.000 sf	\$22,195.91	1.000 sf	\$36,063
931	Restaurant-Fine Dining	1,000 sf	\$12,313.72	1,000 sf	\$58,413
000/000	Resaturant-Fast Casual/High	1,000 sf	\$12,313.72	1,000 sf	\$63,824
<u>930/932</u>	Turnover		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
040/040/004	Restaurant-Fast-Food w/ Drive-	<u>1,000 sf</u>	\$42,145.85	<u>1,000 sf</u>	<u>\$103,509</u>
<u>912/913/934</u>	Thru				
0/1	Quick Lube	<u>Service</u>	<u>\$3,466.01</u>	<u>1,000 sf</u>	<u>\$44,313</u>
<u>341</u>		Position			
Q//2	Auto Care Center	<u>Service</u>	<u>\$1,374.27</u>	<u>1,000 sf</u>	<u>\$19,401</u>
<u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u>		Position			
944	Gasoline Station	Fuel Pos.	<u>\$8,018.84</u>	Fuel	<u>\$27,871</u>
				Pos.	

Notes: 1. Where more than one land use code is listed, the rate is an average of the land uses.

(Ord. No. 04-1125 , §§ 1—11(Exh. A, B), 8-19-04; Ord. No. 20-1675 , § 1(Exh. B), 2-6-20)

Haines City, Florida 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study

Prepared for: Haines City, Florida

Prepared by:

W.E. Oliver, P.E., LLC CivilSurv Design Group, Inc. Vanasse, Hangen, Brustlin, Inc.

September 5, 2024

Haines City, Florida 2024 MULTI-MODAL TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FEE STUDY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report documents a study to replace Haines City's Road Impact Fee with a Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee. Haines City's current road impact fee is based on a 2004 technical study, and the adoption ordinance provides for an annual adjustment to the fee rates based on the annual construction index for municipal services published in the Engineering News Record, and a review of the technical study at least every five-years. While indexing has occurred, the technical study has not been updated to reflect current costs, growth rates, plans, policies, or other technical issues. This 2024 update study was undertaken by CivilSurv Design Group, Inc. through subconsultants W.E. Oliver, P.E., LLC, and Vanasse, Hangen, Brustlin, Inc.

The City's current fee schedule, most recently adjusted in December, 2023, is a single-district fee schedule with a fee of \$1,482.49 per single-family dwelling. The City also collects, on behalf of Polk County, it's additional transportation impact fee. Polk County adopted an updated fee schedule in March, 2023, effective on June 30, 2023, and the fees will increase annually by \$270 to \$3,460 on June 30, 2026. The existing transportation impact fees (\$4,656 combined City and County fee for a 2,000 s.f. home) are considerably lower than those of surrounding communities.

Impact fee methodologies have evolved since 2004. State laws have been passed requiring use of current information, and encouraging creative approaches to support local economic development and land development programs. The 2004 methodology did not incorporate any such features, and this update provided an opportunity for the City to review its goals for improving the transportation system to accommodate growth that is occurring, review and update assumptions used in previous impact fee studies, and to incorporate several changes into the calculation of the impact fees.

Changes in calculation methodologies and data inputs from the 2004 impact fee study include:

Higher unit cost to build roadway capacity: The 2004 fee calculations estimated the cost of constructing new roads of \$465 per vehicle-mile of capacity. Recent City and County road construction experience and anticipated future improvements have led to values on the order of \$613.08 per vehicle-mile of capacity.

New land uses in Fee Schedule: Additional land uses and refinements of land uses have been added into the fee schedule, including size-graduations for single-family homes, nursing homes, coffee/doughnut shop, and "passive" vs "active" warehousing uses. These uses reflect updated information from the latest ITE <u>Trip Generation</u> reference (11th Edition, 2021) and National Household Travel Survey.

Consideration of regional as well as local circulation needs

Haines City's limits are very irregularly shaped, and there are areas surrounding the City that are contemplated for annexation. Consequently, this study has considered a subarea slightly larger than the City limits on which to base a substantial portion of the fee. Beyond that subarea, the

provisions of Polk County's 2023 transportation impact fee have been incorporated -- creating a fee schedule that includes County and City transportation system needs. State highway needs were excluded from the fees. This approach establishes a "maximum" potential fee for City and County facilities, against which other transportation system funding revenues are credited. The City fee rates are the net result, from which the Polk County fee can be remitted to the County.

Analysis of transportation plan and recent growth forecasts in the Haines City subarea has led to an estimated annual average growth in travel of 48,157 vehicle-miles. To expand the non-State transportation system serving the City at a pace that preserves the desired quality of service goal would cost an estimated \$42.3 million per year. Recent estimated funding levels for system expansion have been approximately \$14.0 million per year, which includes County transportation impact fees and \$3.0 million per year of County motor fuel tax revenues. The City's existing road impact fee program currently generates approximately \$3.8 million per year. An assumption in these estimates is that Polk County will allocate its transportation impact fees and motor fuel tax revenues to the City environs in proportion to its generation of revenues.

Two fee schedule alternatives were developed in this study to reflect updated costs, expected rates of growth, and two alternative quality of service goals for a larger "traffic shed" area. The first quality of service goal reflects the County's current mobility fee revenue program, which allows increased congestion in the "shed" area. The second fee schedule considered a better quality of service goal in the Shed area – the same as is proposed within the City.

The first fee alternative is estimated to generate \$22.6 million per year in total City and County transportation impact fees. Combined with County motor fuel taxes directed to building transportation infrastructure yields a potential annual funding of \$25.3 million. It is noted that the \$25.3 million falls short of the \$42.3 million noted above because some of the travel within the City is attributable to development outside the City, which does not pay the City Fee. The City would use the funds collected from the fee to implement improvements identified in the CityView/SAP plan (which serves as the City's Local Government Comprehensive Plan) with priorities indicated by advancement of selected improvement into the City's Capital Improvement Program.

The second fee alternative would generate more revenues and deliver better quality of service for both the City and County, but it cannot be adopted since it assumes that Polk County would also fund the better level of service goals in the "shed" area.

Since the proposed fee increase exceeds 50 percent of the current fee, "extraordinary conditions" must be found by the City Commission in two public hearings. The extraordinary conditions we have found include:

- The long time since the fee technical study for a fee was completed,
- Extraordinarily high growth rates in and around Haines City compared to other areas of Polk County,
- Roadway congestion in the Haines City area exceeding that in other areas of Polk County,
- Updates/changes to the City's Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element, and
- Increases in facility implementation costs since the 2004 study was completed.

HAINES CITY, FLORIDA 2024 MOBILITY FEE STUDY

Table of Contents

Execu	tive Summary ES-	1
Table	of Contents	i
1.0 In	troduction	1
2.0 R	ecent Growth Trends	2
3.0 G	rowth Forecast for Mobility fee Study	4
4.0 20	045 SAP CityView Plan Update	6
5.0 In	npact Fee Guiding Principles	6
6.0 N	10bility fee Calculation1	1
7.0 Ex	xample Fee Calculation1	8
8.0 Fe	ee Schedules for Consideration2	0
9.0 C	onclusion 2	6

List of Figures

Figure 2-1:	Mobility Fee Study Subareas	3
Figure 2-2:	Travel Growth Indicators	4
Figure 3-1:	2023 BEBR Population Forecast	4
Figure 4-1:	Updated SAP/CityView Transportation Plan	7
Figure 6-1:	VMT:VMC Ratios on non-State Roads1	3
Figure 8-1:	Fee Scenario One Summary 2	1
Figure 8-1:	Fee Scenario Two Summary	3

-i-

List of Tables

ES-1: Adopted Mobility Fee Schedule	ES-3
3-1: Population Forecast for Mobility Plan and Fee Study	5
8-1: Proposed Fee Schedule	24
8-2: Mobility Fees in Nearby Communities	25

Appendices

Appendix B: Travel Demand VariablesB-1Appendix C: Lakeland/Kissimmee Subarea AnalysisC-1Appendix D: CFRPM7 Application and SummariesD-1Appendix E: Percent Travel by System and Geographic SubareaE-1Appendix F: Road Capacity Cost AnalysisF-1Appendix G: Revenue Credit AnalysisG-1Appendix H: Other Fee ParametersH-1Appendix I: Full Fee SchedulesI-1	Appendix A: U	Jpdated SAP/CityView Plan	4-1
Appendix C: Lakeland/Kissimmee Subarea Analysis C-1 Appendix D: CFRPM7 Application and Summaries D-1 Appendix E: Percent Travel by System and Geographic Subarea E-1 Appendix F: Road Capacity Cost Analysis F-1 Appendix G: Revenue Credit Analysis G-1 Appendix H: Other Fee Parameters H-1 Appendix I: Full Fee Schedules I-1	Appendix B: T	Fravel Demand Variables	B-1
Appendix D: CFRPM7 Application and SummariesD-1Appendix E: Percent Travel by System and Geographic SubareaE-1Appendix F: Road Capacity Cost AnalysisF-1Appendix G: Revenue Credit AnalysisG-1Appendix H: Other Fee ParametersH-1Appendix I: Full Fee SchedulesI-1	Appendix C: L	akeland/Kissimmee Subarea Analysis	C-1
Appendix E: Percent Travel by System and Geographic Subarea E-1 Appendix F: Road Capacity Cost Analysis F-1 Appendix G: Revenue Credit Analysis G-1 Appendix H: Other Fee Parameters H-1 Appendix I: Full Fee Schedules I-1	Appendix D: C	CFRPM7 Application and Summaries I	D-1
Appendix F: Road Capacity Cost Analysis F-1 Appendix G: Revenue Credit Analysis G-1 Appendix H: Other Fee Parameters H-1 Appendix I: Full Fee Schedules I-1	Appendix E: P	Percent Travel by System and Geographic Subarea	E-1
Appendix G: Revenue Credit AnalysisG-1 Appendix H: Other Fee ParametersH-1 Appendix I: Full Fee SchedulesI-1	Appendix F: R	Road Capacity Cost Analysis	F-1
Appendix H: Other Fee Parameters H-1 Appendix I: Full Fee SchedulesI-1	Appendix G: R	Revenue Credit Analysis	G-1
Appendix I: Full Fee SchedulesI-1	Appendix H: C	Other Fee Parameters I	H-1
	Appendix I: Fu	ull Fee Schedules	I-1

Haines City, Florida 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study

1. Introduction

Impact fees are a way for local governments to involve new developments in funding a portion of the transportation infrastructure needs that new developments create in a community.⁽¹⁾ In contrast to historical (and inconsistent and unpredictable) "proportionate share" methods of addressing the transportation impacts of developments, impact fees are viewed as predictable, equitable, and fair, creating a level playing field for developers⁽²⁾. If developed in concert with community transportation goals, they are an indication that a community takes seriously the delivery of a transportation system that is responsive to community needs.

Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fees are one-time, up-front charges for a portion of the transportation system needed to serve a development at the desired quality of service. The fee is paid at the time certificates of occupancy are issued. Essentially, transportation impact fees require new development that adds travel to the transportation system pay an appropriate share of the capital facilities required to serve that development.

This 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study was undertaken by CivilSurv Design Group, Inc., through sub-consultants W.E. Oliver, P.E., and Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. in response to requirements of Florida Statutes that such fees be developed using the "most recent and localized data". Haines City's most recent study was undertaken in 2004, whereas the City's impact fee ordinance and State law requires review, update, and endorsement of its fees at least every five years to address statutory requirements.

Mobility strategies in Haines City are shifting from those of a small town to a busier, suburban and even urban environment. The transportation system needed to serve an urban/suburban environment includes the need to develop a network of facilities for vehicular circulation, sidewalks, bicycle facilities, parking, and features to support public transportation. While transit and rail are not part of the current adopted transportation plan, the community is also anticipating the possible future extension of SunRail from Poinciana. Thus, it desires to better position itself to address routine transportation system operational and maintenance needs and to respond to forecasted growth. Thus, this study considered the current transportation revenue

⁽¹⁾ Florida Statutes 163.31801

^{(2) &}quot;Impact Fees and Housing Affordability: A Practitioners Guide", Bowles, L.K., and Nelson, A.C., 2008.

program, and developed fee schedules for City Commission consideration in light of these goals.

2. **Recent Growth Trends**

Growth in Haines City and surrounding areas since 2015 can only be described as meteoric. This study, and this discussion, has considered a "traffic-shed" area larger than the Haines City limits because of the irregularity of the City limits, because circulation within and through the City also depends on surrounding roads and connections, and because traffic from the surrounding areas makes use of roads within the City. The traffic-shed ("Shed") area roughly matches Polk County's legacy East (Transportation Impact) Fee District. This report also references a smaller "Haines City subarea", which roughly aligns with the City's boundaries. Because of the irregularity of the City Limits and established traffic, the Haines City subarea included some roads in the City's immediate surrounding area. Both areas are illustrated in Figure 2-1.

While most communities in Florida consider growth rates on the order of two to three percent per year to be typical, the Haines City area has experienced a recent growth rate in housing of 6.7 percent per year, and growth in traffic volumes on US 27, the primary arterial road servicing the area, has increased at a rate of 4.5 percent per year from 2018 to 2022. Traffic growth monitoring reports from the Polk Transportation Planning Organization (TPO), which includes major roads throughout the County, also indicates the Haines City subarea experiencing a 7.2 percent per year growth rate from 2019 through 2023. These growth rates are illustrated in Figure 2-2.

Of interest, too is the comparison of the growth rate in the Haines City area compared to the rest of Polk County. Two of the graphics in Figure 2-2 illustrate growth not only the Haines City subarea, but for the balance of Polk County as well. These graphs make clear that the Haines City subarea is experiencing extraordinary growth rates.

A recent newspaper article in the Orlando Sentinel identified Polk County as one of the fastestgrowing Counties in the nation, with much of that growth occurring in the northeastern portion of the County – as verified by the County's property tax roll data.

The fourth graph in Figure 2-2 compares the degree of congestion, as measured by measuring the quantity of travel experiencing various volume:capacity ratios in the Haines City area as opposed to the balance of Polk County. The blue vertical bars representing the Haines City area are more heavily distributed toward the higher volume:capacity ratios, indicating Haines City drivers are experiencing more congested conditions than drivers in other areas of Polk County. These conditions would support a finding of extraordinary conditions occurring in the Haines City area.





C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\5. Docs\Fig 2-1 Traffic Shed.qgz Figure 2-1 Haines City and Planning Subareas

7(





3. Growth Forecast for Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study

The University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) has been charged by the State Legislature to develop county-wide population forecasts to be used for planning

purposes. Their 2023 forecasts for Polk County are illustrated in Figure 3-1. They indicate a faster growth rate of 2.28 percent per year in the early years (2022-2030) of the forecast, which slows to an overall 1.55 percent per year from 2022 through 2050.

The Polk County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) allocates this growth to traffic analysis zones (TAZ's), which are smaller geographic



subareas, throughout the County. There are 845 TAZ's in Polk County. Since the TPO allocates growth to TAZ's, the amount of growth in the area surrounding Haines City can be quantified.

W.E. Oliver, P.E., LLC September 5, 2024 Haines City, Florida 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study For the "traffic-shed" subarea, the TPO has assigned a growth rate of 2.56 percent per year, from 2015 through 2045, resulting in a traffic shed area population forecast of 250,032, housed in 112,617 residential dwelling units.

Haines City has also commissioned a transportation plan study for the City. This plan was adopted in 2021, and its technical analysis was based on a year 2040 countywide population estimate of 987,364, which is consistent with current BEBR forecasts. A traffic shed area year 2040 population forecast of 234,712 was allocated, housed in 112,374 residential dwelling units. This population growth rate from 2015 to 2040 is 2.82 percent per year.

As mentioned previously, the growth rate in residential dwellings county-wide has been 2.9 percent per year from 2019 to 2023, and in the traffic shed area dwelling units have been added at a rate of 6.7 percent per year. The recent traffic shed area growth rate is more than twice that used in any past or on-going transportation studies and shows no signs of slowing. The traffic shed area has accounted for 43 percent of all residential units built over those years. To create a responsive multi-modal transportation impact fee program, there was a need to update the rates of expected growth and re-evaluate the capability of the City and TPO's transportation plans to accommodate the associated traffic growth.

For this plan update and fee

study, a county-wide population that is the average of BEBR's midrange and high-range countywide forecast was used. A slowing rate of growth and a declining share of housing "capture" in the traffic shed area was also assumed to arrive at a 2045 Haines City subarea and Traffic-Shed population

Table 3-1
Population Forecast for SAP Plan Update

			Haines		
	Haines	Traffic	City +	Rest of	
	City	Shed	Traffic-	Polk	County-
Year	Subarea	Subarea	Shed	County	wide Total
2024	32,007	107,637	139,644	660,149	799,792
2030	56,664	146,753	203,417	725,882	929,299
2035	77,190	165,324	242,514	768,808	1,011,322
2040	97,685	184,025	281,710	800,960	1,082,670
2045	118,113	202,895	321,008	822,338	1,143,346
Annual Growth Rate:	6.41%	3.06%	4.04%	1.05%	1.72%

estimates of 118,113 and 202,895 vehicles, respectively. At an average rate of 2.24 vehicles per household, a total of 143,307 homes are expected. This estimate is computed and illustrated in Table 2-1. The TPO growth forecast for the remainder of Polk County was retained.

For the 21-year (2024 to 2045) planning horizon, annual growth in travel on non-State surface roadways in the Haines City subarea is estimated to average 48,157 vehicle-miles per year. To keep pace with this growth rate, the City would need to add the equivalent of 7.2 lane-miles of roadway per year at an annual cost of approximately \$34.7 million. The TPO's 2045 Transportation Plan proposes to fund capacity on these roads at a rate of 10,213 vehicle-miles per year. To develop a collector road network that is typical of Lakeland and Kissimmee (two
nearby communities selected by City staff as "comparable") Haines City needs to build 76,570 vehicle-miles of capacity per year.

4. 2045 CityView Special Area Plan Transportation Plan Update

To address the transportation needs of growth, in 2021 Haines City developed a 2040 "Selected Area Plan" (SAP) Transportation Plan, a part of what is known as the "CityView" Plan, which serves as Haines City's Comprehensive Plan. In light of the more aggressive recent growth rates, this plan was updated to a 2045 horizon as a part of this study. The updated plan is illustrated in Figure 4-1, which illustrates the needed additional roadway lanes, and is more fully documented in a document provided in Appendix A. The updated Plan proposes the addition of 198.1 lane-miles of new County and City roadways with walking and bicycling facilities, over the 21-year horizon of the Plan, or at a rate of 9.4 lane-miles per year. It also proposes the re-construction of 34.6 lane-miles of existing roads (1.6 miles per year) of existing roads to add walking and bicycling facilities and features (medians, closed drainage, street lighting) to support suburban travel demands.

This plan is viewed as an "aspirational" plan at this time, as the revenues estimated in this study do not completely fund the plan. The Plan is estimated to cost over \$1.1 billion, or \$53.5 million per year. This shortfall is discussed further later in this report. The Plan does identify the County and City facilities estimated to serve circulation needs at the level of service standards adopted in the City's Comprehensive Plan. The City would select from the identified facilities to develop and fund transportation improvements. The travel demands of this plan are based on a "best guess" estimate of <u>where</u> growth might occur, and if growth occurs in other locations, the plan could be amended as a part of State law-required periodic updates to the fee program.

5. Impact Fee Guiding Principles

Several legal requirements and principles guiding the development of a multi-modal transportation impact fee are either directly identified, or are implied, through case law or in State law that govern the development and assessment of impact fees.

Early legal challenges to impact fees developed the principles embodied in the "dual rational nexus" test. That is:



W.E. OLIVER, P.E., LLC TRAFFIC ENGINEERING TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\SAP Plan\SAP Plan.qgz 08/06/2024 Figure 4-1 Updated SAP/CityView Transportation Plan

- the fees assessed must be in proportion to the needs created by the development, and
- fees collected must be applied to render a benefit to the payer.

Haines City's proposed multi-modal transportation impact fee satisfies the first condition by basing the fee on the quantity of transportation system capacity consumed by the development and recognizing credits for transportation revenues generated by the development.

The second condition is addressed by establishing districts within which the fees collected must be expended. The City's current impact fee ordinance requires that fees collected in the City must be expended within that district. However, a concept common in many impact fee programs recognizes that travel generated within any district, to some extent, extends into adjacent districts. Some communities allow a degree of flexibility to allow some of the funds collected in one district to be expended in adjacent districts, provided the expenditures benefit the travel from the originating district. This flexibility is attractive when fees collected are relatively low, enabling collected fees to be spent and the benefits of improvements to be realized more quickly.

Further, because of the small size of Haines City (compared, say, to Polk County), travel that is generated by land uses within the City often goes outside of the City and uses County or State transportation facilities both within and outside the City. Thus, provision may be made for the expenditure of some of the collected fees on roads under County jurisdiction and outside of the City limits, in proportions supported by the fee calculations. Expenditure on State facilities would not be supported, as travel on State facilities is deducted from the travel demand estimates.

F.S. 163.3180 generally requires that a development cannot be required to cure pre-existing deficiencies. This is achieved in Haines City's impact fee by establishing fees at <u>rates</u> that do not exceed the quantity of capacity consumed by the development paying the fee, recognizing fee credits, and charging at a rate that does not <u>improve</u> quality of service beyond the quality that past growth also funds for itself. Use of the funds to improve currently deficient facilities is acceptable, as the improvement would benefit the payer since the payers would likely use the improved facility.

F.S. 163.3180 also requires that fees be expended in accordance with a local government's adopted plan. An adopted Local Government Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element or Metropolitan Planning Organization's (in this case Polk County TPO's) transportation plan can serve this purpose. This study has relied on the City's adopted CityView SAP Plan within the City, and the TPO's Long Range Transportation Plan for areas outside of the City.

F.S. 163.31801 requires that fees be based on most recent and local data. This fee update study considers data from regional transportation planning programs, a local transportation plan update completed in 2024, and actual recent construction costs encountered in the region. The most currently available information regarding interest rates, fleet fuel efficiency, and other fee parameters were compiled, as discussed in subsequent sections of this report. Travel demand characteristics (trip generation rates, trip lengths, and percent new trips) for various land uses were compiled from the latest Institute of Transportation Engineers' <u>Trip Generation</u> (11th Edition) reference and from nearby studies, as these parameters were expected to be similar in Haines City.

The Florida Legislature amended F.S. 163.31801 provides for fee increases of <u>more</u> than 50 percent (as is proposed for Haines City) for situations where:

- an updated study has been undertaken which demonstrates the need for a greater increase,
- that the extraordinary circumstances that justify the fee increases be discussed in two separate Board of City Commissioners workshop sessions, and
- the fee increases are adopted by a two-thirds majority of the City Commissioners.

Finally, F.S. 163.31801 requires a 90-day or more advance notice when fees are being increased.

Case law (Ormond Beach vs Volusia County, 1989) has also established that development within municipalities is not exempt from participating in County transportation system funding programs. Should the Counties insist, the County fees must be collected by the municipalities and remitted to the Counties, or the City should apply the County share of fees in ways approved by the County through interlocal agreement.

This study has demonstrated the need for increased revenues for transportation, as the revenues estimated from County sources fund only 25.4 percent of the City's estimated needs. The City's Comprehensive Plan policies mandate the funding of the City's adopted plan.

The City's Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element includes the following statements:

"1.(b) The element ... shall address:

4. the extent to which future development will bear a proportionate cost of facility improvements necessitated by the development in order to adequately maintain adopted levels of service, and

(b) the demonstration of the local government's ability to finance the needed improvements identified in the individual comprehensive plan elements and to manage the land development process so that public facility needs created by previously issued development orders or future development do not exceed the ability of the local government to fund and provide the needed capital improvements.
(c) The element shall contain one or more policies for each objective which...
8. assessing new developments for a pro rata share of the cost necessary to finance public facility improvements necessitated by development in order to adequately maintain adopted levels of service"

Capital Improvements Cost Sharing.

Objective 7.1.4. – Proportionate Fair Share. The City shall ensure that all future development pays its share of cost associated with demands for existing and future facility needs

Policy 7.1.4.2. – Pro Rata Share for Financing Public Facility Improvements. The City shall require new developments a pro rata share of expenses necessary to finance public facility improvements created by development in order to maintain adopted levels of service standards.

Policy 7.1.4.4. – Application of Proportionate Fair Share Concurrency Methodology. The City shall apply its adopted proportionate fair share concurrency methodology to ensure that future development pays its share of cost associated with demands for existing and future facility needs. The City shall isolate program costs and implement user fees where practical. The City shall continue to apply its established cost sharing formula and use impact fees or other development exactions to finance improvements which are required to service future growth.

Implementation.

Policy 7.1.7.2. – Collection of Impact Fees. The City shall continue to collect impact fees for the purpose of off-setting the cost of public facility improvements.

Policy 7.1.7.6. – Direct or Indirect Impact on the Level of Services. All new development which has a direct or indirect impact on the level of services established in the several elements of the City comprehensive Plan shall continue to be subject to impact fees which shall be spent to directly benefit those from whom they were collected.

Policy 7.1.7.7. – Bi-Annual Evaluation of Impact Fees. The City shall bi-annually evaluate current impact fee schedules and consider requiring additional impact fee classifications and fee schedules to pay for all new public facilities and services generated as a result of new development.

6. Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Calculation

This section of the report discusses the general fee calculation strategy and the values of the various parameters to compute the fees.

In this study, State highways have been excluded, under the assumption that the City's Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee program will fund only City and County roadways. There are some roads in the CityView Plan that are either now County roads, or may ultimately become County roads. Thus, the fee calculations address all non-State roads. The Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee computed in this way must recognize that the fee addresses County as well as City needs and, from the computed fee, the County's adopted fee would be remitted to the County.

The fee is computed in consideration of three components to recognize the proportion of travel occurring in the three geographic areas illustrated in Figure 2-1 – travel within and immediately adjacent to the City limits (the "Haines City Subarea"), travel within the remainder of the US 27 "traffic-shed" subarea, and travel throughout the rest of Polk County. These calculations recognize the differing quality of service goals for these areas through the use of a parameter called the capacity addition ratio – a number that relates the quantity of capacity to be built per unit of growth in travel.

Haines City's historical fee schedule included 25 land use categories. Three land uses, "Racquet Club", "Bowling Alley", and "Movie Theater" were eliminated from the fee schedule. Replacing "Racquet Club" is the newer ITE category "Fitness Center". No strong data was available to support fee rates for the Bowling Alley and Movie Theater land uses, so these uses were deleted from the fee schedule. Single-family residential uses were stratified into three size ranges to reflect differences in travel generation. The size ranges are: Less than 1,500 s.f., 1,500 to 2,499 s.f., and 2,500 s.f. or larger. In addition, a category for "Fine Dining" was added to the Restaurant land use category.

The general impact fee equation is:

Fee = Capacity Needed x Cost of Capacity - Credits

Capacity Needed Variables

The capacity needed is the product of vehicle-trip rate x trip length x percent new trips x capacity addition ratio / 2. This calculation yields the quantity of transportation system capacity that is needed to address the capacity consumed by a development in units of vehicle-miles of capacity.

The trip rate indicates the number of vehicle-trips generated on a daily basis, the trip length is the average length of vehicle-trips to and from the site, and the percent new trips is the proportion of trips that represent additional, new travel that is generated by the land use. Some retail land uses (e.g. a convenience store, fast food, or gasoline station) "capture" traffic from the adjacent street. The "captured" trips are those which avail themselves of the convenience use while they are en-route to their intended destination and do not add additional travel to the system. The percent new trips factor adjusts the computation to acknowledge this characteristic.

Trip generation rate and percent new trips information was compiled from the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) <u>Trip Generation 11th Edition</u> (2022) reference. This data, and trip length data, was then compared to similar data from fee schedules of nearby communities (Orange, Osceola, Polk, and Hillsborough Counties, and the City of Lakeland) to ensure consistency and reasonableness. A summary of this compilation and comparison is provided in Appendix B.

Trip length data is based on a variety of sources, including the National Household Travel Survey, urban transportation systems planning models maintained by the local Metropolitan Planning Organizations (Polk TPO), and field studies of the trip lengths of various land uses.

The capacity addition ratio deserves some discussion. The ratio is a policy-driven number that sets a community on a "trajectory" to achieve a desired quality of service. The quality of service provided by a road network can be roughly measured on an area-wide basis by comparing the quantity of travel (vehicle-miles of travel, or vmt) with the quantity of capacity available in the network to serve that travel (vehicle-miles of capacity, or vmc). The higher the ratio of travel to capacity (vmt:vmc ratio), the greater the congestion. While this ratio is a very simplistic measure (it assumes all roads operate at the same degree of "fullness"), the inverse of this ratio establishes needed funding levels and therefore fee rates needed to achieve a desired future quality of service.

A policy issue is for a community to select a desired future quality of service goal, or vmt:vmc ratio, for their transportation system. Comparing local conditions with those of other communities is helpful in that regard. This is effectively setting a level of service standard as is commonly done in the transportation element of a local government comprehensive plan. Many communities adopt a policy statement that says "No road shall operate at a peak hour level of service worse than E". Not every road in a network can operate at exactly the upper limit of the level of service E condition -- some roads will operate at levels of service A, B, C, or D, as well. To avoid any single road from operating at a condition worse than E, an average condition that is somewhat better than E must be maintained – a condition where the vmt:vmc

ratio is less than 1.0. To maintain this quality of service ratio as travel grows, capacity must be added at its inverse rate – a rate greater than 1.0.

The City and County roads the City is seeking to fund are different from arterial roads. Local collectors are not major "through-routes" and are not as heavily utilized as arterials. They are frequently two-lane roads because a community cannot build less than two lanes, and the traffic demands rarely, if ever, create the need for more than two lanes. Thus, excess capacity must be built to meet the land access function these local collector roads serve. Some of the non-State roads, such as Powerline Road, will serve heavier traffic volumes and would become candidates for assignment of jurisdiction to the County, or even the Florida DOT.

To gain an appreciation for a desirable level of service and appropriate capacity addition ratio

for Haines City, City staff asked to consider the quality of service (vmt:vmc ratios) existing in Lakeland and in Kissimmee. Figure 6-1 illustrates the vmt:vmc ratios for the central Lakeland area and for Kissimmee for 2015 (model validation year) and 2045 (plan horizon) for non-State roadways vs their populations. The geographic areas evaluated and more



details regarding these numbers are provided in Appendix C.

The data in Appendix C indicate that the "local" networks operate at levels of congestion lower/better than the State facilities, and are in the range of 0.54 to 0.61 in 2015, progressing to 0.65 and 0.72 by 2045. These four measures average out to a value of 0.63. If Haines City wishes for its "local" road system to operate at an average vmt:vmc ratio of 0.63, then capacity needs to be added at a rate of (1/0.63=) 1.59 times the rate of growth. If, at the same population levels, Haines City wishes for its vmt:vmc ratios to be similar to those experienced by Lakeland and Kissimmee at their respective populations, then a capacity addition ratio of 1.10, plotted in Figure 6-1 will allow the City's vmt:vmc ratio to transition from 0.47 at its 2023 estimated population of 33,660 to 0.79 at its 2045 population of 194,629. Since neither comparative City is currently experiencing a vmt:vmc ratio of 0.79, the lower vmt:vmc ratio of 0.63 has been selected as the goal vmt:vmc ratio, with an associated capacity addition ratio of 1.59 for initial fee schedules.

Two alternative fee calculation strategies were undertaken with regard to the traffic-shed area. The first strategy was to respect the County's capacity addition ratio, estimated at 0.25, to both the "Shed" area and the remainder of Polk County, while pursuing a capacity addition ratio of 1.59 within the Haines City subarea. In the second strategy, the participation of Polk County and the adjacent cities of Davenport, Dundee, and Lake Hamilton would be solicited to implement and fund a uniform quality of service standard that provides capacity to serve development at a good level of service throughout the traffic-shed area. In this alternative, the same capacity addition ratio (e.g. 1.59) would be applied to the City and to the traffic shed area. The second alternative assumes the capacity addition ratio that is proposed for Haines City's fee (e.g. 1.59, rather than 0.25) would be applied in the traffic shed area.

An example calculation to illustrate how the capacity addition ratio is applied, and its effects on the two alternative scenarios, follows for a development with an average trip length of eight miles. If 35 percent of the trip length is on State highways, Interstate highways or toll roads, another 25 percent is on non-State roads in the traffic-shed area outside the City, ten percent is on non-State roads throughout the rest of Polk County, and the remaining 30 percent is on local roads within the Haines City subarea, then the quantity of capacity to be replaced is computed as:

First scenario capacity to be built = 8 vehicle-miles * (35% x 0.0 + 25% x 0.25 + 10% x 0.25 + 30% x 1.59/2)

=8 vehicle-miles x 0.2822

=2.25 vehicle-miles

Where:

0.0 is the capacity addition ratio for State, Interstate, and toll roads (e.g. thus excluding them from fee),

0.25 is capacity addition ratio for other non-State roads outside of the Haines City subarea.

1.59 is the capacity the addition ratio for major collector and arterial roads within the Haines City subarea only,

For the second scenario, the capacity to be built = 8 vehicle-miles * (35% x 0.0 + 25% x 1.59 + 10% x 0.25 + 30% x 1.59/2)

=8 vehicle-miles x 0.4497

=3.60 vehicle-miles

Where:

0.0 is the capacity addition ratio for State, Interstate, and toll roads (e.g. excluding them from fee),

0.25 is capacity addition ratio for other non-State roads outside of the Haines City and Shed subareas,

1.59 is the capacity the addition ratio for local major collector and arterial roads within the Haines City <u>and Shed</u> subareas.

Choosing a policy for better quality of service in the larger Shed subarea establishes a need to construct more capacity per unit of growth, thus leading to a greater revenue need and larger fees. The effects of these strategies is further discussed in the following Chapter.

This factor should not exceed the quality of service funded by the existing population to prevent setting fee rates that improve quality of service (e.g. avoids new growth funding prior deficiencies). A lesser factor is acceptable. In the preliminary fee schedules, a factor of 1.59 reflects a quality of service goal (or vmt:vmc ratio) of 0.63, which indicates more congestion than the 0.47 vmt:vmc ratio estimated for current (2023) conditions – thus not funding improvement of quality of service.

Finally, the factor of two in the denominator recognizes that the demand for travel arises from the need to travel between two land uses, and allocates the responsibility for the travel equally between the two uses.

Interstate, Toll, and State Road Travel Estimates

Estimates of the extent to which travel generated by land uses in Haines City relies on toll roads, Interstate 4, and State highways, and the extent to which trips generated by development within the City make use of local streets, other roads in the "shed" area, and roads in other areas of Polk County was developed using information extracted from the seventh edition 2045 Central Florida Regional (Transportation) Planning Model (CFRPM7).

While the District One Regional (Transportation) Planning Model (D1RPM) is the official TPO model for transportation planning in Polk County, the CFRPM7 model was chosen for the Haines City area due to the area being located on the edge of the D1RPM, whereas it is located more centrally in the CFRPM7, and because of the heavy interaction of the Haines City area with Osceola, Orange, and Lake Counties – which are not included in the D1RPM. The CFRPM7 model also includes all of Polk County, thus accounting for many of the destinations of Haines City area trips. As a part of this model application, several large traffic analysis zones in the Haines City area were refined into smaller zones, and the socio-economic data of the CFRPM7 was adjusted to reflect the higher growth rates being experienced. Worksheets provided in Appendix D provide more information regarding the model adjustments and result summaries.

The proportion of travel generated in the Haines City Subarea that uses State roads, the toll or Interstate highway system was estimated by executing select-zone (all traffic analysis zones in the Haines City subarea) traffic assignment using the CFRPM7 model and highway network from Haines City's 2045 CityView SAP Transportation Plan, and tracking the quantity of this travel on these and non-State roads in the Haines City subarea, the traffic "shed" subarea, and other areas of Polk County. This analysis indicated that for an average trip length of 7.4 miles, 55.4 percent of travel with one end of their trip in the Haines City subarea makes use of Interstate 4, toll roads, and State highways, 23.4 percent stays within the Haines City subarea, 13.3 percent travels into or through the traffic shed area, and the remaining 7.9 percent travels into other areas of Polk County on non-State roads. These proportions were adjusted based on trip length – short trips stay "closer to home" and do not use the State, Interstate, or toll roads as extensively, whereas longer trips make more use of the State, Interstate, and toll roads to reach their destinations. The proportions used in the fee calculations, as a function of trip length, are provided in Appendix E. The fee schedule makes use of this information to appropriately weight the fees to reflect not only system usage, but also the different quality of service goals of each area.

Cost per Unit of Capacity

The cost of capacity is what it costs to deliver transportation system capacity, expressed as a cost per vehicle-mile of capacity. When the needed vehicle-miles of travel is multiplied by the cost per vehicle-mile of capacity, the result is the cost to provide the infrastructure capacity needed to serve the development at the desired quality of service.

Costs of roadway improvements in Polk County, City of Lakeland, Osceola County, and Orange County were compiled by other consultants recently undertaking similar fee studies. These tabulations were reviewed for applicability to Haines City. Using this central Florida information, City road construction costs were estimated at \$613.08 per vehicle-mile of capacity. This information, summarized in Appendix F, includes design, right-of-way, drainage, environmental mitigation, construction, and construction inspection cost elements, as well as sidewalk and bicycle facilities as part of the standard cross-section.

<u>Revenue Credits</u>

Credits are issued to land uses in recognition that their travel generates revenues that are used to provide capacity in the transportation system. For example, when a vehicle drives from or to a development it burns gasoline and some of the motor fuel taxes so-generated are used to construct transportation system capacity. Funds that Polk County and Haines City rely upon for expansion of the transportation system come from a portion of the County's motor fuel taxes and impact fees. Since this fee study seeks to address both City and County facilities, it is appropriate to consider the revenues that the County commits to funding transportation.

Table 22 of Polk County's <u>2023 Transportation Impact Fee Update</u> report indicates the County intends to spend \$199,692,561 on expanding the County transportation system capacity from 2023 to 2027 from non-transportation impact fee sources. This level of funding is a commitment of an equivalent 9.41 out of the allowed 15 pennies of motor fuel taxes per gallon for capital purposes. For purposes of Haines City's Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee, the

present value of this level of credit over a 25-year period assuming a fuel efficiency rate of 24.53 miles per gallon of gasoline was recognized as a revenue credit for each land use in the fee schedule. A worksheet summarizing the derivation of the 9.41 pennies per gallon value is provided in Appendix G.

The Federal government and State of Florida levy motor fuel taxes as well, and allocate a portion of them to expanding Federal and State highways. However, since the costs of travel on, and improvements to, the State highway system were <u>excluded</u> from this study, no Federal or State fuel tax credits were applied. Nonetheless, for purposes of computing the <u>County</u> motor fuel revenue credits, the Interstate, toll road, and State highway portions of the trip <u>length</u> and a 0.5-mile local trip length add-on are included, because County motor fuel tax revenues are generated without regard to which road system a vehicle is driven upon – on a toll road, on a state road, or on a local street. Thus, in this calculation, the equivalent gasoline tax is estimated assuming a longer trip length than used in the travel demand (cost) portion of the fee.

Should the City or County choose to develop additional revenues for transportation facilities, those sources should be considered in a future update to the fee program.

<u>Interest Rate</u>

The present value factor for the capacity-expanding revenue credits is based on 25 years at a 3.3% discount rate. The discount rate is based on FDOT's guidance on construction cost inflation for transportation planning purposes. A copy of this data source is provided in Appendix H.

Finance Period

A 25-year finance period is commonly used in transportation impact fee analyses, and represents the typically assumed life of transportation capital investments.

<u>Equivalent Days/Year</u>

To estimate revenue credits, 326.9 (= 365*6.27/7) days per year were used. 6.27 days per week is used to reflect that the amount of travel on Saturdays and Sundays is less than on a typical weekday. A worksheet summarizing the value calculated is also provided in Appendix H.

<u>Fuel Efficiency</u>

24.53 miles per gallon (mpg) for light-duty vehicles and motorcycles was estimated from 2024 FHWA Table VM-1, provided in Appendix H.

7. Example Fee Calculation

An example fee calculation is provided in this section for a 1,500 to 2,499 s.f. single-family detached home. The general fee equation, is as presented previously but this example incorporates the fee as affected by the geographic subarea considerations.

Fee = (Capacity Needed x Cost of Capacity – Credits) x Discount Percentage

Each component of the calculation is described below. Note that the calculations here use rounded values and results are slightly different from the "un-rounded" actual fee schedule calculations of Appendices H and I, which apply additional decimals.

Capacity Needed is the capacity needed to respond to the quantity of new travel generated by the land use that makes use of the transportation system for which the fee is calculated. It is the product of trips generated, the length of trips on the non-State road system, the percentage of trips that are added (as opposed to captured from traffic already passing by the site). This quantity is divided by two to reflect division of the demand arising between the two land uses at the origin and destination ends of the trip. This quantity is further reduced in Haines City's fee to deduct the portion of travel that makes use of State and toll roads.

For the single-family home, this calculation is:

Trip rate x Trip length x Percent new trips x (1-State, Interstate, and toll proportion) / 2 = $9.43 \times 6.62 \times 100\% \times (1-0.483)$ / 2 = 16.14 vehicle-miles of travel (16.15 in un-rounded calculation)

The weighted unit cost per vehicle-mile of capacity applied to a land use depends upon the fee district in which the land use is located, the proportion of travel the land use sends to each subarea (which is a function of trip length – shorter trips have higher proportions of travel in the geographically closer subareas and less travel on State roads, Interstate 4, and toll roads), and the quality of service to be delivered in each district as expressed by the capacity addition ratio (CAR), as follows:

(% travel in Haines subarea x Haines unit cost x Haines CAR + % travel in Shed subarea x Shed unit cost x Shed CAR + % travel in other Polk County subarea x other Polk County unit cost x other Polk County CAR) x weighted CAR factor =(0.590 x \$613.08 x 1.587 + 0.249 x \$458.00 x 0.246 + 0.161 x \$458.00 x 0.246) x (16.15/16.75) =\$598.00 The weighted CAR factor is the ratio of assessable travel generated to capacity needed, applied to avoid double-counting the CAR in the computation (once in the calculation of needed capacity and again in the computation of weighted average cost per vmc).

Total Impact Cost is:

Assessable vehicle-miles of travel x weighted unit cost 16.75 x \$598.00 = \$10,016.50 (\$10,015 in un-rounded calculation)

The credit is calculated as:

Present value of (Trip rate x Trip length for revenue x Percent new trips x equivalent days per year x number of equivalent dollars of gas tax/ (vehicle miles per gallon x 2), at 3.3% APR for 25 years.

=[(9.43 x 7.12 x 100% x 326.9 x 0.0941/ (24.53 x 2)] x 16.8451 = \$709.16

Thus, the resulting gross potential fee is:

\$10,016.50 - \$709.16 = \$9,307.34 (\$9,306 in un-rounded calculations)

Recognizing that development that is already in Haines City (e.g. prior growth) also generates gasoline tax revenues that fund infrastructure needs allows the City to not assess the full potential fee amount. It is common in communities to recognize these additional revenues and to provide an "across-the-board" reduction in the fees charged. The extent to which fees can be reduced depends on the capability of revenues generated by prior growth to address needs and are related to community goals for transportation services and quality of service. Haines City's goals and overall transportation infrastructure funding capability is addressed in the next section of this report.

8. Fee Schedules for Consideration

The magnitude of an impact fee should be considered in the context of community goals and other sources of revenue that are available for transportation purposes. Two different fee schedules were generated for consideration by the City Commission. Since State statutes require an impact fee to be updated no less frequently than every five years, the fee schedules discussion herein address a five-year planning horizon. In the below discussions, the fee for a 2,000 s.f. home is used as a "reference" fee to allow for comparisons – fee rates for other land uses and other quantities of growth are "in proportion" thereto and are applied in the calculations to arrive at revenue estimates.

The first scenario fee schedule would be imposed on new development within the City only. The remainder of the County and adjacent municipalities have their own, but different, quality of service goals and transportation impact fees. The first fee schedule uses a proactive quality of service goal of maintaining an average network vmt:vmc ratio of 0.63. The City's fee covers the County's transportation impact fee, and from its collections the City would remit to the County the level of fee indicated in the County's fee schedule. Development outside of the City would participate in the County fee and any additional applicable municipal fee. The "performance" of this fee schedule is summarized in Figure 8-1 for the Haines City and Shed subareas.

Under the first fee scenario, the quantity of travel growth on non-State roads in the Haines City subarea is estimated to be 217,439. With a capacity addition ratio goal of 1.587 a goal of adding 345,141 vehicle-miles of capacity costing \$211.6 million over the coming five years is estimated. A fee rate of \$9,306 for a 2,000 s.f. home yields a five-year revenue forecast of \$123.8 million (including County impact fees and motor fuel taxes committed to capital) over the same period – funding only 58.5 percent of the capacity addition needs, falling short of the quality of service preservation goal of 1.587. Further, none of the road <u>reconstruction</u> needs proposed in the CityView/SAP Plan would be funded.

This result highlights a disadvantage of this geographically limited fee funding strategy – not all the travel on non-State roads in the Haines City subarea is generated by Haines City development. The irregular nature of the City limits is such that the roads funded by the City will also serve substantial quantities of travel generated by development outside of the City. Estimates of the CFRPM7 model are that only 68.2 percent of the travel on non-State roads within the Haines City subarea is generated within Haines City. Since, in this first scenario, the fees for development within the City are substantially greater than the fees charged to development outside the City limits, a greater burden is placed on City development to fund transportation than is placed on development outside the City Limits. This analysis points out



Figure 8-1: Scenario One Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Program (Haines City Only)

W.E. Oliver, P.E., LLC September 5, 2024

Haines City, Florida 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study the need to encourage the jurisdictions surrounding Haines City to also pursue a more substantial transportation revenue program.

A second fee scenario was developed which made the assumption that the entire Shed subarea participates in providing the better roadway quality of service – adopting the same 1.587 capacity addition ratio, and recognizing the likely greater cost to implement the facilities than assumed in Polk County's Transportation Impact Fee (\$613.08 rather than \$458.00 per vehicle-mile of capacity). This change would increase the fee for the 2,000 s.f. single-family home to \$12,772 because the portion of City-generated travel which goes outside the City limits (e.g. in the Shed subarea) would now be assessed at the higher quality of service goal. The "performance" of this second fee schedule is summarized in Figure 8-2 for the Haines City and Shed subareas.

The quantity of growth expected in the total of the two subareas (Haines City plus Shed) is 500,535 vehicle-miles of travel but, with the higher quality of service goal, the desired quantity of capacity is 794,500 vehicle-miles of capacity. In this scenario, the fee for the single-family home is \$12,772 in Haines City (\$12,678 in the Shed subarea), five-year revenues generated are \$351.8 million, funding 573,796 vehicle-miles of capacity or 72.2 percent of the capacity addition goal. This revenue program provides a capacity addition ratio of 1.146, meeting the growth at a 1.00 (not 1.587) capacity addition ratio, and providing a 14.6 percent margin of capacity adequate to maintain a good level of service for the entire Shed subarea non-State road system. (It is difficult to achieve the goal capacity addition ratio of 1.597 because the development in the subareas does not account for all the travel in the road network.)

In regard to the Haines City subarea, the second scenario revenue generated by this broader quality of service goal is estimated at \$158.54 million, which would fund 75.6 percent of the City's capacity addition goal.

Consistent with Comprehensive Plan policies, the City Commissioners elected to adopt the first scenario fee schedule, which maximizes City revenue generation while respecting the quality of service goals applicable to development outside of the City's jurisdiction. The resulting revenue program falls short of the funding levels needed as indicated in the CityView SAP Plan; however, the fee represents a significant increase in the share of mobility that will be funded by new development and puts the City in a better position to respond to growth as the City continues to seek strategies to provide the infrastructure needed to support the growth that is occurring. A scenario one fee schedule summary is provided in Table 8-1, and fee schedules with intermediate calculations are provided in Appendix I.



Figure 8-2: Scenario Two Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Program (Haines City and Shed)

W.E. Oliver, P.E., LLC September 5, 2024

Haines City, Florida 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study

Table 8-1

Proposed Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Schedule

			Current	County	Current		Proposed		City +
ITE Land			City Fee	Fee	City +		City +	City Fee	County
Use			(eff	Effective	County ⁽²⁾	Proposed	County ⁽²⁾	%	Fee %
Code(1)	Land Use	Unit	12/7/2023)	6/2026	Fee	City Fee	Fee	Change	Change
156	Manufacturing/Light Industry/Parcel Hub	1,000 sf	\$591.76	\$539	\$1,130.76	\$3,891	\$4,430	657%	392%
150/154/	Passive Warehousing/Storage	1,000 sf	\$768.35	\$539	\$1,307.35	\$1,102	\$1,641	114%	126%
155/157									
151	Self-Storage/Mini-Warehouse	1,000 sf	\$387.27	\$457	\$844.27	\$965	\$1,422	267%	168%
210	Single Family < 1,200 sf	D.U.	\$1,482.49	\$3,460	\$4,942.49	\$3,182	\$6,642	348%	134%
210	Single Family 1,200 to 2,500 sf	D.U.	\$1,482.49	\$3,460	\$4,942.49	\$5,846	\$9,306	528%	188%
210	Single-Family >2,500 sf	D.U.	\$1,482.49	\$3,460	\$4,942.49	\$7,933	\$11,393	669%	231%
220	Multi-Family	D.U.	\$1,020.86	\$2,436	\$3,456.86	\$4,706	\$7,142	600%	207%
240	Mobile Home	D.U.	\$773.00	\$1,285	\$2,058.00	\$6,368	\$7,653	890%	372%
310/320	Hotel/Motel	Room	\$1,381.79	\$1,817	\$3,198.79	\$2,535	\$4,352	215%	136%
520/522/	School	student	\$499.20	\$260	\$758.95	\$1,437	\$1,696	240%	224%
525									
565	Day Care	1,000 sf	\$3,437.89	\$1,039	\$4,476.89	\$27,244	\$28,283	723%	632%
610	Hospital	1,000 sf	n/a	\$1,039	n/a	\$7,822	\$8,861	n/a	n/a
710	Office (>10,000 sf)	1,000 sf	\$2,424.34	\$3,432	\$5,856.34	\$7,236	\$10,668	340%	182%
712	Office (<=10,000 s.f.)	1,000 sf	\$2,424.34	\$3,432	\$5,856.34	\$10,729	\$14,161	484%	242%
720	Medical Office	1,000 sf	\$5,596.91	\$3,432	\$9,028.91	\$31,400	\$34,832	522%	386%
750	Office Park	1,000 sf	\$1,976.66	\$3,432	\$5,408.66	\$7,462	\$10,894	451%	201%
820	Retail (>150 ksf gla)	1,000 sf	\$4,500.00	\$5,192	\$9,692.00	\$19,755	\$24,947	454%	257%
821	Retail (40-150 ksf gla)	1,000 sf	\$5,584.20	\$5,192	\$10,776.20	\$43,404	\$48,596	770%	451%
822	Retail (<40 ksf gla)	1,000 sf	\$8,373.96	\$5,192	\$13,565.96	\$15,246	\$20,438	144%	151%
850	Supermarket	1,000 sf	\$10,136.35	\$5,192	\$15,328.35	\$31,824	\$37,016	265%	241%
851	Convenience Store	1,000 sf	\$32,010.26	\$5,192	\$37,202.26	\$135,754	\$140,946	340%	379%
881	Drug Store w/Drive-Through	1,000 sf	\$6,975.61	\$5,192	\$12,167.61	\$30,027	\$35,219	405%	289%
912	Bank w/ Drive-Through Lane(s)	1,000 sf	\$20,237.45	\$5,192	\$25,429.45	\$36,063	\$41,255	104%	162%
931	Restaurant-Fine Dining	1,000 sf	\$11,227.22	\$5,192	\$16,419.22	\$58,413	\$63,605	467%	387%
930/932	Resaturant-Fast Casual/High Turnover	1,000 sf	\$11,227.22	\$5,192	\$16,419.22	\$63,824	\$69,016	515%	420%
912/913/	Restaurant-Fast-Food w/ Drive-Thru	1,000 sf	\$38,427.10	\$5,192	\$43,619.10	\$103,509	\$108,701	183%	249%
934									
941	Quick Lube	1,000 sf	\$1,264.07	\$5,192	\$6,456.07	\$44,313	\$49,505	3816%	767%
942	Auto Care Center	1,000 sf	\$1,253.01	\$5,192	\$6,445.01	\$19,401	\$24,593	1863%	382%
944	Gasoline Station	Fuel Pos.	\$7,311.29	(3)	\$7,308.29	\$27,871	\$27,868	281%	381%

Scenario 1 -- Haines City Only

Notes: 1. Where more than one land use code is listed, the rate is an average of the land uses.

2. After fourth County adjustment in June, 2026.

3. County charges on a "per 1,000 s.f." basis, whereas City fee is by number of fueling positions.

It is always of interest for communities to be aware of how their fees compare to the fees of nearby communities. Table 8-2 provides such a summary of the current fees levied by nearby communities. Where the fees include a component for the State road system, that is noted in the table.

Fee Rates	Hillsborough	Orange County* Osceola County* County*	6/27/2021 2022 Effective 10/1/2022	Non-	Urban/ Urban/ Rural Fee	ral Suburban Urban Standard Mixed-Use TOD Rural Suburb District	586 \$10,138 \$9,218 \$9,999 \$7,499 \$5,000 \$15,941 \$9,183 \$13,038	<u>337 \$7,303 \$8,349</u> \$7,754 \$5,815 \$3,877 n/a \$6,661 \$9,445	<mark>333 \$3,519 \$3,746</mark> \$7,491 \$5,618 \$3,745 n/a \$4,168 \$4,901	.32 \$10,037 \$11,473 \$6,025 \$4,518 \$3,012 n/a \$8,336 \$11,777	<mark>051 \$11,818 \$12,594</mark> \$13,849 \$10,387 \$6,924 n/a \$13,562 \$15,962	17 \$3,857 \$4,410 \$2,274 ⁽²⁾ \$1,706 ⁽²⁾ \$1,137 ⁽²⁾ n/a \$4,230 \$5,982		350 s.f./room.				
sportation	oolk Lakeland ⁴	ounty *	0/2026	listrict	A" -	theast	3,460 \$7,776 <mark>\$</mark> :	2,436 \$4,273 <mark>\$</mark>	,817 ⁽¹⁾ \$3,488 <mark>\$</mark>	3,431 \$5,947 <mark>\$</mark>	5,192 \$11,288 <mark>\$</mark> :	539 \$1,143 <mark>\$</mark>		based on assumption	al" in fee schedule.			
gency Tran	nes Haines I	ty ⁴ City ⁴ Co	osed 12/7/2023 6/3			All All Noi	306 \$4,942 \$	142 \$3,457 \$:	352 3198.79 \$1	,161 \$5,855 \$:	,947 \$10,776 \$	430 \$1,131 \$		ail/Commercial", rate	ehouse". No "Industri	in fee.	/ fee.	
Table 8-2: Nearby A	Hai	Agency	Year Effective: Prop			District A	Single-Family (1,501 to 2,500 s.f.)/du: \$9,5	• Multi-Family/du: \$7,7	Hotel (per Room): \$4,5	Coffice (<=10ksf, per 1,000 sf): \$14,	- Retail (>150,000 sf)/ksf: \$24,	Industrial (per 1,000 sf): \$4, ²	Notes:	1. In Polk County, Hotel is classified as "Ret	2. Rate for Osceola "Industrial" is for "War	3. Asterisk denotes inclusion of State roads	4. Polk County fee added to/included in City	

Table 8-2: Transportation Impact Fees of Nearby Communities

Haines City, Florida 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study

9. Conclusion

Analysis of transportation and development growth trends in Haines City illustrate that travel demands are growing at a faster pace than funding to expand system capacity. Annual revenues of \$42.3 million are required to add capacity to maintain the desired quality of service on the non-State roadway system at the rate that travel is increasing. This fee study proposes a multi-modal transportation impact fee increase that, in combination with other revenues, generates \$31.7 million per year, compared to the current revenue program which generates an estimated \$14.0 million per year.

This study presented two fee rate scenarios for consideration by Haines City. The first scenario proposes the City adopt, within the City, proactive quality of service goals that are better than the current combined County and City transportation impact fees provide, while respecting the current quality of service goals that are funded by the surrounding jurisdictions. This fee schedule roughly doubles the current fees. However, this scenario does not address all the needs within the City because City development does not generate all traffic growth within the City.

The second scenario fee schedule expands the geographic area for which the better, City quality of service goal to include the "Shed" subarea surrounding the City that also relies on the same road system as Haines City. In this scenario the fees are higher, and the quality of service delivered is better. However, the second fee scenario assesses development outside the City for travel at a better quality of service than those areas have adopted, and thus the second fee schedule is not recommended at this time. For the benefit of all, the participation of Polk County and the cities of Davenport, Dundee, and Lake Hamilton in similar revenue programs with similar quality of service goals should be encouraged and pursued.

The City would use the funds collected from the fee to implement improvements identified in the CityView/SAP plan with priorities indicated by advancement of selected improvement into the City's Capital Improvement Program.

In consideration of 163.31801 Florida Statutes requirements regarding adoption of fee increases of more than 50 percent, the City must find its circumstances are extraordinary in two public workshops. The City's circumstances are extraordinary because this multi-modal transportation impact fee will replace a legacy transportation impact fee which:

- is based on a technical support analysis that has not been updated since 2004 and is not based on the City's currently adopted transportation plan,
- does not reflect recent extraordinary growth trends,

- does not recognize that improvement costs have increased beyond the indexing rate of the legacy fee,
- does not recognize that roadway congestion levels in and around the City exceed those found in other areas of Polk County, and
- does not make use of the latest, localized plans and data,

Following the workshops, the City may adopt the fee, to go into effect with a 90-day advance public notice.

Appendix A SAP/CityView Plan Update

Derek "Ted" Atkins, PLA, AICP Ref: 66535.00 18 July 2024 Page 1 To: Derek "Ted" Atkins, PLA, AICP

Haines City Development Services



Date: 18 July 2024

Project #: 66535.00

From: Alayna Delgado, AICP	Re: Haines City Mobility Fee Study -
Arpita Guha, PE, PTOE, RSP1	CityView Select Area Plan (SAP) Transportation
William Oliver, PE	Element Update

Introduction

Haines City has been growing at near unprecedented levels in recent years, resulting in rapid increases to volume of traffic, level of congestion, and the associated negative impacts on public safety, mobility, and the quality of life in the community. To manage transportation within the City, multiple plans and reports have been prepared over the years to aid in mitigating the effects of the substantial area growth. Transportation network visions and policies for implementation were initially adopted in the City's CityView Selected Area Plans (SAP's) and Comprehensive Plan Amendment 10-2 in 2008. Revisions to the SAP were adopted in 2010, and an additional Transportation Element Update to the SAP was submitted in 2022.

This memorandum has been developed as a 2024 addendum to the SAP. The following sections of this memorandum will define the expected future conditions of the City, report on alterations to the model network based on projected growth, and develop cost estimates for upgrades to the roadway infrastructure identified in the 2022 SAP report. This future conditions evaluation, supported by a travel demand modeling effort and an analysis of future roadway level of service, was undertaken in support of a Haines City Mobility Fee update study.

Future Conditions Analysis

Socioeconomic Data and Traffic Analysis Zone Refinement

To create a mobility fee program responsive to recent growth trends, it was necessary to update the growth forecasts and re-evaluate the capability of the City and TPO's transportation plans to accommodate the associated travel demands.

The University of Florida's Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) 2023 population forecasts for Polk County indicate total growth from 770,019 in 2022 to 1,033,800 by 2045, an overall growth rate of 1.29% per year. A faster growth rate of 2.28% per year is forecast from 2022 to 2030, which then slows to a rate of 0.79% per year from 2040 through 2045.

In its on-going transportation planning work, the Polk County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) allocates this growth to traffic analysis zones (TAZ's), which are smaller geographic subareas, throughout the County. The TPO has assigned a growth rate of 2.95% per year for a "traffic-shed" area around Haines City, adding 5,999 persons per year from 2024 through 2045, resulting in a population forecast of 257,220. However, a traffic-shed area larger than Haines City was considered as

Derek "Ted" Atkins, PLA, AICP Ref: 66535.00 18 July 2024 Page 2



the larger area sends travel into and through Haines City. The traffic shed area is illustrated in a map in Attachment A.

Haines City's 2022 CityView SAP was based on a traffic-shed year 2040 population forecast of 234,712. This population growth rate from 2024 to 2040 is 3.18% per year, adding 6,194 persons per year.

Based on Polk County property tax records, recent housing growth rates in the traffic-shed area are substantially greater than those of the TPO and the City's 2022 CityView SAP Update and show no signs of slowing. The traffic-shed area has accounted for 43 of all residential units built in Polk County from 2019 to 2023, adding 3,846 dwelling units per year, able to house an annual population increase of 8,615 persons⁽¹⁾.

For this update, an average county-wide population using BEBR's mid-range and high-range countywide forecast was used. Based on the property tax data, advice of City staff, and the BEBR population forecast, a traffic-shed growth rate of 4.04% per year was used for this update, adding an average of 8,636 persons per year, arriving at a traffic-shed population estimate of 321,008. The Haines City sub-area population was estimated at 118,1132. Population and employment estimates for the remainder of Polk County as developed by the TPO were preserved. The population forecasts can be reviewed in Table 1.

Many of the TAZ's in the eastern portions of Haines City were large, as no substantial growth had been forecast for these areas. However, with the larger growth forecast and more extensive anticipated road network, it was necessary to refine the zonal structure. In an area where the "out of the box" model included 116 TAZ's, the zones were sub-divided into 199 TAZ's. Attachment A includes a map illustrating the refined TAZ structure and a zone-by-zone tabulation of the 2045 socio- economic data that was used for this update.

		Haines City	Traffic Shed	Haines City +	Rest of Polk	County-wide
	Year	Subarea	Subarea	Traffic-Shed	County	Total
	2024	32,007	107,637	139,644	660,149	799,792
	2030	56,664	146,753	203,417	725,882	929,299
	2035	77,190	165,324	242,514	768,808	1,011,322
	2040	97,685	184,025	281,710	800,960	1,082,670
	2045	118,113	202,895	321,008	822,338	1,143,346
A	nnual Rate:	6.41%	3.06%	4.04%	1.05%	1.72%

Table 1: SAP Plan Population Forecast Summary

Travel Demand Modeling and Network Refinement

The travel demand modeling effort used the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Central Florida Regional Planning Model 7 (CFRPM). The CFRPM 7 was chosen over the District 1 Regional Planning Model (D1RPM) used by the TPO because Haines City is more centrally located within the CFRPM, and area travel demands are oriented more toward CFRPM counties than the Southwest Florida

¹ At a persons per household average of 2.24.

² The "traffic-shed" population estimate includes the Haines City sub-area population estimate.

Derek "Ted" Atkins, PLA, AICP Ref: 66535.00 18 July 2024 Page 3



counties included in the D1RPM. (The D1RPM extends from Polk County on the northeast to Collier County on the southwest, whereas the CFRPM includes Polk, Osceola, Orange, Lake, and other counties to the northeast.) Selection of the model was conducted in coordination with City staff and validation was assumed to be included in the latest model. The results of the network refinement for this project are illustrated in Figure 1, with supporting data provided in Attachment B. The 2045 SAP Plan road network is composed of 131.8 miles of major roads in and adjacent to Haines City. Of that, 25.8 miles are State roads, and the remaining 106.0 miles are Polk County or City roads. Of the 106 miles of County and City roads, 45.3 miles are new roads.

Level of Service Analysis

The results produced by the CFRPM provided a basis for determining the anticipated level of service for the framework facilities. The results were then developed using the FDOT 2023 Multimodal Quality/Level of Service Handbook Generalized Service Volume Tables based on an adopted LOS "D" for roadways in urbanized areas. The 2023 Handbook uses the newly adopted Context Classification rather than lane and speed limits, and the Preliminary Context Classification Transportation Data and Analytics (TDA) site was referenced for roadways that did not have Context Classifications identified within the TDA.

Improvements are summarized in three categories in this review: Construction of new roads, adding lanes to existing roads, and reconstruction of existing roads.

- The plan proposes to add 108.9 lane-miles on 45.5 miles of new roadways to provide access to undeveloped land and congestion relief by creating new, alternative routes for travel.
- Addition of 89.2 lane-miles to 25.3 miles of existing City/County roads is indicated as needed to maintain the City/County adopted level of service standard of "E".
- A proposal to reconstruct virtually all existing City and County roads was also advanced in the 2022 CityView SAP. The intent of the reconstruction was to provide for urban amenities on roads within the City (e.g. pedestrian and bicycle facilities, street lighting, medians, on-street parking, closed drainage systems, etc.) at a considerable cost. Many of these roads were constructed to serve rural travel, but as the City has grown, the need to provide for urban and suburban travel demands has emerged.

Some lane addition and costs have been identified on State roads as well; however, in some cases the number of lanes needed to maintain adopted level of service standards exceed State policies on maximum number of lanes on State highways. The Florida DOT is in the process of studying alternative strategies to reduce travel demands and congestion on US 27, to which no solution has been formally adopted into local government transportation plans. Figure 1 illustrates the Plan, and Tables 2 and 3 summarize some of the features of the Plan. The LOS analysis and network inventory data is provided in Attachment B.

99



W.E. OLIVER, P.E., LLC TRAFFIC ENGINEERING TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\SAP Plan\SAP Plan.qgz 07/25/2024 Figure 1 Updated SAP/CityView Transportation Plan



Table 2: Centerline Miles Summary 2024 Centerline Miles

-								
			City/County					
	Haines City	Polk County	Sub-Total	State	Total			
New	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Add Lanes	1.1	24.2	25.3	25.7	51.1			
Reconstruct	16.4	18.2	34.6	0.1	34.7			
No Change	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Totals:	17.5	42.4	59.9	25.8	85.8			

2045 Centerline Miles

			City/County		
	Haines City	Polk County	Sub-Total	State	Total
New	31.4	13.9	45.3	0.0	45.3
Add Lanes	1.1	24.2	25.3	25.7	51.1
Reconstruct	16.4	18.2	34.6	0.1	34.7
No Change	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Totals:	48.9	56.4	105.3	25.8	131.1

Changes in Centerline-Miles

			City/County		
	Haines City	Polk County	Sub-Total	State	Total
New	31.4	13.9	45.3	0.0	45.3
Add Lanes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reconstruct	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No Change	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Totals:	31.4	13.9	45.3	0.0	45.3



Table 3: Lane-Mile Summary

2024 Lane-Miles

			City/County		
	Haines City	Polk County	Sub-Total	State	Total
New	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Add Lanes	2.3	48.4	50.7	109.0	159.7
Reconstruct	32.8	36.4	69.2	0.2	69.4
No Change	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Totals:	35.1	84.8	119.9	109.2	229.1

Proposed 2045 Lane-Miles

			City/County		
	Haines City	Polk County	Sub-Total	State	Total
New	67.3	41.6	108.9	0.0	108.9
Add Lanes	4.6	135.3	139.9	192.5	332.4
Reconstruct	32.8	36.4	69.2	0.2	69.4
No Change	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Totals:	104.6	213.3	318.0	192.7	510.7

Changes in Lane-Miles

			City/County		
	Haines City	Polk County	Sub-Total	State	Total
New	67.3	41.6	108.9	0.0	108.9
Add Lanes	2.3	86.9	89.2	83.6	172.7
Reconstruct	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No Change	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Totals:	69.6	128.5	198.1	83.6	281.7

102



Cost Estimates

Costs were estimated for the roadway facility improvements identified in the updated SAP Plan. Unit costs (cost per mile) were developed for each segment using FDOT's Cost Per Mile Models for Long Range Estimating based on year 2022. The costs were then adjusted using a standard inflation rate for year 2024. The estimated unit costs are noted in Table 4.

Table 4Estimated Unit Costs

ROADWAY TYPE	CONSTRUCTION	RECONSTRUCTION
1 Boulevard Residential - Rural 2-Lane	\$5,071,500.00	
2.1 Boulevard Residential - Rural 2-Lane Transitional	\$6,313,500.00	
2.2 Boulevard Residential - Urban 4-Lane	\$15,111,000.00	\$9,936,000.00
3.1 Boulevard Commercial Area - Two Lane Urban Transitional	\$14,904,000.00	\$9,832,500.00
3.2 Boulevard Commercial Area - Four Lane Urban	\$16,146,000.00	\$10,350,000.00
4.1 Avenue Residential Area- Urban Two-Lane With On-Street Parking	\$14,386,500.00	\$9,315,000.00
4.2 Avenue Residential Area- Urban Two-Lane With Bike Lane	\$14,283,000.00	\$9,211,500.00
5 Avenue Commercial Area- Urban Two-Lane	\$14,904,000.00	\$9,832,500.00
6 Avenue Commercial Area- Urban Two-Lane with Off-Street Parking	\$8,487,000.00	\$9,004,500.00
7 Avenue Residential Area- Urban Four-Lane Constrained	\$14,593,500.00	\$9,522,000.00
8 Avenue Residential Area- Urban Four-Lane Divided	\$15,007,500.00	\$9,832,500.00
14 Rural Street	\$4,243,500.00	

These unit costs were then applied to each of the corridors included in the 2022 SAP Future Roadway Network Plan to develop a planning-level estimate. The cost for each roadway included on the future roadway network are provided in Attachment B. Right of way acquisition costs, as well as design and construction engineering inspection costs, *are not included* in these costs. Additionally, revenue sources have not been identified to fund this plan in its entirety. Therefore, the Plan should be viewed as an "aspirational plan" until funding sources are committed to the proposed improvements. Table 5 summarizes the estimated costs.

Table 5: Estimated Cost

			City/County		
	Haines City	Polk County	Sub-Total	State	Total
New	\$405,970,574	\$159,792,719	\$565,763,292	\$0	\$565,763,292
Add Lanes	\$10,891,409	\$226,004,256	\$236,895,665	\$261,667,044	\$498,562,709
Reconstruct	\$150,122,714	\$170,803,980	\$320,926,694	\$1,003,536	\$321,930,230
No Change	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Totalet	¢ECC 004 COC		¢1 100 E0E CEO	¢262 670 500	¢1 296 256 220

Totals: \$566,984,696 \$556,600,955 \$1,123,585,650 \$262,670,580 \$1,386,256,230

Derek "Ted" Atkins, PLA, AICP Ref: 66535.00 18 July 2024 Page 8



Conclusion

This planning exercise was undertaken to support development of an updated mobility fee for Haines City. The expected growth in travel demands on Haines City's transportation system are derived from updated population growth forecasts, a refined model network, and desired infrastructure improvements identified within this memorandum. The results identified herein can be included as an addendum to the adopted Haines City CityView Special Area Plan Transportation Element Update and utilized to aid in the future decision making of relegated transportation improvements within the City of Haines City.

104

Attachment A 2045 TAZ Structure and Socio-Economic Data Attachment A



Attachment A

7/2/2024

2045 Socio-Economic	Data Forecast
---------------------	---------------

TAZ	SubArea	45SF_DU	45SF_POP	45MF_DU	45MF_POP	45TOT_POP	45IND_EMP	45COM_EMP	45SVC_EMP	45TOT_EMP
5446	Shed	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	13
5773	Shed	672	1559	83	162	1721	1	59	255	314
5780	Shed	392	909	48	94	1003	0	1	27	28
5784	Shed	373	865	46	90	955	0	0	0	0
5786	Shed	141	327	17	33	360	0	0	0	0
5792	Shed	328	761	40	78	839	628	29	67	724
5793	Shed	285	661	35	68	729	0	41	101	142
5795	Shed	409	949	50	98	1046	0	0	35	35
5797	Shed	1403	3255	173	337	3592	0	0	0	0
5800	Shed	1289	2990	159	310	3301	0	26	54	80
5801	Shed	475	1102	59	115	1217	0	1051	324	1375
5803	Shed	1213	2814	150	293	3107	21	16	83	120
5804	Shed	436	1012	54	105	1117	195	171	187	553
5805	Shed	301	698	37	72	770	160	161	1037	1358
5806	Shed	951	2206	117	228	2434	0	25	1139	1164
5811	Shed	633	1469	78	152	1621	475	436	523	1434
5812	Shed	409	949	50	98	1046	30	0	24	54
5813	Shed	1954	4533	242	472	5005	0	0	832	832
5817	Shed	1042	2417	129	252	2669	0	0	2143	2143
5818	Shed	737	1710	91	177	1887	2	20	263	285
5821	Shed	1948	4519	241	470	4989	0	24	16	40
5822	Shed	360	835	44	86	921	71	25	13	109
5824	Shed	566	1313	70	137	1450	20	153	108	281
5825	Shed	396	919	49	96	1014	0	33	2	36
5826	Shed	530	1230	65	127	1356	167	587	473	1227
5827	Shed	1217	2823	150	293	3116	0	51	2769	2820
5828	Shed	182	422	22	43	465	67	42	210	320
5829	Shed	1282	2974	158	308	3282	0	108	1010	1118
5831	Shed	538	1248	67	131	1379	0	0	0	0
5833	Shed	1508	3499	186	363	3861	426	411	3854	4690
5836	Haines	469	1088	58	113	1201	0	318	0	318
5838	Shed	407	944	50	98	1042	564	152	210	926
5839	Shed	374	868	46	90	957	0	79	0	79
5840	Shed	458	1063	57	111	1174	42	28	141	211
5841	Shed	1260	2923	156	304	3227	0	2	501	502
5848	Shed	617	1431	76	148	1580	0	0	0	0
5849	Shed	537	1246	66	129	1375	857	85	253	1195
5850	Shed	1885	4373	233	454	4828	0	50	80	130
5853	Shed	576	1336	71	138	1475	5	47	82	134
5855	Shed	664	1540	82	160	1700	346	0	466	346
5856	Shed	586	1360	72	140	1500	911	282	378	1571
5857	Shed	487	1130	60	117	1247	0	0	86	86
5860	Shed	763	1770	94	183	1953	0	188	203	391
5863	Shed	629	1459	78	152	1611	302	30	39	371
5865	Shed	249	578	31	60	638	0	0	0	0
5872	Shed	2572	5967	318	620	6587	0	223	321	544
5874	Shed	716	1661	88	172	1833	1468	357	765	2590
5879	Haines	788	1828	97	189	2017	923	150	53	1127
5880	Haines	371	861	46	90	950	0	0	266	266
5883	Shed	311	722	38	74	796	0	102	127	230
5892	Shed	972	2255	120	234	2489	203	17	236	456
5893	Haines	505	1172	62	121	1293	335	284	283	902
5894	Shed	1125	2610	139	271	2881	357	151	2278	2786
5897	Shed	462	1072	57	111	1183	0	0	179	179

C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\CFRPM7 Application\Zdata\Ref_ZData_WEO_Newer.xlsx

Attachment A

7/2/2024

TAZ	SubArea	45SF_DU	45SF_POP	45MF_DU	45MF_POP	45TOT_POP	45IND_EMP	45COM_EMP	45SVC_EMP	45TOT_EMP
5903	Haines	150	348	120	234	582	1033	306	3860	5199
5908	Haines	1083	2513	134	261	2774	0	31	669	700
5909	Haines	206	478	26	51	529	0	0	829	829
5913	Haines	815	1891	101	197	2088	0	102	79	182
5917	Haines	698	1619	86	168	1787	0	0	91	91
5918	Haines	667	1547	82	160	1707	126	378	1165	1669
5924	Haines	603	1399	74	144	1543	0	1	1193	1194
5927	Haines	273	633	34	66	700	0	23	76	99
5929	Haines	881	2044	109	213	2256	38	90	1144	1272
5933	Haines	648	1503	80	156	1659	6	150	940	1096
5935	Haines	1826	4236	226	441	4677	10	653	3762	4424
5942	Haines	94	218	12	23	241	0	29	448	477
5948	Haines	149	346	18	35	381	0	93	366	458
5952	Haines	52	121	80	156	277	30	476	1200	2172
5956	Haines	649	1506	80	156	1662	3	60	786	850
5960	Haines	522	1211	65	127	1338	384	365	174	923
5968	Haines	158	367	19	37	404	0	42	197	239
5974	Haines	279	647	34	66	714	4	12	504	521
5978	Haines	834	1935	103	201	2136	0	574	43	617
5979	Haines	773	1793	96	187	1981	637	179	373	1189
5985	Haines	420	974	52	101	1076	0	43	165	208
5987	Haines	117	271	14	27	299	11	4	0	15
6001	Haines	550	1276	68	133	1409	0	43	104	147
6002	Haines	1533	3557	189	369	3925	89	771	997	1857
6004	Shed	899	2086	111	216	2302	41	891	7219	8151
6005	Haines	972	2255	120	234	2489	164	254	826	1245
6015	Shed	578	1341	71	138	1479	0	63	185	247
6017	Haines	517	1199	64	125	1324	0	0	0	0
6023	Haines	457	1060	57	111	1171	4	516	201	720
6026	Haines	1041	2415	129	252	2667	250	25	980	1255
6028	Haines	477	1107	59	115	1222	0	0	75	75
6032	Shed	959	2225	118	230	2455	24	160	1097	1281
6034	Shed	1509	3501	187	365	3866	182	39	123	344
6037	Haines	287	666	36	70	736	0	409	200	610
6047	Haines	1015	2355	125	244	2599	0	583	891	1474
6051	Haines	948	2199	117	228	2428	0	0	529	529
6052	Shed	50	116	171	333	449	392	53	113	559
6059	Shed	473	1097	58	113	1210	0	22	207	229
6060	Haines	859	1993	106	207	2200	0	454	912	1366
6069	Shed	1129	2619	140	273	2892	876	0	2073	2949
6074	Haines	366	849	158	308	1157	118	767	767	2794
6076	Haines	655	1520	81	158	1678	167	291	1019	1476
6078	Shed	343	796	42	82	878	709	232	940	1881
6080	Shed	2546	5907	315	614	6521	0	324	1214	1538
6086	Shed	397	921	49	96	1017	0	11	583	593
6091	Haines	780	1810	96	187	1997	0	255	1879	2135
6092	Shed	300	696	37	72	768	596	229	774	1599
6096	Haines	1034	2399	128	250	2648	0	153	1430	1583
6103	Shed	857	1988	106	207	2195	0	242	747	989
6109	Shed	525	1218	65	127	1345	513	550	1358	2421
6112	Shed	633	1469	78	152	1621	30	327	1179	1536
6115	Shed	1136	2636	140	273	2909	1198	314	978	2491
6127	Shed	449	1042	56	109	1151	0	61	77	138
6132	Shed	490	1137	61	119	1256	0	0	0	0

C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\CFRPM7 Application\Zdata\Ref_ZData_WEO_Newer.xlsx
Attachment A

7/2/2024

TAZ	SubArea	45SF_DU	45SF_POP	45MF_DU	45MF_POP	45TOT_POP	45IND_EMP	45COM_EMP	45SVC_EMP	45TOT_EMP
6139	Shed	538	1248	66	129	1377	19	64	251	334
6142	Shed	765	1775	95	185	1960	257	581	2858	3696
6143	Shed	250	580	31	60	640	0	430	504	934
6146	Shed	2159	5009	267	521	5530	45	644	2248	2937
6147	Shed	1319	3060	163	318	3378	9	204	338	551
6154	Shed	486	1128	60	117	1245	0	48	130	178
6157	Shed	752	1745	93	181	1926	19	22	123	164
6160	Shed	734	1703	91	177	1880	0	25	38	64
6164	Shed	150	348	18	35	383	0	142	63	205
6166	Shed	217	503	27	53	556	0	238	123	361
6167	Shed	1262	2928	156	304	3232	0	190	351	542
6168	Shed	530	1230	65	127	1356	702	96	145	943
6173	Shed	404	937	50	98	1035	0	0	0	0
6175	Shed	456	1058	56	109	1167	714	465	933	2112
6177	Shed	799	1854	99	193	2047	0	238	121	359
6179	Shed	0	0	384	749	749	0	2490	186	2676
6180	Shed	1907	4424	236	460	4884	93	1540	2287	3920
6557	Shed	106	246	13	25	271	0	98	73	171
6565	Shed	604	1401	75	146	1548	0	5	258	263
6566	Haines	935	2169	116	226	2395	0	27	76	103
6567	Shed	320	742	40	78	820	0	110	341	451
6568	Shed	312	724	39	76	800		256	765	1022
6569	Shed	362	840	45	88	928		183	547	730
6570	Shed	383	889	47	92	980		183	751	934
6571	Haines	425	986	53	103	1089		318	0	318
6572	Haines	92J 862	2000	107	209	2208		0	46	46
6573	Haines	/16	965	51	<u>205</u> QQ	1065			529	529
6574		410	905	51	99	1060		71		71
6575	Chad	414	1012	51	99 105	1117		126	0	126
6576	Shed	/30	1012	5/	105	112/		120	0	120
6570	Shad	202	012	24 70	102	1007		42	0	42
6570	Shed	395	912	49	90	1007		90 177	0	90 177
05/0	Shed	555	920	49	90	1021		140	0	140
65/9	Sneu	5/8	<u>۲) ۸</u>	4/	92	909		140	0	140
6580	Shea	547	1269	68	133	1402			30	30
6581	Sneu	430	1012	54	105		4		12	70
6582	Shea	221	513	27	53	565	297		0	297
6583	Sneu	239	554	30	59	613	297		0	297
6584	Shea	250	594	32	62	650	0		54	54
6585	Sneu	199	462	25	49	510	35		0	35
6586	Shea	198	459	24	4/	506			0	U
6587	Shed	279	647	34	66	/14	0	0	0	0
6588	Shed	4/6	1104	59	115	1219	0	0	18	18
6589	Shed	367	851	45	88	939	0	0	20	20
6590	Shed	490	113/	61	119	1256	8	399	0	407
6591	Shed	467	1083	58	113	119/	0	399	0	399
6592	Shea	360	835	44	86	921	0	0	U	Ű
6593	Haines	436	1012	54	105	1117	289	150	53	493
6594	Haines	103	239	13	25	264	22	0	0	22
6595	Haines	355	824	44	86	909	0	327	0	327
6596	Haines	639	1482	79	154	1637	0	7	66	72
6597	Haines	554	1285	68	133	1418	0	0	0	0
6598	Haines	446	1035	55	107	1142	0	0	266	266
6599	Haines	482	1118	60	117	1235	0	0	19	19
6600	Haines	417	967	51	99	1067	0	0	0	0

C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\CFRPM7 Application\Zdata\Ref_ZData_WEO_Newer.xlsx

Attachment A

7/2/2024

TAZ	SubArea	45SF_DU	45SF_POP	45MF_DU	45MF_POP	45TOT_POP	45IND_EMP	45COM_EMP	45SVC_EMP	45TOT_EMP
6601	Haines	295	684	37	72	757	0	0	0	0
6602	Haines	334	775	41	80	855	0	0	0	0
6603	Haines	600	1392	74	144	1536	0	0	0	0
6604	Haines	244	566	30	59	625	0	0	0	0
6605	Haines	499	1158	62	121	1279	0	0	711	711
6606	Haines	178	413	22	43	456	0	0	0	0
6607	Haines	574	1332	71	138	1470	0	0	0	0
6608	Haines	331	768	41	80	848	0	0	0	0
6609	Haines	684	1587	84	164	1751	59	23	507	589
6610	Haines	1190	2761	92	179	2940	80	0	0	80
6611	Haines	603	1399	75	146	1545	22	0	0	22
6612	Haines	510	1183	63	123	1306	0	599	0	599
6613	Haines	436	1012	54	105	1117	0	182	266	448
6614	Haines	1132	2626	82	160	2786	0	0	1232	91
6615	Haines	704	1633	87	170	1803	0	153	303	456
6616	Haines	499	1158	62	121	1279	0	0	61	61
6617	Haines	719	1668	89	174	1842	0	168	43	211
6618	Haines	718	1666	89	174	1839	0	0	61	61
6619	Haines	400	928	49	96	1024	4	0	0	4
6620	Haines	325	754	40	78	832	0	0	19	19
6621	Haines	166	385	20	39	424	0	0	0	0
6622	Haines	187	434	23	45	479	0	0	0	0
6623	Haines	771	1789	95	185	1974	0	161	62	223
6624	Haines	271	629	34	66	695	0	0	0	0
6625	Haines	694	1610	86	168	1778	0	122	179	301
6626	Haines	918	2130	113	220	2350	0	0	227	227
6627	Shed	489	1134	60	117	1251	0	0	0	0
6628	Shed	201	466	25	49	515	0	0	20	20
6629	Shed	631	1464	78	152	1616	0	0	305	305
6630	Shed	794	1842	98	191	2033	0	3	409	412
6631	Shed	559	1297	69	135	1431	0	0	104	104
6632	Shed	864	2004	107	209	2213	0	18	104	122
6633	Shed	217	503	27	53	556	0	0	0	0
6634	Shed	280	650	35	68	718	0	15	33	48
6635	Shed	717	1663	89	174	1837	83	10	136	229
6636	Shed	768	1782	95	185	1967	0	0	191	191
6637	Shed	328	761	41	80	841	0	152	882	1034

2045 Socio-Economic Data Forecast

Attachment B SAP Plan Travel Demands and Cost Estimate

-+
2
0
2
ŝ
2
7

On: From/To	Juris	Length (mi)	Rd Typ if	Class- N fication	umber of Lanes		acity N	djust for on- State Road	AADT VOLUME	Cap RATIO	Los L	veeded Lanes	Section Type	Cost/Mile	Construction Cost	Type of Improvement
10TH ST: Alta Vista Dr/Robinson Dr	State	0.505	2D	C3R	4	D 37	,300		27,400	0.73	υ	4	URBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$5,017,680	Add Lanes
10TH ST: BATES R0AD/Patterson Rd	Haines City	0.500	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	4,000	0.20	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,605,750	Reconstruct
10TH ST: Grace/Dak Dr	State	0.653	2D	C3R	4	D 33	,570	-10%	31,200	0.93	D	4	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$6,488,208	Add Lanes
10TH ST: Lee Jackson Hwy/Freedom Dr	Haines City	0.868	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	7,800	0.39	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$7,995,582	Reconstruct
10TH ST: Dak Av/US 17/92 (HINSON AVENUE E)	State	0.101	2D	C3R	4	D 37	300		15,100	0.40	υ	2	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$1,003,536	Reconstruct
10TH ST: Robinson Dr/Grace	State	0.653	2D	C3R	4	D 33	,570	-10%	33,100	0.99	۵	4	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$6,488,208	Add Lanes
10TH ST: SR 544/Alta Vista Dr	State	0.287	2D	C3R	4	D 37	300		29,900	0.80	υ	4	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$2,851,632	Add Lanes
10TH ST: US 17/92 (HINSON AVE)/12TH STREET	Haines City	0.394	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	7,400	0.37	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$3,629,331	Reconstruct
12th St. Freedom Dr/Bates Rd	Haines City	0.507	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	7,200	0.36	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,670,231	Reconstruct
12TH ST: Johnson Av/Lee Jackson Hwy	Haines City	0.207	2U	C3R	4	D 33	,570	-10%	18,100	0.54	υ	4	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$2,056,752	Add Lanes
12TH ST: STUART AVE/Johnson Av	Haines City	0.173	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	12,100	09.0	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$1,593,590	Reconstruct
1st St N/Pennsylvania Av: Peninsular Dr/Dak Av	Haines City	0.436	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	2,100	0.10	υ	2	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$4,286,970	Reconstruct
30th St Ext. Baker Dairy Rd/E-W Road P	Haines City	0.825	0	C2	4	D 50	,130	-10%	9,100	0.18	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$11,783,475	New
3 Oth St Ext: Bates Rd/Haines City NCL	Haines City	0.212	0	C2	4	D 50	,130	-10%	11,400	0.23	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,027,996	New
30th St Ext. E-W Road P/Bates Rd	Haines City	0.347	0	C2	4	D 50	,130	-10%	8,400	0.17	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$4,956,201	New
3DTH ST: CR 544 (LAKE MARION RDAD)/Rob Rd	Palk County	0.528	2U	C2	4	D 50	,130	-10%	19,200	0.38	υ	4	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,863,672	Add Lanes
30TH ST: Grace/HINSON AVENUE E	Palk County	0.760	2U	C3R	4	D 33	,570	-10%	20,600	0.61	υ	4	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	\$9,522,000	\$7,236,720	Add Lanes
3 DTH ST: HINSON AVE E/CR 580 (JOHNSON AVENUE E)	Palk County	0.501	2U	C3R	4	D 33	,570	-10%	23,700	0.71	υ	4	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	\$9,522,000	\$4,770,522	Add Lanes
30TH ST: Robinson Rd/Grace	Palk County	0.760	2U	C3R	4	D 33	,570	-10%	22,300	0.66	U	4	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	\$9,522,000	\$7,236,720	Add Lanes
30TH ST: Roe Rd/Robinson Dr	Palk County	0.243	2U	C3R	4	D 33	,570	-10%	20,600	0.61	U	4	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$2,238,395	Add Lanes
ALTA MSTA DR: Peninsular Dr/10th StS	Haines City	0.379	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	4,300	0.21	С	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$3,491,159	Reconstruct
BAKER AVE: US 17/92/3DTH ST	Palk County	0.665	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	1,800	0.09	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$6,125,648	Reconstruct
BAKER DAIRY RD: 30TH ST/PARK RD	Polk County	0.500	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	1,000	0.05	U	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,605,750	Reconstruct
BAKER DAIRY RD: BAKER DAIRY RD (N-S)/E JDHNSDN AVE	Palk County	1.015	2U	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	3,500	0.28	С	2	RURAL STREET (SECTION 14)	\$4,243,500	\$4,307,153	Reconstruct
BAKER DAIRY RD: Baker Dairy Rd (West)/Baker Dairy Rd (Eas	Palk County	0.250	2U	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	4,900	0.39	υ	2	RURAL STREET (SECTION 14)	\$4,243,500	\$1,060,875	Reconstruct
BAKER DAIRY RD: N-S Road J/Baker Dairy Rd (West)	Palk County	0.523	2U	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	0	0.00	С	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,817,615	Reconstruct
BAKER DAIRY RD: PARK RD/POWER LINE RDAD	Palk County	0.506	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	500	0.02	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,661,019	Reconstruct
BAKER DAIRY RD: Power Line Rd/N-S Road J	Palk County	0.238	2U	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	4,000	0.32	С	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,399,354	Reconstruct
BANNON ISLAND RD: BANNON LOOP RD/POWERLINE RD	Palk County	0.749	2U	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	800	0.06	С	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$10,697,967	Reconstruct
BANNON ISLAND RD: Detour Rd/BANNON LDDP RD	Palk County	0.254	2U	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	3,200	0.25	С	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$2,339,721	Reconstruct
BANNDN ISLAND RD: N-S RDAD L/ CR 544 (LAKE MARIDN RDAL	Polk County	0.387	2U	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	006	0.07	C	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$5,527,521	Reconstruct
BANNDN ISLAND RD: POWERLINE RD/N-S RDAD L	Palk County	0.590	2U	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	1,600	0.13	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$8,426,970	Reconstruct
BANNON ISLAND RD: SR 17 (RIDGE SCENIC HIGHWAY)/DETOUK	Polk County	0.507	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	2,700	0.13	U	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,670,231	Reconstruct
BANNON LOOP ROCIBANNON ISLAND RD/P DWERLINE RD	Haines City	1.000	0	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	2,500	0.20	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	New
BATES RD: 10th St/Patterson Rd	Polk County	0.532	2U	C3R	2	D 20	,160	-10%	11,400	0.57	C	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,900,518	Reconstruct
BATES RD: 30th St Ext/Power Line Rd	Haines City	0.703	0	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	5,300	0.42	С	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$10,040,949	New
BATES RD: N-S RDAD K/EAST DF PDSSUM TRDUT AVE	Haines City	0.496	0	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	6,900	0.55	С	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,084,368	New
BATES RD: PATTERSDN RD/US 17/92	Palk County	0.094	2U	C3R	2	D 2C	,160	-10%	19,500	0.97	D	4	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$865,881	Add Lanes
BATES RD: PDWER LINE RD/N-S RDAD K	Haines City	0.266	0	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	5,300	0.42	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,799,278	New
BATES RD: US 17/30th St Ext	Haines City	0.772	0	C2	2	D 12	,600	-10%	6,100	0.48	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$11,026,476	New
BATES RD: US 27/10th St	Palk County	0.939	2U	C3R	4	D 33	,570	-10%	10,300	0.31	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	\$9,522,000	\$8.941.158	Reconstruct

On: From/To	Juris	Length (mi)	Exist Rd Typ i	Context Class- N fication	2045 Number of Lanes	LOS STD	LOS D Capacity	Capacity Adjust for Non- State Road	2045 AADT VOLUME	AADT/D Cap RATIO	LOS	Needed Lanes	Section Type	Cost/Mile	Construction Cost	Type of Improvement
31CE GROVE RD: Baker Dairy Rd/N-S RDAD K	Haines City	0.491 (0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	9,500	0.75	۵	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,522,847	New
31CE GROVE RD: BRADBURY RD/Hinson Av	Haines City	0.495 (0	C3R	4	D	33,570	-10%	21,600	0.64	U	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$4,867,088	New
31CE GROVE RD: E-W RDAD N/Robinson Dr	Haines City	0.532 (0	C2	4	D	50,130	-10%	17,800	0.36	υ	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$5,230,890	New
31CE GROVE RD: Hinson Av/Johnson Av	Haines City	0.506 (0	C3R	4	۵	33,570	-10%	21,300	0.63	υ	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$4,975,245	New
31CE GROVE RD: Johnson Av/Baker Dairy Rd	Haines City	0.500 (0	C2	2	D	12,600	-10%	8,400	0.67	D	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,605,750	New
31CE GROVE RD: KENNEDY RD/SR 544 E	Palk County	0.499	2U	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	20,200	0.40	υ	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$3,150,437	Add Lanes
31CE GROVE RD: Lake Marion Rd/E-W RDAD N	Haines City	0.757 (0	C2	4	D	50,130	-10%	13,500	0.27	C	2	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$7,443,203	New
31CE GROVE RD: N-S RDAD K/Carl Boozer Rd	Haines City	0.491 (0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	7,500	09.0	۵	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,522,847	New
31CE GROVE RD: Robinson Dr/Bradbury Rd	Haines City	0.255 (0	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	21,300	0.42	υ	4	URBAN BDULEVARD TWD-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$2,507,288	New
3ICE GROVE RD: WHITE CLAY PIT RD/KENNEDY RD	Palk County	0.253	2U	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	20,800	0.41	υ	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$1,597,316	Add Lanes
3RADBURY RD: Power Line Rd/N Bice Grove Rd	Haines City	0.823 (0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	1,800	0.14	υ	2	RURAL BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$5,196,011	New
CARL BODZER RD: N Bice Grove Rd/N-S RDAD K	Haines City	0.498	2U	C2	2	D	12,600	-10%	6,800	0.54	U	2	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$3,144,123	Reconstruct
2.ARL BODZER RD: Power Line Rd∕N Bice Grove Rd	Haines City	0.264	2U	C2	2	D	12,600	-10%	9,100	0.72	D	2	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$1,666,764	Reconstruct
CR17 (Main St): Johnson Av/Railroad Av	Palk County	0.491	2U	C3R	4	D	33,570	-10%	15,500	0.46	C	2	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	\$9,522,000	\$4,675,302	Reconstruct
CR17 (Main St): US 27/Jahnson Av	Polk County	0.904	2U	C3R	4	D	33,570	-10%	25,800	0.77	C	4	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	\$9,522,000	\$8,607,888	Add Lanes
CR I7 (POLK CITY ROAD): LAKE LOWERY RD∕YARIAN DR	Palk County	1.542	2U	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	22,200	0.44	υ	4	RURAL STREET (SECTION 14)	\$4,243,500	\$6,543,477	Add Lanes
CR 17 (POLK CITY RDAD): PRADD GRANDE DR/US 27	Palk County	0.862	2U	C3R	4	۵	33,570	-10%	21,800	0.65	υ	4	RURAL BDULEVARD (SECTION I)	\$5,071,500	\$4,371,633	Add Lanes
CR17 (PDLK CITY RDAD): Railroad Av/US 17/92 (HINSDN AVEN	Palk County	0.149	2U	C3R	4	۵	33,570	-10%	17,600	0.52	υ	4	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	\$9,522,000	\$1,418,778	Add Lanes
CR 544 (LAKE MARION RD): 30th St∕Power Line Rd	Palk County	0.754	2U	C2	9	۵	12,600	-10%	68,000	5.40	LL.	10	URBAN BDULEVARD TWD-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$7,413,705	Add Lanes
<u>Cr 544 (lake marion rd): Bannon island rd/bice grove f</u>	Palk County	0.072	2U	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	38,000	0.76	υ	9	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$715,392	Add Lanes
CR 544 (LAKE MARION RD): BICE GROVE RD/TYNER RD	Palk County	0.491	ZU	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	29,600	0.59	υ	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWD-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$4,827,758	Add Lanes
CR 544 (lake marion rd): Cr 546 (kokomo rd)∕Camelot d	Palk County	0.386	2U	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	16,700	1.33	ш	4	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$3,835,296	Add Lanes
CR 544 (LAKE MARIDN RD): Detour Rd/30th St	Palk County	0.310	2U	C2	9	۵	12,600	-10%	65,000	5.16	щ	10	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$3,048,075	Add Lanes
CR 544 (LAKE MARIDN RD): N-S RDAD L/Bannon Island Rd	Palk County	0.471	2U	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	38,200	0.76	υ	9	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$4,631,108	Add Lanes
CR 544 (LAKE MARION RD): Power Line Rd/N-S RDAD L	Palk County	0.568	2U	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	47,900	0.96	D	8	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$5,584,860	Add Lanes
CR 544 (LAKE MARION RD): SR 17 (IDth St S)∕Detour Rd	Palk County	0.599	2U	C3R	9	D	49,590	-10%	66,100	1.33	F	10	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$5,889,668	Add Lanes
CR 544 (LAKE MARION RD): TYNER RD∕CR 546 (KOKOMO RD)	Polk County	1.105	2U	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	22,000	1.75	ш	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$10,864,913	Add Lanes
CR 546 (KDKDMD RD): N−S RDAD G/PDWERLINE RD	Palk County	0.608	2U	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	25,100	0.50	υ	4	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$5,600,592	Add Lanes
cr 546 (kokomo rd): power line rd/n-s road l	Palk County	0.523	2U	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	21,700	0.43	υ	4	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,817,615	Add Lanes
CR 547 (DAVENPORT BLVD): US 27/HOLLY HILL RD	Palk County	0.496	ZU	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	16,100	0.32	υ	4	URBAN BDULEVARD TWD-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$4,876,920	Add Lanes
CR 580 (JOHNSON AVE): 12TH ST/W OF HILLTOP TER	Haines City	0.046	0	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	13,400	0.66	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$657,018	New
CR 580 (JDHNSDN AVE): 3DTH ST/PARK RD	Polk County	0.503	2U	C3R	4	۵	33,570	-10%	37,400	1.11	щ	9	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,633,385	Add Lanes
CR 580 (JOHNSON AVE): PARK RD∕POWER LINE RDAD	Palk County	0.500	2U	C2	4	۵	50,130	-10%	34,300	0.68	υ	9	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,605,750	Add Lanes
CR 580 (JOHNSON AVE): US 17/92/30TH ST	Palk County	0.681	2U	C3R	4	۵	33,570	-10%	26,700	0.80	υ	4	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$6,273,032	Add Lanes
CR 580 (JOHNSON AVE): W DF HILLTOP TER/US 17/92	Haines City	0.192	2U	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	13,400	0.66	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$1,768,608	Reconstruct
CR 580 (JOHNSON AVE/CYPRESS PKWY): BICE GROVE RD/E .	Palk County	1.017	2U	C3R	9	۵	49,590	-10%	54,100	1.09	ш	8	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$10,104,912	Add Lanes
CR 580 (JOHNSON AVE/CYPRESS PKWY): LAWSON AVE/BICE	Palk County	0.242	2U	C3R	9	۵	49,590	-10%	57,300	1.16	щ	8	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$2,404,512	Add Lanes
CR 580 (JOHNSON AVE/CYPRESS PKWY): N-S RDAD J/N-S R	Palk County	0.760	2U	C3R	9	۵	49,590	-10%	55,600	1.12	щ	8	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	\$9,522,000	\$7,236,720	Add Lanes
CR 580 (JDHNSDN AVE/CYPRESS PKWY): N-S RDAD L/BICE G	Palk County	0.760	2U	C2	9		50,130	-10%	55,100	1.10	ш	8	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	\$9,522,000	\$7,236,720	Add Lanes
CR 580 (JOHNSON AVE∕CYPRESS PKWY): POWER LINE RDAD∕	Palk County	0.760	ZU	C3R	9	۵	49,590	-10%	60,400	1.22	ш	8	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	\$9,522,000	\$7,236,720	Add Lanes
)ETDUR RD: Bannon Island Rd/Lake Marion Rd	Palk County	0.505	ZU	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	1,000	0.08	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,651,808	Reconstruct
			000		0	000										

C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\SAP Plan\2024 Update\WHB CostLOS Sorted.xlsx

Type of Improvement	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	New	New	New	New	New	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	New	New	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Add Lanes	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Reconstruct	Add Lanes	Add Lanes	New	New	Add Lanes	New	New	New	New	Reconstruct	New	New	New	New	
Construction Cost	\$2,266,029	\$4,661,019	\$4,679,442	\$2,293,664	\$6,027,426	\$9,241,101	\$3,784,995	\$8,819,960	\$3,207,258	\$3,099,929	\$6,046,988	\$4,522,847	\$2,354,936	\$2,733,746	\$7,184,349	\$3,899,259	\$9,699,710	\$4,865,742	\$3,967,673	\$4,684,824	\$3,352,986	\$3,629,331	\$6,522,570	\$7,198,632	\$7,041,519	\$4,587,327	\$14,268,717	\$7,112,934	\$10,655,118	\$3,527,901	\$3,627,882	\$3,627,882	\$5,441,823	\$8,769,762	\$8,241,291	\$16,939,638	\$3,242,448	\$6,327,369	\$7,270,047	\$6,984,387	\$6,427,350	
Cost/Mile	\$9,211,500	\$9,211,500	\$9,211,500	\$9,211,500	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$6,313,500	\$6,313,500	\$6,313,500	\$9,832,500	\$9,211,500	\$6,313,500	\$6,313,500	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$9,211,500	\$9,522,000	\$4,243,500	\$9,522,000	\$9,211,500	\$9,211,500	\$9,522,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$9,211,500	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$9,211,500	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	\$14,283,000	
Section Type	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	RURAL BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	RURAL BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	RURAL BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	URBAN AVENUE TWO-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	RURAL BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	RURAL BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWO-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	RURAL STREET (SECTION 14)	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	URBAN AVENUE TWO-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE FOUR-LANE (SECTION 7/8)	URBAN AVENUE TWO-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWO-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWO-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)				
Veeded Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
	С	υ	υ	υ	υ	υ	U	C	D	С	υ	C	υ	υ	υ	υ	υ	C	C	υ	C	۵	υ	υ	υ	С	۵	υ	С	н	ш	υ	υ	υ	U	С	D	С	C	C	υ	
AADT/D Cap RATIO	0.17	0.06	0.15	0.03	0.08	0.33	0.13	0.52	0.63	0.22	0.43	0.24	0.52	0.01	0.13	0.41	0.11	0.48	0.40	0.37	0.36	0.70	0.81	0.35	0.26	0.51	0.79	0.67	0.75	1.07	1.07	0.85	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.49	0.75	0.11	0.21	0.12	0.04	
2045 AADT VOLUME	2,100	800	1,900	400	1,000	4,200	1,700	6,500	7,900	2,800	8,400	3,000	6,600	100	1,600	5,200	2,300	6,100	5,000	4,700	4,500	8,800	27,100	7,000	5,200	6,400	10,000	22,500	25,300	21,600	21,600	28,600	300	300	0	6,200	9,500	1,400	2,600	1,500	500	
Capacity Adjust for Non- State Road	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	
LOS D Capacity	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	19,530	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	20,160	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	33,570	20,160	20,160	12,600	12,600	33,570	33,570	20,160	20,160	33,570	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	12,600	rted.xlsx
LOS STD	D	D	D	۵	D	D	D	D	۵	D	۵	D	۵	۵	۵	۵	D	D	D	۵	D	D	D	D	D	D	۵	۵	D	D	۵	۵	D	D	D	D	۵	D	D	D	۵	rLOS So
2045 Number of Lanes	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	4	4	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	e/VHB Cosi
Context Class- ification	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C3C	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C3R	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C3R	C3R	C3R	C2	C2	C3R	C3R	C3R	C3R	C3R	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	C2	24 Updat
Exist Rd Typ	2U	2U	2U	2U	0	0	0	0	0	2U	2U	2U	2U	2U	0	0	2U	2U	2U	2U	2U	2U	2U	2U	2U	2U	2U	2U	2U	0	0	2U	0	0	0	0	2U	0	0	0	0	lan\20
Length (mi)	0.246	0.506	0.508	0.249	0.422	0.647	0.265	1.397	0.508	0.491	0.615	0.491	0.373	0.433	0.503	0.273	1.053	0.511	0.935	0.492	0.364	0.394	0.685	0.504	0.493	0.498	0.999	0.498	0.746	0.247	0.254	0.254	0.381	0.614	0.577	1.186	0.352	0.443	0.509	0.489	0.450	sis\SAP F
Juris	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Haines City	Haines City	Haines City	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Haines City	Haines City	Haines City	Haines City	Haines City	Haines City	Haines City	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Haines City	Haines City	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Haines City	Haines City	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Haines City	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	Palk County	o Fee\3. Analy
On: From/To	DETDUR RD: Hughes Rd/White Clay Pit Rd	DETOUR RD: Kokomo Rd/Bannon Island Rd	DETOUR RD: Kokomo Rd/Hughes Rd	DETOUR RD: White Clay Pit Rd/Kennedy Rd	E-W RDAD N: N-S RDAD L/BICE GROVE RDAD	E-W Road P: 30th St Ext/Powerline Rd	E-W Road P: POWERLINE RD/N-S RDAD K	FDC GRDVE RDCUS 27/Sanders Rd	FDC GROVE RD: Massee Rd/HDLLY HILL TANK RD	FDC GROVE RD: Sanders Rd/Holly Hill Cut off Rd	FLDRIDA AVENUE W/F St: US 17/Peninsular Dr	FLORIDA DEVELOPMENT RD: US 27/HOLLY HILL RD	FDRESTLAKE DR: Holly Hill Rd/Dead End	FDRESTLAKE DR: N-S Road C/Holly Hill Rd	GRACE AVE: 30th St/N-S RDAD I	GRACE AVE: N-S ROAD 1/ Power Line Rd	GRACE AVE: SR 17/TENTH STREET/30TH STREET	HINS DN AVE: 3DTH ST S/N-S RDAD I	HINSON AVE: BICE GROVE RD/KALDGRIDIS RD	HINSON AVE: N-S ROAD 1/POWERLINE RD	HINSON AVE: N-S ROAD L/BICE GROVE RD	HINSON AVE: POWERLINE RD/N-S RDAD L	HINS DN AVE: US 17/92/30th St	HOLLY HILL CUTDFF RD: FDC Grove Rd/US 27	HOITA HIT KD (E-M): NZ 57/HOLLY HILL RD (N-S)	HOLLY HILL RD/DRCHID DR: BATES RDAD/PATTERSDN RDAD	HOLLY HILL RD/DRCHID DR: PATTERSON RDAD/DAVENPORT DR	HOLLY HILL RD: CR 547 (Davenport BI)/NORTH BLVD W	HOLLY HILL RD: FORESTLAKE DR/Holly Hill Rd (E-W)	HOLLY HILL RD: HOLLY HILL FRUIT RD/FLORIDA DEVELOPMENT R	HOLLY HILL RD: Holly Hill Rd (E-W)/HOLLY HILL FRUIT RD	HOLLY HILL RD: NORTH BLVD W/FDREST LAKE DR	HUGHES RDCIDE TOUR RDAD/N-S RDAD GO	HUGHES RDCIN-S RDAD GZPOWERLINE RDD	HUGHES RDG/PDWERLINE RDZN-S RDAD LD	HUGHES RD: US 27/SR 17 (RIDGE SCENIC HWY)D	JOHNSON AVE: Main St/7th St N	KENNEDY RDDBICE GROVE RD/N-S RDAD M	KENNEDY ROCIDETDUR RD/N-S ROAD G	KENNEDY RODN-S ROAD G/POWERLINE RD	KENNEDY RODN-S RDAD L/BICE GRDVE RD	C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mol

On: From/To	Juris	Length (mi)	Exist Rd Typ	Context Class- ification	2045 Number of Lanes	LOS STD	LOS D Capacity	Capacity Adjust for Non- State Road	2045 AADT VOLUME	AADT/D Cap RATIO	ros	Needed Lanes	Section Type	Cost/Mile	Construction Cost	Type of Improvement
KENNEDY RDDN-S RDAD M/TYNER	Palk County	0.258	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	1,500	0.12	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,685,014	Vew
KENNEDY RODPOWERLINE RD/N-S ROAD L	Palk County	0.557	0	C2	2	D	12,600	-10%	1,300	0.10	U	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,955,631	New
KENNEDY RDIJUS 17/DETDUR RD	Palk County	0.504	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	5,100	0.40	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,198,632	New
KENTUCKY ST: DLD HAINES CITY - LAKE ALFRED RDAD/DR 17 (P	Haines City	1.159	2U	C3R	2		20,160	-10%	5,300	0.26	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$10,676,129	Reconstruct
Kentucky St. US 17/92/LEE JACKSDN HWY	Haines City	0.288	2U	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	5,700	0.28	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$2,652,912	Reconstruct
LEE JACKSON HWY: Baker Av/US 17/92	Haines City	0.518	2U	C3C	2	D	19,530	-10%	6,300	0.32	С	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,771,557	Reconstruct
LEE JACKSDN HWY: MLK Jr Way/Baker Av	Haines City	0.330	2U	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	7,400	0.37	C	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$3,039,795	Reconstruct
MAIN ST: 5th St/IDth StN	Haines City	0.251	2U	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	18,500	0.92	۵	4	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$2,312,087	Add Lanes
MARTIE RODFDC Grove Rd/Orchid Dr	Haines City	0.615	0	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	1,600	0.08	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$8,784,045	Vew
MASSEE RDAD: FDC GROVE RD/US 27	Haines City	0.548	2U	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	006'2	0.39	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE WITH DFF-STREET PARI	\$9,004,500	\$4,934,466	Reconstruct
N 8th St: 7th St/Idth St	Haines City	0.467	2U	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	10,200	0.51	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,301,771	Reconstruct
N 8th St: Johnson Av/BAKER DAIRY RD	Palk County	0.506	2U	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	9,100	0.45	U	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,661,019	Reconstruct
NDRTH BLVD: US 27/HOLLY HILL ROAD	Polk County	0.495	2U	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	9,200	0.46	υ	2	RURAL BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$3,125,183	Reconstruct
N-S RDAD A: MASSEE RDAD/HDLLY HILL TANK RDAD	Haines City	0.495	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	200	0.02	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWO-LANE WITH DFF-STREET PARI	\$8,487,000	\$4,201,065	Vew
N-S RDAD C: CR 547 (DAVENPORT BLVD)ØNDRTH BLVD W	Haines City	0.496	0	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	4,800	0.24	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWO-LANE WITH DFF-STREET PARI	\$8,487,000	\$4,209,552	New
N-S RDAD C: FOREST LAKE DRØHOLLY HILL RD (E-W)	Haines City	0.761	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	4,600	0.37	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWO-LANE WITH DFF-STREET PARI	\$8,487,000	\$6,458,607	New
N-S RDAD C: HOLLY HILL RD (E-W)ZFLDRIDA DEVELDPMENT RD/	Haines City	0.505	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	1,000	0.08	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWO-LANE WITH DFF-STREET PARI	\$8,487,000	\$4,285,935	Vew
N-S RDAD C: NORTH BLVD WZFOREST LAKE DR	Haines City	0.257	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	5,800	0.46	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE WITH DFF-STREET PARI	\$8,487,000	\$2,181,159	Vew
N-S RDAD D: Patterson Rd/Martie Rd	Haines City	0.251	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	400	0.03	υ	2	RURAL BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$1,584,689	Vew
N-S RDAD G: HUGHES RDØWHITE CLAY PIT RDD	Haines City	0.253	0	C2	2	D	12,600	-10%	600	0.05	C	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,613,599	New
N-S RDAD G: KENNEDY RDØBANNON LOOP RDD	Haines City	0.253	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	1,200	0.10	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,613,599	New
N-S RDAD G: KOKOMO ROZHUGHES ROD	Haines City	0.496	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	700	0.06	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,084,368	New
N-S RDAD G: WHITE CLAY PIT RDIZKENNEDY RD	Haines City	0.268	0	C2	2		12,600	-10%	300	0.02	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,827,844	New
N-S RDAD I: CR 58D (JDHNSDN AVE)/BAKER DAIRY RD	Haines City	0.510	0	C2	2		12,600	-10%	6,500	0.52	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,284,330	New
N-S RDAD I: GRACE AVE/HINSON AVENUE	Haines City	0.503	0	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	6,900	0.34	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,184,349	New
N-S RDAD I: HINSDN AVENUE/CR 58D (JOHNSDN AVE)	Haines City	0.530	0	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	7,200	0.36	С	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,569,990	New
N-S RDAD I: RDBINSDN DRIVE/GRACE AVE	Haines City	0.255	0	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	1,700	0.08	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,642,165	New
N-S RDAD JOJOHNSON AVENUEZN-S RDAD K	Haines City	0.691	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	3,400	0.27	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$9,869,553	New
N-S RDAD K: CARL BDDZER RDIZE-W RDAD PD	Haines City	0.247	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	4,200	0.33	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,527,901	New
N-S RDAD K: E-W RDAD PZN-S RDAD KD	Haines City	0.250	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	3,300	0.26	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,570,750	New
N-S RDAD K: N-S RDAD JØBICE GRDVE RDD	Haines City	0.411	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	2,900	0.23	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$5,870,313	New
N-S RDAD K: N-S RDAD JØCARL BDDZER RDD	Haines City	0.373	0	C2	2		12,600	-10%	3,700	0.29	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$5,327,559	New
N-S RDAD LOBANNON ISLAND RDØCR 544 (LAKE MARION RD)O	Haines City	0.282	0	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	2,800	0.14	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$4,027,806	New
N-S ROAD LOBRADBURY ROCHINSON AVED	Haines City	0.492	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	2,600	0.21	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,027,236	New
N-S RDAD LIJCR 544 (LAKE MARIDN RD)ZE-W RDAD ND	Haines City	0.485	0	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	5,000	0.25	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$6,927,255	New
N-S RDAD LDE-W RDAD NZRDBINSDN DRD	Haines City	0.545	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	6,200	0.49	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,784,235	New
N-S RDAD LIDHINSDN AVE ZUDHNSDN AVE NUED	Haines City	0.508	0	C3R	2	۵	20,160	-10%	3,300	0.16	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,255,764	New
N-S RDAD LITKENNEDY RDØBANNDN ISLAND RDD	Haines City	0.505	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	2,800	0.22	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,212,915	New
N-S RDAD LORDBINSON DR/BRADBURY RDD	Haines City	0.254	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	3,200	0.25	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,627,882	New
N-S RDAD LOWATER CLAY PIT RDADØKENNEDY RDD	Haines City	0.243	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	1,900	0.15	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,470,769	New
N-S RDAD MDKokomo Rd/Kennedy Rd	Haines City	1.008	0	C2	2	۵	12,600	-10%	100	0.01	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$14,397,264	New
C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob	Fee\3. Analy	sis\SAP F	lan/2	024 Update	WHB CostL	OS SO	rted.xlsx									

Update/VHB CostLOS Sorted.xlsx 12024 lar 1 N allvs 1 20

^{7/25/2024}

On: From/To	Juris	Length (mi)	Exist Rd Typ i	Context Class- N ffication	2045 Jumber of Lanes		LOS D /	Capacity Adjust for Von- State Road	2045 <i>/</i> AADT VOLUME	ADT/D Cap RATIO	Los	Veeded Lanes	Section Type	Cost/Mile	Construction Cost	Type of Improvement
DAK AVE: 1stSv/17TH ST	Haines City	0.923	2U	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	9,700	0.48	υ	2	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$9,170,928	Reconstruct
DLD HAINES CITY LAKE ALFRED RD: MIDPT/Kentucky Av	Haines City	1.640	2U	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	0	0.00	C	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$15,106,860	Reconstruct
PATTERSON RD (N-S): BATES RD/PATTERSON RD (E-W)	Palk County	0.504	2U	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	7,400	0.37	υ	2	RURAL BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$3,182,004	Reconstruct
PATTERSON RD: IDTH STREET N/WALL RDBERT RD/PATTERSON	Palk County	0.509	2U	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	8,600	0.43	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,688,654	Reconstruct
PATTERSON RD: US 27/IDTH STREET N	Palk County	0.862	2U	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	10,800	0.54	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$7,940,313	Reconstruct
PENINSULAR DR: Alta Vista Dr/USI7	Haines City	1.307	2U	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	3,200	0.16	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$12,039,431	Reconstruct
PENINSULAR DR: SR 544 (Scenic Hwy)/Alta Vista Dr	Haines City	0.263	2U	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	3,600	0.18	С	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$2,422,625	Reconstruct
POWER LINE RD: Baker Dairy Rd/CARL BODZER RD	Palk County	0.504	2U	C2	9	О	75,330	-10%	46,200	0.61	υ	9	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$4,955,580	Add Lanes
POWER LINE RD: Bannon Island Rd/Lake Marion Rd	Palk County	0.506	0	C2	4	D	50,130	-10%	20,200	0.40	υ	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$3,194,631	Vew
POWER LINE RD: BANNON LOOP RD/Bannon Island Rd	Palk County	0.259	0	C2	4	D	50,130	-10%	20,100	0.40	υ	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$1,635,197	Vew
POWER LINE RD: BATES RD/Snell Creek Rd	Palk County	0.494	2U	C3R	9	D	49,590	-10%	48,600	0.98	D	8	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$4,857,255	Add Lanes
POWER LINE RD: BRADBURY RD/Hinson Av	Palk County	0.365	0	C3R	4	D	33,570	-10%	48,700	1.45	F	8	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$15,111,000	\$5,515,515	New
POWER LINE RD: CARL BODZER RD/E-W RDAD P	Palk County	0.242	2U	C2	9	D	75,330	-10%	49,100	0.65	С	8	URBAN BOULEVARD TWD-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$2,379,465	Add Lanes
POWER LINE RD: CR 580 (JOHNSON AVE)/Baker Dairy Rd	Palk County	1.005	2U	C3R	9	D	49,590	-10%	45,400	0.92	C	9	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$9,985,680	Add Lanes
POWER LINE RD: E-W RDAD P/BATES RD	Palk County	0.250	2U	C2	9	О	75,330	-10%	48,900	0.65	υ	8	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,832,500	\$2,458,125	Add Lanes
POWER LINE RD: GRACE AVE/BRADBURY RD	Palk County	0.316	0	C3R	4	D	33,570	-10%	44,400	1.32	щ	9	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$15,111,000	\$4,775,076	Vew
POWER LINE RD: Hinson Av/CR 580 (JOHNSON AVE)	Palk County	1.005	2U	C3R	4	D	33,570	-10%	45,300	1.35	н	9	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$9,985,680	Add Lanes
POWER LINE RD: HUGHES RD/WHITE CLAY PIT RD	Palk County	0.253	0	C2	4	D	50,130	-10%	17,100	0.34	υ	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWO-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$1,597,316	Vew
POWER LINE RD: KENNEDY RD/BANNON LODP RD	Palk County	0.248	0	C2	4	D	50,130	-10%	19,800	0.39	С	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWD-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$1,565,748	New
POWER LINE RD: KOKOMO RD/HUGHES RD	Palk County	0.490	0	C2	4	D	50,130	-10%	14,900	0.30	υ	2	URBAN BDULEVARD TWD-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$3,093,615	New
POWER LINE RD: Lake Marion Rd/RDE RD	Palk County	0.533	0	C2	2		50,130	-10%	44,700	0.89	۵	9	URBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$15,111,000	\$8,054,163	New
POWER LINE RD: Robinson Dr/GRACE AVE	Palk County	0.254	0	C3R	4		33,570	-10%	48,500	1.44	u.	8	URBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$15,111,000	\$3,838,194	New
POWER LINE RD: RDE RD/Robinson Dr	Palk County	0.242	0	C2	4		50,130	-10%	48,700	0.97	۵	8	URBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$15,111,000	\$3,656,862	New
POWER LINE RD: Water Tank Rd/KOKOMO RD	Palk County	0.508	0	C2	4	D	50,130	-10%	16,400	0.33	υ	4	URBAN BOULEVARD TWD-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$3,207,258	New
POWER LINE RD: WHITE CLAY PIT RD/KENNEDY RD	Palk County	0.247	0	C2	4	D	50,130	-10%	17,900	0.36	υ	4	URBAN BDULEVARD TWD-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$6,313,500	\$1,559,435	New
RAIL RDAD AVE /N 7th St Main St/Johnson Av	Haines City	0.398	2U	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	2,800	0.14	С	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$3,666,177	Reconstruct
RDBINSDN DR: 10th St/SEABDARD CDASTLINE RR	Haines City	0.509	2U	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	6,800	0.34	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$9,211,500	\$4,688,654	Reconstruct
RDBINSDN DR: 3DTH ST S/N-S RDAD I	Haines City	0.510	0	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	4,200	0.21	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,284,330	New
ROBINSON DR: N-S RDAD I/PDWERLINE RD	Haines City	0.246	0	C2	2	D	12,600	-10%	3,400	0.27	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$3,513,618	New
ROBINSON DR: N-S RDAD L/BICE GROVE RD	Haines City	0.350	0	C2	2		12,600	-10%	3,600	0.29	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$4,999,050	New
ROBINSON DR: POWERLINE RD/N-S RDAD L	Haines City	0.653	0	C2	2	D	12,600	-10%	1,900	0.15	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$9,326,799	New
ROBINSON DR: SEABDARD CDASTLINE RR/30TH ST S	Haines City	0.497	0	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	5,400	0.27	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,098,651	New
RDE RD: 30th St/Power Line Rd	Haines City	0.755	0	C2	2	D	12,600	-10%	2,200	0.17	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$10,783,665	New
SANDERS RD: FDC Grove Rd/US 27	Palk County	0.507	2U	C3C	4	D	32,940	-10%	23,500	0.71	U	4	URBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$5,247,450	Add Lanes
SANDERS RD: MDPT/FDC GROVE RD	Palk County	0.557	0	C3R	4	D	33,570	-10%	23,100	0.69	υ	4	RURAL STREET (SECTION 14)	\$4,243,500	\$2,363,630	New
SR 17 (RIDGE SCENIC HWY): Bannon Island Rd/SR 544	State	0.522	2D	C2	4	D	55,700		29,600	0.53	υ	4	URBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$5,186,592	Add Lanes
SR 17 (RIDGE SCENIC HWY): Hughes Rd/Bannon Island Rd	State	1.004	2U	C2	4	D	55,700		25,400	0.46	υ	4	URBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$9,975,744	Add Lanes
SR 544 (SCENIC HWY): PENINSULAR DR/SR 17 (RIDGE SCENIC	State	0.472	2U	C3C	9	D	54,100		55,300	1.02	ш	9	URBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTIDN 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$4,885,200	Add Lanes
SR 544 (SCENIC HWY): US 27/PENINSULAR DR	State	1.320	2U	C3C	9	D	54,100		52,400	0.97	D	9	URBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$13,662,000	Add Lanes
TYNER RD: HUGHES RD/KENNEDY RD	Palk County	0.826	0	C2	2		12,600	-10%	400	0.03	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$11,797,758	New
TYNER RD: KENNEDY RD/CR 544 (LAKE MARIDN RD)	Palk County	0.467	0	C3R	2	D	20,160	-10%	5,900	0.29	υ	2	URBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$6,670,161	New
C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob	Fee\3. Analy	/sis/SAP	Plan\20	24 Update	WHB CostL	DS Sorte	sd.xlsx									

Attachment B -- Road Inventory

Update/VHB CostLOS Sorted.xlsx Plan/2024 AnalVSIS/SAF 20 es

A-20

On: From/To	Juris	Length (mi)	ixist Conto Rd Clas Typ ificat	ext 2 is- Num ion La	045 LG Iber of ST Ines ST	S LOS D Capa	Ca Ca City Nor F	pacity just for n- State Road	2045 <i>i</i> AADT /OLUME	ADT/D Cap RATIO	r š	eded anes	Section Type	Cost/Mile	Construction Cost	Type of Improvement
US 17/92 (Hinson Av): IDth St/17th St	State	0.323 2	D	U	6 L	54,1	00		51,400	0.95	۵	6 U	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$3,343,050	Add Lanes
US 17/92: 1st St/5th St	State	0.217 4	D C4	+	6 D	0 56,8	300		53,100	0.93	D	6 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$2,245,950	Add Lanes
US 17/92: 5th St/IDTH ST N	State	0.250 4	D C4	t	6 D	56,8	300		52,300	0.92	D	6 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$2,587,500	Add Lanes
US 17/92: BAKER DAIRY AVE/Lee Jackson Hwy	State	0.479 2	U C3(C	6 D	54,1	00		52,300	0.97	D	6 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$4,957,650	Add Lanes
US 17/92: Bates Rd/MDPT	State	0.501 2	U C3(C	6 D	54,1	00		47,200	0.87	С	6 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$5,185,350	Add Lanes
US 17/92: CR 58D (JOHNSON AVENUE E)/BAKER DAIRY RD	State	0.503 2	U C3(C	6 D	54,1	00		49,900	0.92	D	6 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$5,206,050	Add Lanes
US 17/92: HINSON AVE/CR 580 (JOHNSON AVENUE E)	State	0.503 2	U C3(C	6 D	54,1	00		44,800	0.83	С	6 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$5,206,050	Add Lanes
US 17/92: Kentucky St/US 27	State	0.318 4	D C3I	R	8 C	55,1	00		61,100	1.11	F	8	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$3,159,648	Add Lanes
US 17/92: Lee Jackson Hwy/Bates Rd	State	0.565 2	U C3(υ	6 C	54,1	00		58,500	1.08	ш	6 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$5,847,750	Add Lanes
US 17/92: MDPT/Kentucky St	State	2.074 4	D C3I	В	8	55,1	00		62,300	1.13	ц	8	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$20,607,264	Add Lanes
US 17/92: PENINSULAR DR/1st St	State	0.232 4	D C4		9 D	96,8	800		59,300	1.04	в	9 I	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$2,401,200	Add Lanes
US 17/92: S DF EARHART RD/DAVENPDRT BLVD	State	1.264 2	U C3I	R	6 D	55,1	00		51,400	0.93	С	6 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$12,559,104	Add Lanes
US 17/92: US 27/PENINSULAR DR	State	0.535 4	D C3I	R	6 D	55,1	00		57,300	1.04	Е	6 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$5,315,760	Add Lanes
US 27: Bates Rd/Patterson Rd	State	0.373 6	D C3I	В	8 C	55,1	00		79,700	1.45	ц	8	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$3,706,128	Add Lanes
US 27: CR 17 (POLK CITY ROAD)/BATES ROAD	State	1.154 6	D C3	υ	8	64,2	00		86,800	1.35	ц	10 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$11,943,900	Add Lanes
US 27: Florida Development Rd/Ridgewood Lakes Rd	State	0.491 6	D C3(U	8 C	64,2	00		78,100	1.22	F	8 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$5,081,850	Add Lanes
US 27: HOLLY HILL CUTDFF RD/MASSEE RD	State	0.999 6	D C3(U	8 C	64,2	00		75,200	1.17	F	8 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$10,339,650	Add Lanes
US 27: HUGHES RD/SR 544	State	1.441 6	D C3I	R	8 D	55,1	00		107,900	1.96	F	12 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$14,317,776	Add Lanes
US 27: MARTIE RD/Sanders Rd	State	0.756 6	D C3(U	8 D	64,2	00		77,600	1.21	F	8 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$7,824,600	Add Lanes
US 27: MASSEE RD/Florida Development Rd	State	0.502 6	D C3(U	8 D	64,2	500		76,400	1.19	ц	8 L	JRBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$5,195,700	Add Lanes
US 27: MASSEE RD/Florida Development Rd	State	0.502 6	D C3(C	8 C	64,2	00		76,400	1.19	F	8	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$5,195,700	Add Lanes
US 27: N DF COTTONWOOD DR/Heller Bros BI	State	1.070 6	D C3(C	8 C	64,2	00		77,700	1.21	F	8 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$11,074,500	Add Lanes
US 27: NDRTH BLVD W/MASSEE RD	State	9 666.0	D C3(C	8 C	64,2	00		76,300	1.19	F	8 L	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$10,339,650	Add Lanes
US 27: Patterson Rd/MARTIE RD	State	0.259 6	D C3I	R	8 D	55,1	00		78,900	1.43	L.	8	JRBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$2,573,424	Add Lanes
US 27: RIDGEWODD LAKE RD/N DF COTTONWODD DR	State	0.626 6	D C3(U	8 C	64,2	500		79,500	1.24	F	8	JRBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$6,479,100	Add Lanes
US 27: Sanders Rd/NDRTH BLVD W	State	0.494 6	D C3I	R	8 C	55,1	00		76,700	1.39	F	8	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$4,908,384	Add Lanes
US 27: SR 544/US 17/92	State	1.846 6	D C3(U	8 C	64,2	000		103,900	1.62	F	12 U	JRBAN BOULEVARD FOUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$10,350,000	\$19,106,100	Add Lanes
US 27: US 17/92/CR 17 (POLK CITY ROAD)	State	1.047 6	D C3I	R	8 D	55,1	00		77,900	1.41	ш	8 L	JRBAN BDULEVARD FDUR-LANE (SECTION 2/3)	\$9,936,000	\$10,402,992	Add Lanes
WHITE CLAY PIT ROCIDETOUR RD/N-S RDAD G	Haines City	0.429 0	C2	0	2 D	12,6	. 005	-10%	2,900	0.23	С	2 U	JRBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$6,127,407	Vew
WHITE CLAY PIT RODN-S ROAD G/POWERLINE RD	Haines City	0.572 0	C2	0	2 D	12,6	. 005	-10%	2,100	0.17	С	2 U	JRBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$8,169,876	Vew
WHITE CLAY PIT RODPOWERLINE RD/N-S RDAD L	Haines City	0.557 0	C2	0	2 D	12,6	. 005	-10%	800	0.06	U	2 U	JRBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,955,631	Vew
WHITE CLAY PIT RODSR 17/Bice Grove Rd	Haines City	0.448 0	C2	0	2 D	12,6	. 003	-10%	700	0.06	U	2 U	JRBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$6,398,784	Vew
WHITE CLAY PIT RODSR 17/DETOUR RD	Haines City	0.498 0	C3I	Я	2 D	0,120,1	. 09	-10%	5,900	0.29	υ	2 U	JRBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$7,112,934	Vew
WHITE CLAY PIT RD: Bice Grove Rd/Tyner Rd	Haines City	0.977 0	5		2	12,6	000	-10%	1,500	0.12	υ	2	IRBAN AVENUE TWD-LANE (SECTION 4/5)	\$14,283,000	\$13,954,491	Vew

Attachment B -- Road Inventory

C:WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\SAP Plan\2024 Update\VHB CostLOS Sorted.xlsx

Appendix B

Travel Demand Variables

Appe	endix B: Trip Cha	racter	istics	Revie	3												
			Trip Rate				Trip	o Length						% Ne	w Trips		
ITE LUC	Mobility Fee Land Use	Unit	Rate	Source	Orange ⁽	Osceola (2)	Polk (3)	Lake- land ⁽¹⁾	Hillsbor- ough ⁽¹⁾	Recom- mended for Haines City	Source/ Method	Orange ⁽¹)	Osceola (2)	Polk ⁽³⁾	Lake- land ⁽¹⁾	Hillsbor- ough ⁽¹⁾	Recon mended Haines (
10/140/156	Manufacturing/Light Industrial/Parcel	1,000 sf	4.75	1	5.15	6.97	5.15	5.15	5.15	6.06	2	92%	%06	92%	92%	92%	92%
150/154/ 155/157	Passive Warehousing/Storage	1,000 sf	1.76	1	5.15	6.97	5.15	5.15	5.15	6.06	from LUC 110	n/a	n/a	92%	92%	92%	92%
151	Self-Storage/Mini-Warehouse	1,000 sf	1.51	1	3.51	4.30	5.15	3.51	3.51	4.06	2	92%	%06	92%	92%	92%	92%
210	Single Family < 1,200 sf	D.U.	6.73	4	6.62	5.08	6.62	6.62	6.62	6.62	Predominant	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
210	Single Family 1,200 to 2,500 sf	D.U.	9.43	1	6.62	5.08	6.62	6.62	6.62	6.62	Predominant	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
210	Single-Family >2,500 sf	D.U.	11.58	4	6.62	5.08	6.62	6.62	6.62	6.60	Predominant	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
220	Multi-Family	D.U.	6.74	1	5.10	5.08	5.21	5.10	5.10	5.12	2	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
240	Mobile Home	D.U.	7.12	1	4.60	5.08	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.70	2	100%	100%	n/a	100%	100%	100%
310/320	Hotel/Motel	Room	5.67	1	6.26	5.73	n/a	6.26	5.30 avg	4.86	5	72%	75%	n/a	%99	72%	%69
570/577/	School	student	2 10	,	3 31	e/u	e/u	3 31	3 3 1	3 31	-	80%	e/u	e/u	80%	80%	%U8

i 2

Votes: Fees prepared for Orange and Hillsborough Counties, and City of Lakeland were prepared by Tindale-Oliver (nka Benesch), so it's more appropriate to give the three equal weight as the one Osceola County study. Sources: (1) Tindale-Oliver and Associates, Inc., 2020, 2019, 2020, respectively.

(2) Keith & Schnars/NUE 2015
 (3) Duncan and Associates, Inc., 2023, references Tindale-Oliver as source.

1. ITE Trip Generation, 11th Edition

From LUC 942

72% 72%

72% n/a

n/a n/a

n/a n/a

n/a n/a

n/a n/a

rom LUC 942 ە

3.24 3.24

n/a n/a

n/a n/a 1.90

n/a n/a

n/a n/a 1.03

n/a n/a

н

69.57 34.56

1,000 sf

1.68

1.90

n/a

1.90

172.01

Fuel Pos.

1,000 sf

Auto Care Center Gasoline Station

942 944

Ouick Lube

Through

934 941 ഹ

23%

23%

23%

n/a

25%

23%

ഹ

1,5 1,5 1,5

53% 27% 42%

n/a n/a

n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 58%

n/a n/a n/a

56%

28%

n/a

n/a n/a n/a

n/a

ഹ

46% 77% 71% 53%

46% 77% 76% 58%

n/a n/a

77% 77% 57%

3.23

n/a n/a

3.14 3.14 2.05

83.84 98.66 472.19

1,000 sf 1.000 sf 1,000 sf

Resaturant-Casual/High Turnover/Sit

930/932 912/913/

Restaurant-Fast-Food w/ Drive-

n/a

n/a

n/a 25%

2. Value from nearby agency fee studies

3. Analysis of Pass-by Capture data in ITE Trip Generation

4. 2017 National Household Travel Survey data

TOA "Florida Trip-Characteristics Studies Database"
 Professional judgement

Method Source/

> ity P

rom LUC 1

1,5

73%

73% 78%

89% 78% 92% 92%

n/a

40% 75%

73%

78%

2.20 6.43

2.03 6.62 5.15 5.55 2.69 2.69 2.69 n/a n/a n/a 2.46 3.32 3.32 2.05

2.59 6.62 5.15 5.15 5.55 5.15 2.89 2.89 n/a

n/a

1.22 5.85 5.23

2.03 6.62 5.15

47.62 10.84 14.39

1,000 sf 1,000 sf

10.77

n/a

n/a n/a n/a n/a

77%

92% 92% 87%

92% 92% 89% 92% 74% 74% 74%

> 89% 92% 74% 74%

n/a

75% 75% 75% 75% 60% 60% 40% 50% 25%

92% 92% 92% 92%

5.17 5.17 5.37 5.17 3.07

5.15

5.15 5.15 n/a 5.15 2.80 2.80 2.80

5.15 5.15

5.23 5.23 5.23 2.88 1.92

74%

75% 75% 75%

62% 62% 56%

2.34 1.99

2.89

n/a n/a

1.92 1.03 n/a n/a n/a n/a 0.96

2.08

54.45 762.28 100.35

94.49

Retail (40-150 ksf gla) Retail (>150 ksf gla)

Retail (<40 ksf gla)

822 850 851 881 931

Supermarket

37.01

93.84

1,000 sf

1.51n/a n/a

108.40

1,000 sf

1,000 sf

Bank w/ Drive-Through Lane(s) Drug Store w/Drive-Through Restaurant-Fine Dining

912

Convenience Store

n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a

> n/a n/a n/a

5.15

2.88

2.29 2.29 1.87

5.15

36.00 11.07

1,000 sf 1,000 sf

(<=10,000 s.

Medical Office

720 750

Office Park

820 821

Office (>10.000 sf)

Day Care

565 610 710 712

525

Hospital Office (2.00 1.67 2.07 2.66 3.23 0.96

ſ

92% 72% 59% 45%

ഹ 2 Appendix C

Lakeland and Kissimmee Subarea

Analysis



VMT/

Central Lakeland Subarea

Conditions from 2015 D1RPM Model

						VMT/
Area	Facility Type	VMT	VMC	CL-MI	Ln-Mi	VMC
Central Lakeland	Interstate	551,679	728,503	7	42	0.757
Central Lakeland	All "Surface" Roads	1,994,057	3,298,492	185	507	0.605
Central Lakeland	State "Surface" Roads	718,801	917,111	30	125	0.784
Central Lakeland	Non-State "Surface" Roads	1,275,255	2,381,381	155	383	0.536
Central Lakeland	Toll Facilities, Ramps	231,032	558,236	12	37	0.414
(Population 123,010)		4,770,824	7,883,723	389	1,094	

Conditions from 2045 D1RPM Model

						•••••
Area	Facility Type	VMT	VMC	CL-MI	Ln-Mi	VMC
Central Lakeland	Interstate	644,029	728,503	7	42	0.884
Central Lakeland	All "Surface" Roads	2,498,948	3,373,157	190	519	0.741
Central Lakeland	State "Surface" Roads	903,054	921,003	31	125	0.981
Central Lakeland	Non-State "Surface" Roads	1,595,894	2,452,153	159	394	0.651
Central Lakeland	Toll Fac, Ramps	679,307	904,034	18	61	0.751

(Population 145,183)



C-2



1/30/2024

Kissimmee Subarea

Conditions from 2015 CFRPM Model -- Population 83,688

						VMT/
Area	Facility Type	VMT	VMC	CL-MI	Ln-Mi	VMC
Kissimmee	Interstate	0	0	0	0	-
Kissimmee	All "Surface" Roads	1,800,424	2,553,348	104	337	0.705
Kissimmee	State "Surface" Roads	631,164	644,173	14	72	0.980
Kissimmee	Non-State Roads	1,169,259	1,909,176	90	265	0.612
Kissimmee	Toll Fac, Ramps	324,911	520,837	7	28	0.624

Conditions from 2045 CFRPM Model -- Population 104,187

						VMT/
Area	Facility Type	VMT	VMC	CL-MI	Ln-Mi	VMC
Kissimmee	Interstate	0	0	0	0	-
Kissimmee	All "Surface" Roads	2,304,795	2,817,844	109	370	0.818
Kissimmee	State "Surface" Roads	787,292	707,438	14	79	1.113
Kissimmee	Non-State "Surface" Roads	1,517,504	2,110,406	95	291	0.719
Kissimmee	Toll Fac, Ramps	874,201	882,786	7	47	0.990





Appendix D CFRPM7 Application

Summaries

Note: Additional information regarding the CFRPM7 model application, such as socioeconomic data, is provided in Appendix A, SAP/CityView Plan Update









U-4



		•		by Sub	area	Γ		by Subarea	and Jurisdic	ctional Resp	onsibility	
		•	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT
			Total	Shed	Haines	OPC	Total	Total	Shed	Shed	Haines	Haines
	Min FT	Max FT	County	Subarea	City	OPC	State	LOCAL	State	LOCAL	State	LOCAL
	0	17	292,049	18,658	0	273,391	292,049	0	18,658	0	0	0
	18	39	3,118,452	884,864	1,337,231	896,356	1,838,339	1,280,113	594,523	290,342	590,407	746,824
	40	49	737,147	287,172	342,847	107,128	86,583	650,563	38,200	248,972	44,991	297,856
	50	59	3,812	0	0	3,812	3,174	638	0	0	0	0
	60	69	42,603	16,591	0	26,012	19,265	23,338	0	16,591	0	0
	70	66	217,719	26,542	6,557	184,619	217,719	0	26,542	0	6,557	0
		Total	4,411,781	1,233,828	1,686,635	1,491,318	2,457,130	1,954,651	677,923	555,905	641,956	1,044,680
	Total - Cen	troid Conn	4,407,970	1,233,828	1,686,635	1,487,507	2,453,956	1,954,014	677,923	555,905	641,956	1,044,680
Total - 7	Toll/Int-Cen	troid Conn	3,898,201	1,188,627	1,680,078	1,029,496	1,944,188	1,954,014	632,723	555,905	635,398	1,044,680
				Per	cent of Hain	ies Subarea	travel on no	n-State roads	s that is gen	erated by H	aines City:	37.7%

Summary:

% VMT	970 100.0%	768 11.6%	188 44.1%	014 44.3%	680 53.5%	905 28.4%	429 18.1%	888	7.36 miles
VMT	4,407,	509,	1,944,	1,954,	1,044,	555,	353,	598,	
	Total HC Ti	HC Travel on Fwy/Toll:	HC Travel on Other State:	HC Travel on Co/City:	Co/Cy in Haines:	Co/Cy in Shed:	Co/Cy in OPC:	Vehicle Trip-Ends Assigned:	Avg Trip Length:

Haines City Select-District Assignment Summary

131

~
2
0
2
\sim
N.
<u> </u>
\sim

Shed Select-District Assignment

			by Suba	area			by Subarea	and Jurisdio	ctional Respc	onsibility	
		VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT	VMT
		Total	Shed	Haines	OPC	Total	Total	Shed	Shed	Haines	Haines
Min FT	Max FT	County	Subarea	City	OPC	State	LOCAL	State	LOCAL	State	LOCAL
0	19	841,514	53,236	0	788,278	841,514	0	53,236	0	0	0
20	39	3,030,257	1,207,361	813,651	1,009,246	1,691,380	1,338,877	591,217	616,144	311,979	501,671
40	49	880,907	472,920	204,777	203,210	101,671	779,236	64,471	408,449	33,714	171,063
50	59	561,373	365,601	87,107	108,665	7,421	553,952	0	365,601	0	87,107
60	69	40,811	17,398	0	23,413	16,107	24,704	0	17,398	0	0
70	66	346,687	77,290	7,708	261,690	346,687	0	77,290	0	7,708	0
	Total	5,701,550	2,193,805	1,113,242	2,394,503	3,004,780	2,696,769	786,213	1,407,592	353,401	759,842
Total - Cen	troid Conn	5,140,177	1,828,204	1,026,135	2,285,837	2,997,359	2,142,817	786,213	1,041,991	353,401	672,735
Total - Toll/Int-Cen	troid Conn	3,951,975	1,697,679	1,018,428	1,235,869	1,809,158	2,142,817	655,687	1,041,991	345,693	672,735

					1,042,739	256,330	105,310	1,404,379	0.655	
				CAR	1.55	0.246	0.246			
% VMT	100.0%	23.1%	35.2%	41.7%	34.4%	53.3%	21.9%			
VMT	5,140,177	1,188,202	1,809,158	2,142,817	672,735	1,041,991	428,091	2,142,817	912,851	5.63
-	Total Shed	Shed Travel on Fwy/Toll:	Shed Travel on Other State:	Shed Travel on Co/City:	Co/Cy in Haines:	Co/Cy in Shed:	Co/Cy in OPC:		Vehicle Trip-Ends Assigned:	Avg Trip Length:

C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\CFRPM7 Application\2045_2\Polk_SubNet_2045_2.xlsx

Appendix E Percent Travel by System and Geographic Area

1/24/2024

Percent of Trip Length by Subarea

Travel originating in:

						% on State/
			Ci	ty		I-4/Toll
% T	'L in >>>	CityLocal	ity Coll/Ar	Shed	OPC	City
	0.0	90.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	0.5	66.0%	34.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
	1.0	33.0%	67.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%
	1.5	22.0%	78.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
	2.0	16.5%	75.6%	7.9%	0.0%	14.9%
	2.5	13.2%	73.2%	9.8%	3.8%	18.6%
	3.0	11.0%	70.8%	11.7%	6.5%	22.3%
(3.5	9.4%	68.4%	13.6%	8.6%	26.0%
es	4.0	8.3%	66.0%	15.5%	10.3%	29.7%
mi	4.5	7.3%	63.6%	17.4%	11.7%	33.4%
ו) נ	5.0	6.6%	61.1%	19.3%	13.0%	37.1%
gtl	5.5	6.0%	58.7%	21.2%	14.1%	40.8%
en	6.0	5.5%	56.3%	23.1%	15.1%	44.6%
þ	6.5	5.1%	53.9%	24.9%	16.1%	48.3%
tri	7.0	4.7%	51.5%	26.8%	16.9%	52.0%
ole	7.5	4.4%	49.1%	28.4%	18.1%	55.7%
sak	8.0	4.1%	46.7%	30.0%	19.2%	57.7%
esa	8.5	3.9%	44.3%	31.5%	20.3%	59.7%
١SS	9.0	3.7%	41.9%	33.1%	21.4%	61.7%
4	9.5	3.5%	39.5%	34.6%	22.4%	63.7%
	10.0	3.3%	37.1%	36.2%	23.4%	65.7%
	10.5	3.1%	34.7%	37.8%	24.5%	67.7%
	11.0	3.0%	32.2%	39.3%	25.4%	69.7%
	11.5	2.9%	29.8%	40.9%	26.4%	71.7%
	12.0	2.8%	27.4%	42.4%	27.4%	73.7%
	12.5	2.6%	25.0%	44.0%	28.4%	75.7%
	13.0	2.5%	22.6%	45.5%	29.3%	

C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\Strategic Planning\Strategic Planning v4.xlsm

Appendix F Road Capacity Cost Analysis

-
5
~
2
-
S
×
\geq
5
2
-
>
t
-
2
g
Q
Ø
G
ц.
0
÷
S
0
U.
بًە
2
5
_

	Year	Length	EX	isting	Img	proved	VMC	Total	Inflation	Present	Cost/
Roadway Segment	Completed	(mi)	Type	Capacity	Type	Capacity	Added	Cost	Factor	Day Cost	VMC
County Line Rd (SR 60-W Pipkin Rd)	2012	3.02	2U	17,300	4D	38,400	63,722	\$13,581,205	1.179	\$16,012,202	\$251.28
Lakelnad Highlands Rd	2012	3.01	2U	17,300	4D	38,400	63,511	\$33,074,177	1.179	\$38,994,359	\$613.98
Bartow Northern Connector (US 98-US 17)	2013	2	0	0	4D	38,400	76,800	\$16,416,796	1.158	\$19,009,724	\$247.52
Kathleen Rd (Galloway-Duff)	2014	3	2U	17,300	4D	38,400	63,300	\$36,398,104	1.126	\$40,997,489	\$647.67
CR 559A (SR 599-Pace)	2016	2.6	2U	17,300	4D	38,400	54,860	\$20,661,903	1.126	\$23,272,810	\$424.22
Ernie Caldwell Blvd (Pine Tree-CR 547)	2018	4	0	0	4D	38,400	153,600	\$44,843,876	1.053	\$47,206,072	\$307.33
North Ridge Trail, Ph 3	2018	0.3	0	0	2D	17,300	5,190	\$2,017,256	1.053	\$2,123,517	\$409.16
West Pipkin Rd (S FL Ave-Medulla Rd)	2021	4.2	2U	17,300	4D	38,400	88,620	\$59,234,977	1.053	\$62,374,431	\$703.84
North Ridge Tr (Deen Still-Sand Mine Rd)	2023	4	0	0	2D	17,300	69,200	\$10,103,006	1.000	\$10,103,006	\$146.00
Lake Watson Rd (CR 54-CR 532)	2024	1	2U	17,300	4D	38,400	21,100	\$53,731,241	1.000	\$53,731,241	\$2,546.50
CR 557 (W Alfred St - I-4)	2025	9	2U	17,300	4D	38,400	126,600	\$87,767,076	1.000	\$87,767,076	\$693.26
Maarigold Ave (CR 580-Palmetto Rd)	2026	2.2	2U	17,300	4D	38,400	46,420	\$39,849,186	1.000	\$39,849,186	\$858.45
Cypress Pkwy (Poinciana - Solvita Blvd)	2026+	1.65	2U	17,300	4D	38,400	34,815	\$24,080,500	1.000	\$24,080,500	\$691.67
Thompson Nursery Rd (US 17-W Lk Ruby)	2026+	5.6	2U	17,300	4D	38,400	118,160	\$155,600,000	1.000	\$155,600,000	\$1,316.86
						Totals:	985.898			\$621.121.613	\$630.01

Recent Polk County Road Construction

Past Five Years - Two "High-Cost" Improvements: 365,655

Past Five Years: 504,915

\$613.08

\$858.57

\$433,505,440 \$224,174,199

> Note: Cost adjustments by WEO-PE, from FDOT "Advisory Inflation Factors for Previous Years (1987-2018) Sources: Polk County Transportation Division, June 1, 2022, and Duncan Associates, January 9, 2023.

Osceola County "Mobility Fee Renewal Study" (HNTB, January, 2022) identifies a cost of (\$354.59 per person-mile x 2.44 persons/vehicle=) **\$865.20**/vehicle-mile, which is based on State construction costs -- on the high side due to only State costs.

City of Lakeland "Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Update Study" (Tindale-Oliver, August, 2019) identifies a cost of (\$4,770,000 per lane-mile/capacity of

10,400 vehicles per day =) \$458.65/vehicle-mile of capacity for non-State roads.

Hillsborough County "Mobility Fee Update Study" (Tindale-Oliver, April, 2020) identifies a cost of (\$6,538,000 per lane-mile for County roads/9,091 vehicles per lane=) \$719.17 per vehicle-mile.

Seminole County (2021 Multi-Modal Mobility Fee, WEO-PE, 2021) cites Orange County construction costs from 2017 of (\$6,261,259 per lane-mile/capacity of 10,305 per lane=) \$607.59 per vehicle-mile. If adjusted for inflation by 1.09, cost would be **\$662.27**. Haines City would be constructing roads for municipal and County use, so using the recent-year Polk County cost of \$613.08 is chosen for the Haines City area.

F-1

Appendix G Revenue Credit Analysis

		ΕĤ	ective Pennies:	6.127	3.278	9.41		199692561				
	Yield per Pe	enny to E	SoCC to Capital:	\$4,276,164	\$9,791,751	\$14,067,914		\$199,692,561	Five year t	total, 202	23-2027, from P	olk Mobility
		Ñ	hare to Capital:	28%	100%				Fee, Table	22, p. 28	3, used to set eo	quivalent
			Share to BoCC:	65.6%	65.6%				pennies pe	er gallon.		
	Nur	mber of I	Pennies Levied:	9	ъ							
	Est total y	ield of 1	Penny in 2019:	\$3,928,472	\$2,987,248	< <updated 2<="" th="" to=""><th>2022 LFGI for Po</th><th>k Co</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></updated>	2022 LFGI for Po	k Co				
70.8%	<<% of travel c	on MRN				\$199,692,561	\$189,521,069	\$10,171,492			\$172,232,609	\$9,042,510
			296,766,841									
						Total Non-				Conv't		
	VMT/Day (incl Interstates,	Fuel Eff				State/ Federal YOC	YOC \$\$ to Capital From	YOC \$\$ to Capital From	FDOT Inflation	from Year to	Present Value \$\$ to Capital	Present Value \$\$ to Capital
Year	Toll)	(mpg)	Gals/yr	1-6 Cents	1-5 Cents	\$\$ to Capital	Base	Growth	Factor	PV	from Base	from Growth
2020	20,864,869	23.30	374,216,307	\$22,929,041	\$12,266,249	\$35,195,291	\$36,512,927	-\$1,317,637	0.030		i0//I0#	i0//id#
2021	21,358,789	23.74	382,576,194	\$23,441,270	\$12,540,274	\$35,981,543	\$36,642,537	-\$660,993	0.031		#DIV/0i	#DIV/0i
2022	21,864,401	24.18	409,574,574	\$25,095,519	\$13,425,240	\$38,520,759	\$38,520,759	¢\$0	0.033	1.000	\$38,520,759	\$O
2023	22,343,556	24.61	418,912,052	\$25,667,646	\$13,731,309	\$39,398,955	\$38,701,171	\$697,784	0.033	1.033	\$37,464,832	\$675,493
2024	22,911,815	25.05	422,094,270	\$25,862,628	\$13,835,617	\$39,698,245	\$38,316,876	\$1,381,368	0.033	1.067	\$35,907,854	\$1,294,520
2025	23,468,114	25.48	424,954,679	\$26,037,891	\$13,929,377	\$39,967,268	\$37,917,328	\$2,049,940	0.033	1.102	\$34,398,283	\$1,859,688
2026	24,012,451	25.92	427,509,558	\$26,194,434	\$14,013,122	\$40,207,556	\$37,504,714	\$2,702,843	0.033	1.139	\$32,937,040	\$2,373,665
2027	24,544,826	26.35	429,774,097	\$26,333,187	\$14,087,350	\$40,420,537	\$37,080,980	\$3,339,557	0.033	1.176	\$31,524,601	\$2,839,143
2028	25,065,238	26.79	431,762,482	\$26,455,020	\$14,152,527	\$40,607,546	\$36,647,863	\$3,959,683	0.033	1.215	\$30,161,069	\$3,258,806
2029	25,573,687	27.22	433,487,983	\$26,560,745	\$14,209,086	\$40,769,831	\$36,206,910	\$4,562,921	0.033	1.255	\$28,846,240	\$3,635,304
2030	26,070,173	27.66	434,963,021	\$26,651,124	\$14,257,435	\$40,908,559	\$35,759,501	\$5,149,059	0.033	1.297	\$27,579,658	\$3,971,232
2031	26,554,694	28.09	436,199,237	\$26,726,869	\$14,297,957	\$41,024,826	\$35,306,867	\$5,717,959	0.033	1.339	\$26,360,660	\$4,269,118
2032	27,027,251	28.53	437,207,554	\$26,788,651	\$14,331,008	\$41,119,659	\$34,850,107	\$6,269,552	0.033	1.384	\$25,188,418	\$4,531,409
2033	27,487,844	28.96	437,998,229	\$26,837,098	\$14,356,925	\$41,194,022	\$34,390,201	\$6,803,821	0.033	1.429	\$24,061,970	\$4,760,465
2034	27,936,470	29.39	438,580,902	\$26,872,799	\$14,376,024	\$41,248,823	\$33,928,025	\$7,320,798	0.033	1.476	\$22,980,249	\$4,958,548
2035	28,373,131	29.83	438,964,647	\$26,896,312	\$14,388,603	\$41,284,915	\$33,464,359	\$7,820,556	0.033	1.525	\$21,942,107	\$5,127,828
2036	28,797,825	30.26	439,158,008	\$26,908,160	\$14,394,941	\$41,303,100	\$32,999,899	\$8,303,202	0.033	1.575	\$20,946,338	\$5,270,370
2037	29,210,552	30.69	439,169,037	\$26,908,835	\$14,395,302	\$41,304,138	\$32,535,264	\$8,768,873	0.033	1.627	\$19,991,690	\$5,388,141
2038	29,611,312	31.13	439,005,333	\$26,898,805	\$14,389,936	\$41,288,741	\$32,071,009	\$9,217,732	0.033	1.681	\$19,076,886	\$5,483,009
2039	30,000,104	31.56	438,674,071	\$26,878,508	\$14,379,078	\$41,257,586	\$31,607,624	\$9,649,962	0.033	1.737	\$18,200,629	\$5,556,741
2040	30,376,927	31.99	438,182,028	\$26,848,359	\$14,362,950	\$41,211,309	\$31,145,547	\$10,065,762	0.033	1.794	\$17,361,617	\$5,611,008
2041	30,741,782	32.42	437,535,618	\$26,808,752	\$14,341,761	\$41,150,514	\$30,685,165	\$10,465,348	0.033	1.853	\$16,558,552	\$5,647,387
2042	31,094,667	32.85	436,740,908	\$26,760,059	\$14,315,712	\$41,075,771	\$30,226,824	\$10,848,947	0.033	1.914	\$15,790,144	\$5,667,365
2043	31,435,583	33.29	435,803,646	\$26,702,631	\$14,284,990	\$40,987,621	\$29,770,826	\$11,216,794	0.033	1.977	\$15,055,117	\$5,672,337

Gasoline Tax Revenue, estimate of Equivalent Pennies per Gallon to Capital:

Ş

Totals

5 Cents)

Cents)

1st LOGT (1-6 2nd LOGT (1-

Annual Impvmt in MPG: 1.9%/yr Eqn from FHWA VM-1 data Annual VMT Growth Rate: 2.37% C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\Strategic Planning\Strategic Planning v4.xlsm Source: W.E. Oliver, P.E., LLC

\$5,663,616 \$5,642,433 \$5,672,337

> \$13,680,212 \$14,352,217

0.033 0.033 0.033

2.043 2.110

\$11,569,135 \$11,906,217

\$29,317,441 \$28,866,905

\$40,886,576 \$40,773,122

\$14,249,774

\$26,636,802

434,729,279 433,522,975

34.15 33.72

32,081,502 31,764,528

2045 2044

\$26,562,889 \$14,210,233

G-1

Appendix H Other Fee Parameters

Equivalent Weekdays per Year for Revenue-Generating VMT

Traffic Congestion and Reliability: Trends and Advanced Strategies for Congestion Mitigation FHWA, September, 2005., Figure 2.2 Source:

me									2.254 weekday avg	6.27 = 7-day total / weekday avg	365 calendar days/year	327 effective weekdays/yr
raffic Volu								7	ъ			= 2 / 2
elative Daily T	1.35	2.12	2.23	2.30	2.32	2.30	1.52	14.14	11.27			365 x 6.2
Re	Sun	Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri	Sat	7-day Total	5 weekday Total:			

ANNUAL VEHICLE DISTANCE TRAVELED IN MILES AND RELATED DATA - 2021(1) BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY AND VEHICLE TYPE

Updated:	January 2024									Table VM-1
								SUBTC	DTALS	
YEAR	ITEM	LIGHT DUTY			LIGHT DUTY			ALL	SINGLE-UNIT 2-AXLE 6-TIRE	ALL MOTOR
		VEHICLES	MOTOR-	BUSES	VEHICLES	SINGLE-UNIT	COMBINATION	LIGHT DUTY	OR MORE AND	VEHICLES
		SHORT WB 2/	CYCLES		LONG WB 2/	TRUCKS 3/	TRUCKS	VEHICLES 2/	COMBINATION TRUCKS	
	Motor-Vehicle Travel:									
2021	(millions of vehicle-miles) Interstate Rural	140.583	1.082	1.539	50.523	11.389	58.575	191.106	69.964	263.691
2020		123,042	961	1,383	44,587	10,075	51,770	167,629	61,845	231,818
2021	Other Arterial Rural	227,383	2,127	2,194	100,463	19,381	32,860	327,847	52,240	384,408
2020		207,498	2,205	2,056	92,800	17,686	30,507	300,298	48,193	352,752
2021	Other Rural	203,373	2,967	2,013	97,513	17,895	12,421	300,885	30,316	336,182
2020		192,895	2,711	1,747	93,178	16,386	12,041	286,073	28,427	318,957
2021	All Rural	571,338	6,176	5,746	248,500	48,665	103,856	819,838	152,520	984,281
2020		523,434	5,877	5,186	230,565	44,147	94,318	754,000	138,465	903,527
2021	Interstate Urban	363,954	1,970	2,275	104,795	21,428	52,051	468,749	73,480	546,474
2020		330,361	1,891	2,337	94,184	19,616	47,036	424,546	66,652	495,425
2021	Other Urban	1,148,605	11,496	8,723	331,806	61,544	39,482	1,480,411	101,026	1,601,657
2020		1,080,947	10,180	7,514	313,496	54,069	38,463	1,394,443	92,532	1,504,669
2021	All Urban	1,512,559	13,466	10,998	436,602	82,973	91,533	1,949,161	174,506	2,148,130
2020		1,411,308	12,071	9,851	407,680	73,685	85,499	1,818,989	159,184	2,000,095
2021	Total Rural and Urban 5/	2,083,898	19,642	16,744	685,101	131,637	195,389	2,768,999	327,026	3,132,411
2020		1,934,743	17,947	15,037	638,246	117,832	179,817	2,572,988	297,649	2,903,622
2021	Number of motor vehicles	197,092,816	9,795,491	939,123	60,530,744	10,713,550	3,142,854	257,623,560	13,856,404	282,214,578
2020	registered 2/	194,788,825	8,347,435	1,010,304	58,890,431	9,908,410	2,990,962	253,679,256	12,899,372	275,936,367
2021	Average miles traveled	10,573	2,005	17,830	11,318	12,287	62,169	10,748	23,601	11,099
2020	per vehicle	9,933	2,150	14,883	10,838	11,892	60,120	10,143	23,075	10,523
2021	Person-miles of travel	3,471,196	23,659	354,983	1,168,120	131,637	195,389	4,639,316	327,026	5,344,984
2020	(millions) 4/	3,225,210	21,618	318,778	1,084,960	117,832	179,817	4,310,170	297,649	4,948,215
2021	Fuel consumed	85,306,944	446,802	2,274,325	38,493,966	17,169,260	30,439,397	123,800,910	47,608,657	174,130,694
2020	(thousand gallons)	78,721,898	408,255	2,038,723	35,676,672	15,179,899	27,159,041	114,398,570	42,338,940	159,184,488
2021	Average fuel consumption	433	46	2,422	636	1,603	9,685	481	3,436	617
2020	per vehicle (gallons)	404	49	2,018	606	1,532	9,080	451	3,282	577
2021	Average miles traveled per	24.4	44.0	7.4	17.8	7.7	6.4	22.4	6.9	18.0
2020	gallon of fuel consumed	24.6	44.0	7.4	17.9	7.8	6.6	22.5	7.0	18.2
1/ The FH	WA estimates national trends by using	State reported Highway Perfo	ormance and Monitor	ing System (HPMS) data, fuel consumptic	on data (MF-21), vehicle	registration data (MV-1),	other data such as the F	 L. Polk vehicle data, ar 	id a host of modeling
technique. 2/ Light Du	s. ity Vehicles Short WB - passenger car.	s, light trucks, vans and sport	utility vehicles with a	wheelbase (WB) le	ess than or equal to 12	1 inches. Light Duty Veh	icles Long WB - large pas	senger cars, vans, pick	up trucks, and sport utility	vehicles with
wheelbasi 3/ Single-L	ss (WB) greater than 121 inches. All Li Jnit - single frame trucks that have 2-A.	ght Duty Vehicles - passenger xles and at least 6 tires or a g	cars, light trucks, vai oss vehicle weight ra	ns, and sport utility ating exceeding 10	vehicles regardless of ,000 lbs.	wheelbase.	L			-
person-mi	ET and ZUZU, VEILICLE OCCUPATICY IS ESTI les traveled.	mated by the FRWA monthle	2017 National House	anola maverourve	y (INFLIO) and the annu	uai R.L. FUIR VEIIIGE IEG	isuanon data. Foi single o			
	lia are dased on the latest metwo data	available; it may not match pro	evious published res	uits.						

F-2

24.53 2,103,539,415,503 85,753,746,714 Total VMT (Light-Duty & MC): Total Fuel (Light-Duty & MC): Miles per Gallon (Light-Duty & MC):

C:\WEO-PE\References\FHWA\2024 vm1.xlsx

Work Program Instructions

FY 24/25 - 28/29

September 8th, 2023

12) Turnpike Enterprise Programs

The turnpike enterprise analysis should include all turnpike funds and transportation system 02 (intrastate turnpike). Contingencies are determined by reports with turnpike funds only, and program level is determined as transportation system 02 (intrastate turnpike) excluding statewide funds (bridge and SIS described above).

d. General Assumptions

- Amounts included for contingency analyses only address contract class 8.
- 30% of district projects programmed using statewide funds for SIS and/or bridge will be considered as part of the district programmed level for contingency analysis.
- RBRP, SCOP, SCED, SCRC, SCWR, ARSC, SCRA, ARSR, GRSC, CIGP, CIGR, and TRIP will not be included in the box analysis.
- Earmark funds will be excluded if programmed as a contract class 5 or if programmed as a transportation system 06 or 16.
- SE funds will be excluded if programmed as a contract class 5.
- Boxed items for the Miami Intermodal Center (MIC) are not included in the box analysis, regardless of the funds programmed.
- LF funds on a contract class 8 will be included as a reserve account, regardless of box code.

e. Construction Cost Inflation Factors

Inflation factors for construction costs will be utilized in the development of the tentative work program as indicated below. These inflation factors will automatically generate the new estimates for anything gamed in WPA by applying these factors to the present day costs (PDC's) in WPA. All estimate changes must be made in the adopted file; do not make estimate changes in the proposed file (tentative work program development cycle). Shaded areas beginning in fiscal year 29/30 cover the 10-year period for the SIS program.

FISCAL	INFLATION		FISCAL	INFLATION	
YEAR	FACTOR	MULTIPLIER	YEAR	FACTOR	MULTIPLIER
24/25	2.9%	1.029	29/30	3.3%	1.203
25/26	3.0%	1.060	30/31	3.3%	1.243
26/27	3.1%	1.093	31/32	3.3%	1.284
27/28	3.2%	1.128	32/33	3.3%	1.326
28/29	3.3%	1.165	33/34	3.3%	1.370

Note: Base year is 23/24.

Appendix I Full Fee Schedule Calculations
Haines City Scenario One Proposed Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Schedule 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study Appendix I

ITE Land Use Code ⁽¹⁾	Land Use	Unit	Trip Rate (veh trips)	% New Trips	Chargeable Trip Length (mi)	Trip Length for revenue (mi)	Gross Assessable VMT/day	VMT After State/ Toll / I-4 Reduction	Needed VMC	Weighted Cost/ VMC	Total Impact Cost	County Gas Tax Credit	Net Mobility Fee	County Fee	Prior Fee (eff 12/7/23)	% Change
110/140/15t	3 Manufacturing/Light Industry/Parcel Hub	1,000 sf	4.75	92%	6.06	6.56	13.2	7.34	7.89	\$600	\$4,732	\$303	\$4,430	\$539	\$592	649%
150/154/ 155/157	Passive Warehousing/Storage	1,000 sf	1.76	92%	6.06	6.56	4.9	2.72	2.92	\$600	\$1,753	\$112	\$1,641	\$539	\$768	114%
151	Self-Storage/Mini-Warehouse	1,000 sf	1.51	92%	4.06	4.56	2.8	1.98	2.46	\$605	\$1,489	\$67	\$1,422	\$457	\$387	267%
210	Single Family < 1,200 sf	D.U.	6.73	100%	6.62	7.12	22.3	11.52	11.95	\$598	\$7,148	\$506	\$6,642	\$3,460	\$1,482	348%
210	Single Family 1,200 to 2,500 sf	D.U.	9.43	100%	6.62	7.12	31.2	16.15	16.75	\$598	\$10,015	\$709	\$9,306	\$3,460	\$1,482	528%
210	Single-Family >2,500 sf	D.U.	11.58	100%	6.60	7.10	38.2	19.77	20.50	\$598	\$12,261	\$868	\$11,393	\$3,460	\$1,482	%699
220	Multi-Family	D.U.	6.74	100%	5.12	5.62	17.2	10.84	12.52	\$602	\$7,542	\$400	\$7,142	\$2,436	\$1,021	600%
240	Mobile Home	D.U.	7.12	100%	4.70	5.20	16.7	11.13	13.32	\$604	\$8,043	\$391	\$7,653	\$1,285	\$773	890%
310/320	Hotel/Motel	Room	5.67	%69	4.86	5.36	9.5	6.33	7.58	\$604	\$4,574	\$221	\$4,352	\$1,817	\$1,382	215%
520/522/ 525	/ School	student	2.10	80%	3.31	3.81	2.8	2.16	2.90	\$608	\$1,764	\$68	\$1,696	\$260	\$499	240%
565	Day Care	1,000 sf	47.62	73%	2.20	2.70	38.0	32.34	47.90	\$611	\$29,267	\$984	\$28,283	\$1,039	\$3,438	723%
610	Hospital	1,000 sf	10.77	77%	6.43	6.93	26.5	14.68	15.78	\$600	\$9,463	\$603	\$8,861	\$1,039	n/a	ł
710	Office (>10,000 sf)	1,000 sf	10.84	92%	5.17	5.67	25.8	16.19	18.70	\$602	\$11,264	\$597	\$10,668	\$3,432	\$2,424	340%
712	Office (<=10,000 s.f.)	1,000 sf	14.39	92%	5.17	5.67	34.2	21.50	24.82	\$602	\$14,953	\$792	\$14,161	\$3,432	\$2,424	484%
720	Medical Office	1,000 sf	36.00	87%	5.37	5.87	84.1	52.87	61.04	\$602	\$36,773	\$1,941	\$34,832	\$3,432	\$5,597	522%
750	Office Park	1,000 sf	11.07	92%	5.17	5.67	26.3	16.54	19.09	\$602	\$11,503	\$609	\$10,894	\$3,432	\$1,977	451%
820	Retail (>150 ksf gla)	1,000 sf	37.01	72%	3.07	3.57	40.9	31.79	42.69	\$608	\$25,951	\$1,004	\$24,947	\$5,192	\$4,500	454%
821	Retail (40-150 ksf gla)	1,000 sf	94.49	29%	2.34	2.84	65.2	55.54	82.26	\$611	\$50,267	\$1,671	\$48,596	\$5,192	\$5,584	770%
822	Retail (<40 ksf gla)	1,000 sf	54.45	45%	1.99	2.49	24.4	21.66	34.39	\$613	\$21,082	\$644	\$20,438	\$5,192	\$8,374	144%
850	Supermarket	1,000 sf	93.84	53%	2.00	2.50	49.7	42.35	62.73	\$611	\$38,329	\$1,313	\$37,016	\$5,192	\$10,136	265%
851	Convenience Store	1,000 sf	762.28	27%	1.67	2.17	168.3	149.58	237.44	\$613	\$145,567	\$4,620	\$140,946	\$5,192	\$32,010	340%
881	Drug Store w/Drive-Through	1,000 sf	108.40	42%	2.07	2.57	47.3	40.28	59.67	\$611	\$36,459	\$1,240	\$35,219	\$5,192	\$6,976	405%
912	Bank w/ Drive-Through Lane(s)	1,000 sf	100.35	46%	2.66	3.16	61.4	50.00	70.23	\$609	\$42,795	\$1,540	\$41,255	\$5,192	\$20,237	104%
931	Restaurant-Fine Dining	1,000 sf	83.84	77%	3.23	3.73	104.3	81.03	108.81	\$608	\$66,147	\$2,542	\$63,605	\$5,192	\$11,227	467%
930/932	Resaturant-Fast Casual/High Turnover	1,000 sf	98.66	71%	3.23	3.73	113.1	87.92	118.07	\$608	\$71,774	\$2,758	\$69,016	\$5,192	\$11,227	515%
912/913/ 934	Restaurant-Fast-Food w/ Drive-Thru	1,000 sf	472.19	53%	0.96	1.46	120.1	115.66	183.59	\$613	\$112,558	\$3,857	\$108,701	\$5,192	\$38,427	183%
941	Ouick Lube	1.000 sf	69.57	72%	3.24	3.74	81.1	63.07	84.69	\$608	\$51.483	\$1.978	\$49.505	\$5.192	\$1.264	3816%
942	Auto Care Center	1,000 sf	34.56	72%	3.24	3.74	40.3	31.33	42.07	\$608	\$25,575	\$982	\$24,593	\$5,192	\$1,253	1863%
944	Gasoline Station	Fuel Pos.	172.01	23%	1.68	2.18	33.3	29.57	46.94	\$613	\$28,780	\$912	\$27,868	(3)	\$7,311	281%
Notes:																
1. Where	e more than one land use code is liste	d, the rate	is an avei	rage of t	he land uses											

Hc:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\Strategic Planning\Strategic Planning v4 for Alt 1 Fee.xlsm Csource: W.E. Oliver, P.E., LLC

3. County charges on a "per 1,000 s.f." basis, whereas City fee is by number of fueling positions.

2. After fourth County adjustment in June, 2026.



Haines City Scenario Two Proposed Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Schedule 2024 Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Study Appendix I

																														UII u
% Change	895%	184%	335%	515%	762%	955%	776%	1105%	283%	283%	787%	:	451%	631%	679%	590%	525%	838%	144%	294%	340%	444%	125%	539%	594%	183%	4318%	2114%	281%	
Prior Fee (eff 12/7/23)	\$592	\$768	\$387	\$1,482	\$1,482	\$1,482	\$1,021	\$773	\$1,382	\$499	\$3,438	n/a	\$2,424	\$2,424	\$5,597	\$1,977	\$4,500	\$5,584	\$8,374	\$10,136	\$32,010	\$6,976	\$20,237	\$11,227	\$11,227	\$38,427	\$1,264	\$1,253	\$7,311	ALE O.
County Fee	\$539	\$539	\$457	\$3,460	\$3,460	\$3,460	\$2,436	\$1,285	\$1,817	\$260	\$1,039	\$1,039	\$3,432	\$3,432	\$3,432	\$3,432	\$5,192	\$5,192	\$5,192	\$5,192	\$5,192	\$5,192	\$5,192	\$5,192	\$5,192	\$5,192	\$5,192	\$5,192	(3)	۲
Net Mobility Fee	\$5,886	\$2,181	\$1,686	\$9,115	\$12,772	\$15,637	\$8,940	\$9,317	\$5,299	\$1,914	\$30,484	\$11,773	\$13,353	\$17,726	\$43,597	\$13,636	\$28,146	\$52,375	\$20,438	\$39,898	\$140,946	\$37,960	\$45,471	\$71,759	\$77,864	\$108,701	\$55,852	\$27,745	\$27,868	
County Gas Tax Credit	\$303	\$112	\$67	\$506	\$709	\$868	\$400	\$391	\$221	\$68	\$984	\$603	\$597	\$792	\$1,941	\$609	\$1,004	\$1,671	\$644	\$1,313	\$4,620	\$1,240	\$1,540	\$2,542	\$2,758	\$3,857	\$1,978	\$982	\$912	
Total Impact Cost	\$6,189	\$2,293	\$1,753	\$9,621	\$13,481	\$16,505	\$9,340	\$9,707	\$5,520	\$1,981	\$31,468	\$12,375	\$13,949	\$18,517	\$45,538	\$14,245	\$29,150	\$54,047	\$21,082	\$41,211	\$145,567	\$39,200	\$47,011	\$74,301	\$80,622	\$112,558	\$57,830	\$28,728	\$28,780	
Neighted Cost/ VMC	\$609	\$609	\$610	\$609	\$609	\$609	\$610	\$610	\$610	\$611	\$613	\$609	\$610	\$610	\$610	\$610	\$611	\$613	\$613	\$613	\$613	\$613	\$612	\$611	\$611	\$613	\$611	\$611	\$613	
Needed VMC	10.16	3.77	2.87	15.81	22.15	27.12	15.32	15.91	9.05	3.24	51.33	20.32	22.88	30.38	74.70	23.37	47.68	88.16	34.39	67.22	237.44	63.94	76.80	121.52	131.86	183.59	94.58	46.99	46.94	
VMT After State/ Toll / I-4 Reduction	7.34	2.72	1.98	11.52	16.15	19.77	10.84	11.13	6.33	2.16	32.34	14.68	16.19	21.50	52.87	16.54	31.79	55.54	21.66	42.35	149.58	40.28	50.00	81.03	87.92	115.66	63.07	31.33	29.57	
Gross Assessable VMT/day	13.2	4.9	2.8	22.3	31.2	38.2	17.2	16.7	9.5	2.8	38.0	26.5	25.8	34.2	84.1	26.3	40.9	65.2	24.4	49.7	168.3	47.3	61.4	104.3	113.1	120.1	81.1	40.3	33.3	
Trip Length for / revenue (mi)	6.56	6.56	4.56	7.12	7.12	7.10	5.62	5.20	5.36	3.81	2.70	6.93	5.67	5.67	5.87	5.67	3.57	2.84	2.49	2.50	2.17	2.57	3.16	3.73	3.73	1.46	3.74	3.74	2.18	
Chargeable Γrip Length (mi)	6.06	6.06	4.06	6.62	6.62	6.60	5.12	4.70	4.86	3.31	2.20	6.43	5.17	5.17	5.37	5.17	3.07	2.34	1.99	2.00	1.67	2.07	2.66	3.23	3.23	0.96	3.24	3.24	1.68	
% New (92%	92%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	%69	80%	73%	77%	92%	92%	87%	92%	72%	59%	45%	53%	27%	42%	46%	77%	71%	53%	72%	72%	23%	
Trip Rate (veh trips)	4.75	1.76	1.51	6.73	9.43	11.58	6.74	7.12	5.67	2.10	47.62	10.77	10.84	14.39	36.00	11.07	37.01	94.49	54.45	93.84	762.28	108.40	100.35	83.84	99.86	472.19	69.57	34.56	172.01	
Unit	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	D.U.	D.U.	D.U.	D.U.	D.U.	Room	student	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	1,000 sf	Fuel Pos.	
Land Use	Manufacturing/Light Ind/Parcel Hub	Passive Warehousing/Storage	Self-Storage/Mini-Warehouse	Single Family < 1,200 sf	Single Family 1,200 to 2,500 sf	Single-Family >2,500 sf	Multi-Family	Mobile Home	Hotel/Motel	School	Day Care	Hospital	Offlice (>10,000 sf)	Offlice (<=10,000 s.f.)	Medical Office	Office Park	Retail (>150 ksf gla)	Retail (40-150 ksf gla)	Retail (<40 ksf gla)	Supermarket	Convenience Store	Drug Store w/Drive-Through	Bank w/ Drive-Through Lane(s)	Restaurant-Fine Dining	Resaturant-Casual/Sit-Down	Restaurant-Fast-Food w/ Drive-Thru	Quick Lube	Auto Care Center	Gasoline Station	
ITE Land Use Code ⁽¹⁾	10/140/156	150/154/ 155/157	151	210	210	210	220	240	310/320	520/522/ 555	565	610	710	712	720	750	820	821	822	850	851	881	912	931	930/932	912/913/ 934	941	942	944	

C:\WEO-PE\Projects\148.01-Haines City Mob Fee\3. Analysis\Strategic Planning\Strategic Planning v4 for Alt 2 Fee.xlsm Osource: W.E. Oliver, P.E., LLC

I-2

EXHIBIT "B" Multi-Modal Transportation Impact Fee Schedule

ITE Land Use Code(1)	Land Use	Unit	Current City Fee (eff 12/7/2024)	Unit	City Fee (eff 08/01/2025)
110/140/156	Manufacturing/Light Industry/Parcel Hub	1,000 sf	\$649.03	1,000 sf	\$3,891
150/154/155/157	Passive Warehousing/Storage	1,000 sf	\$842.71	1,000 sf	\$1,102
151	Self-Storage/Mini-Warehouse	1,000 sf	\$424.75	1,000 sf	\$965
210	Single Family < 1,200 sf	D.U.	\$1,625.95	D.U.	\$3,182
210	Single Family 1,200 to 2,500 sf	D.U.	\$1,625.95	D.U.	\$5,846
210	Single-Family >2,500 sf	D.U.	\$1,625.95	D.U.	\$7,933
220	Multi-Family	D.U.	\$1,119.65	D.U.	\$4,706
240	Mobile Home	D.U.	\$847.80	D.U.	\$6,368
310/320	Hotel/Motel	Room	\$1,515.50	Room	\$2,535
520/522/525	School	1,000 sf	\$2,190.04	Student	\$1,437
565	Day Care	1,000 sf	\$3,770.59	1,000 sf	\$27,244
610	Hospital	1,000 sf	\$2,985.18	1,000 sf	\$7,822
710	Office (>10,000 sf)	1,000 sf	\$2,658.95	1,000 sf	\$7,236
712	Office (<=10,000 s.f.)	1,000 sf	\$2,658.95	1,000 sf	\$10,729
720	Medical Office	1,000 sf	\$6,138.55	1,000 sf	\$31,400
750	Office Park	1,000 sf	\$2,167.95	1,000 sf	\$7,462
820	Retail (>150 ksf gla)	1,000 sf	\$5,521.95	1,000 sf	\$19,755
821	Retail (40-150 ksf gla)	1,000 sf	\$6,124.60	1,000 sf	\$43,404
822	Retail (<40 ksf gla)	1,000 sf	\$9,184.34	1,000 sf	\$15,246
850	Supermarket	1,000 sf	\$11,117.29	1,000 sf	\$31,824
851	Convenience Store	1,000 sf	\$35,108.02	1,000 sf	\$135,754
881	Drug Store w/Drive-Through	1,000 sf	\$7,650.67	1,000 sf	\$30,027
912	Bank w/ Drive-Through Lane(s)	1,000 sf	\$22,195.91	1,000 sf	\$36,063
931	Restaurant-Fine Dining	1,000 sf	\$12,313.72	1,000 sf	\$58,413
930/932	Resaturant-Fast Casual/High Turnover	1,000 sf	\$12,313.72	1,000 sf	\$63,824
912/913/934	Restaurant-Fast-Food w/ Drive-Thru	1,000 sf	\$42,145.85	1,000 sf	\$103,509
941	Quick Lube	Service Position	\$3,466.01	1,000 sf	\$44,313
942	Auto Care Center	Service Position	\$1,374.27	1,000 sf	\$19,401
944	Gasoline Station	Fuel Pos.	\$8,018.84	Fuel Pos.	\$27,871

Notes: 1. Where more than one land use code is listed, the rate is an average of the land uses.

3/12/2025

		City	County		Co Fee
City	Population	Portion	Portion	Total	District
Auburndale	20,186	\$0	\$3,315	\$3,315	А
Bartow	20,502	\$1 <i>,</i> 056	\$2,917	\$3 <i>,</i> 973	A,B,C
Davenport	13,630	\$462	\$3,315	\$3,777	А
Dundee	5,762	\$6,093	\$3,315	\$9,408	А
Eagle Lake	4,902	\$0	\$3,315	\$3 <i>,</i> 315	Α
Fort Meade	5,219	\$268	\$2,917	\$3,185	С
Frostproof	3,032	\$299	\$2,917	\$3,216	С
Haines City	39,514	\$5,203	\$3,315	\$8,518	Α
Highland Park	245	\$0	\$2,917	\$2,917	С
Hillcrest Heights	234	\$0	\$2,917	\$2,917	С
Lake Alfred	8,037	\$1,531	\$3,315	\$4,846	А
Lake Hamilton	1,702	\$707	\$3,315	\$4,022	Α
Lake Wales	123,760	\$2 <i>,</i> 055	\$2,917	\$4,972	С
Lakeland	17,558	\$4,316	\$3,279	\$7,595	В
Mulberry	4,483	\$0	\$3,279	\$3,279	B,C
Polk City	3,049		\$3,279	\$3,279	A,B
Winter Haven	57,923	\$0	\$3,315	\$3,315	A

Transportation Impact Fees of Municipalities in Polk County



WWW.HAINESCITY.COM

CITY MANAGER <u>MEMORANDUM</u>

То:	The Honorable Mayor and City Commissioners
Through:	James R. Elensky, City Manager
From:	Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director
Date:	May 1, 2025
Subject: Reading	Ordinance No. 25-2101 – Minor Modification to the Sand Hill Shores RPUD – First

Executive Summary

Approve Ordinance No. 25-2101, on first reading, regarding a minor modification to the RPUD for the project Sand Hill Shores RPUD.

Staff Contact: Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director

Introduction

The intent of this item is to approve Ordinance No. 25-2101, on first reading, for a minor modification to the Sand Hill Shores RPUD, regarding a reconfiguration of the lot layout, relocation of the stormwater pond, and an increase of parking areas for additional parking and to deter on-street parking

Background

Germana Engineering, P.E., on behalf of the property owner, Olympic Compass Pointe, LLC, applied for a Minor Modification to the approved Sand Hill Shores RPUD plan (Ordinance 24-2070). The property is currently improved, vacant pastures and the City Zoning classification is Residential Planned Unit Development in the North Ridge (RPUD-NR). The Polk County Property Appraiser Parcel number is 27-26-30-708000-020012, with the property size being approximately 15.43 acres.

This proposed minor modification for the planned unit development is to accommodate the relocation of the stormwater pond and reconfiguration of the lot layout. Additional parking areas have been placed throughout the project for overflow parking and the recreation areas have been reconfigured, as well.

The revised RPUD Plan continues to show the 6' high capped masonry wall, which is to be measured from the crown of the road along Sandhill Road and FDC Grove Road, as previously requested. Further, the City's Traffic Consultant, VHB, has provided City Staff with an approval letter that states:

1. The Sand Hill Shores TIA dated April of 2024, for the initially proposed 156 low-rise, multifamily dwelling units has been approved. The TIS did not identify any improvements needed to mitigate site related impacts. The applicant shall provide a copy of the approved Polk County driveway permits for the City's files.

Additionally, Polk County is currently reviewing the TIA for this project and will provide their comments as to whether any additional off-site improvements, such as turn lanes, would be needed on Sandhill Road and/or FDC Grove Road.

The property was annexed into the city limits on May 5, 2022. The Planning Commission previously heard the original zoning amendment on July 11, 2022, and was approved by the City Commission adoption the RPUD zoning by Ordinance No. 22-2014, on October 20, 2022.

The property was submitted for a Major Modification of the RPUD on February 12, 2024. The Planning Commission heard the modified zoning amendment on March 11, 2024, and it was approved by the City Commission on adoption of Ordinance No. 24-2070, on May 16, 2024.

Relevant Project Data & Proposed Standards

- Project Entrance There will be entrances on FDC Grove Road and Sand Hill Road
- □ Number of Units Maximum of 140
- □ Roadways 24 feet wide with 2' Miami curb and gutter
- Utilities Cable TV, telephone, gas, and electric to be located underground and within a 14foot utility easement on both sides of the street. Utilities to be provided by Polk County.
- □ Sidewalks 5' sidewalks installed on each side of the 24 foot wide interior streets.
- Landscaping All City codes for landscaping will be met or exceeded.
- □ Neighborhood Park/Open Space -1.90 + acres Consisting of a clubhouse, pool, tot lot and dog park.
- □ Setbacks:
- □ Front- 20 feet
- □ Garage 20 feet
- Rear 10 feet building to building/building to property line
- \Box Interior Side 10' building to building/building to street
- □ Front Side (Corner)- 15 feet
- □ Accessory 5 feet
- \Box Minimum Unit Width 28 feet corner units and 20 feet interior units

- \Box Minimum Lot Depth 100 feet
- □ Maximum Impervious Surface Ratio not to exceed 60%
- Minimum Living Space and Garage Size Units will be 3 bedrooms to 4 bedrooms: Garage is 200 SF however parking for additional vehicles is provided in the driveway and additional parking areas for other vehicles has been created throughout the development.

Development Summary

- \Box Project Size 15.43± acres
- Estimated Taxable Value \$14,000,000 (assuming 140 units @ \$100,000)
- Estimated City Tax Receipts \$106,253 (based on Estimated Taxable Value x 0.0075895 net taxable value per unit)

A 10' buffer, with a 6' high capped masonry wall, will be along FDC Grove Road and Sand Hill Road, A 10' buffer along the single-family residential areas, and a 10' buffer with 6' masonry capped wall against the outdoor storage to the east/northeast.

Organizational Goal(s)

Economic: Foster an environment that attracts economic opportunity and sustains economic viability.

Budget Impact

There will be no budget impact in the 2024-2025 Fiscal Year.

Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of Ordinance No. 25-2101, on First Reading, regarding a minor modification to the Sand Hill Shores RPUD, regarding a reconfiguration of the lot layout, and an increase of parking areas for additional parking and to deter on-street parking, with the following conditions:

- 1. Street trees shall not be removed and shall be stated in the Association documents and any other Association documents of the development
- 2. A Non-binding School Concurrency letter needs to be received prior to Site Construction Plan approval.
- 3. 6' high capped masonry wall, measured from the crown of the road, shall be installed along Sand Hill Road and FDC Grove Road.
- 4. The final approval of the traffic study from Polk County, regarding any off-site improvements that may be required on Sand Hill Road and/or FDC Grove Road. shall be received in writing and reviewed by City Staff prior to the Site Construction Plans being approved and/or released.
- 5. All other requirements set forth in Ordinance No. 22-2014, Ordinance No. 24-2070 and the LDRs shall be made part of this Ordinance No. 25-2101

ORDINANCE NO. 25-2101

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF HAINES CITY, FLORIDA; AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 03-1060, BY AMENDING THE SAND HILL SHORES TOWNHOMES RESIDENTIAL PLANNED **UNIT DEVELOPMENT IN THE NORTH RIDGE (RPUD-NR) WITH** A MINOR MODIFICATION; PROPERTY PARCELS ARE LOCATED ON THE NORTHEAST OF CORNER THE INTERSECTION OF FDC GROVE ROAD AND SAND HILL ROAD **BEING SOUTH OF HOLLY HILL GROVE ROAD, TO THE WEST** OF HWY 27, TO THE NORTH OF SAND HILL ROAD, AND TO THE EAST OF FDC GROVE, IN HAINES CITY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING **INCORPORATION** FOR OF **RECITALS: PROVIDING FOR ZONING DESIGNATION AND CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A COPY TO BE KEPT ON FILE; PROVIDING** FOR SEVERABILITY; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES IN **CONFLICT HEREWITH; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE** DATE.

WHEREAS, Chapter 163, Florida Statutes, empowers the City Commission to prepare

and enforce Land Development Regulations for the implementation of the adopted Haines City

Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission adopted Ordinance No 13-1457 Land Development

Regulations, to implement the adopted Haines City Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the adopted Haines City Land Development Regulations contain Chapter 5

Zoning, including a zoning map; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission adopted the Residential Planned Unit Development on

October 20, 2022, by Ordinance No. 22-2014; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission heard a Major Modification of the RPUD on

March 11, 2024, and forwarded a recommendation of approval to the City Commission. It was

approved by the City Commission on adoption of Ordinance No. 24-2070, on May 16, 2024; and

WHEREAS, this Minor Modification for the planned unit development is to

accommodate the relocation of the stormwater pond and reconfiguration of the lot layout; and

WHEREAS, the property consists of a gross land mass of 15.43± acres, which will

consist of a maximum of 140 lots of single-family attached (Townhomes), and is located north of

Sand Hill Road, and to the east of FDC Grove Road, in Haines City, Florida; and

WHEREAS, the property consists of the following parcel and Legal Descriptions:

Parcel ID No. 272630-708000-020012

Begin At A Point 556.70 Feet South And 30.00 Feet East Of The Nw Corner Of The Nw 1/4 Of The Se 1/4 Of Section 30, Township 26 South, Range 27 East, And Run North 71"15' East 413.32 Feet, North 11"02' East 304.16 Feet, North 89"24' East 485.70 Feet, South 41'55' West 341.00 Feet, South 28'05' East 330.00 Feet, South 0'05' East 275 Feet, North 88'21' West 414.25 Feet, South 0'05' East 355.00 Feet, More Or Less, To A Point 30 Feet North Of The South Line Of Sai D Nw 1/4 Of The Se 1/4, South 88'54' West, Parallel With Said South Line 449.74 Feet To A Point 30 Feet East Of The West Line Of Said Nw 1/4 Of The Se 1/4; Thence North Parallel With Sai D West Line, 735.10 Feet, More Or Less To The P.0.B.; Being A Portion Of Lots 1, 2, 3, 14, 15 And 16 Of Florida Development Cos Tract, As Shown By Map Or Plat Thereof Recorded In The Office Of The Clerk Of The Circuit Court In And For Polk County, Florida, In Plat Book 3, Pages 60 To 63 inclusive.

Less Maintained Right-Of-Way as Shown on Map Book 18, Pages 43 Through 61, Public Records of Polk County, Florida. CONTAINING 15.43 +/- ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

WHEREAS, in the exercise of its authority, the City Commission of the City of Haines

City, Florida has determined it necessary and desirable to amend the zoning map contained in

Chapter 5 and Chapter 6, of the Land Development Regulations, adopted originally by

Ordinance 796, and subsequently amended by Ordinance 13-1457 consistent with the public

interest within Haines City, Florida.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE

CITY OF HAINES CITY, FLORIDA:

Section 1. Incorporation of Recitals. The above recitals are true and correct and are

incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. Approving Zoning Designation to RPUD and Conditions. The City

Commission of Haines City (the "City Commission") hereby approves the Minor Modification to

the property described below as Residential Planned Unit Development in the North Ridge

(RPUD-NR) subject to the conditions stated below:

Parcel ID No. 272630-708000-020012

Begin At A Point 556.70 Feet South And 30.00 Feet East Of The Nw Corner Of The Nw 1/4 Of The Se 1/4 Of Section 30, Township 26 South, Range 27 East, And Run North 71"15' East 413.32 Feet, North 11"02' East 304.16 Feet, North 89"24' East 485.70 Feet, South 41'55' West 341.00 Feet, South 28'05' East 330.00 Feet, South 0'05' East 275 Feet, North 88'21' West 414.25 Feet, South 0'05' East 355.00 Feet, More Or Less, To A Point 30 Feet North Of The South Line Of Sai D Nw 1/4 Of The Se 1/4, South 88'54' West, Parallel With Said South Line 449.74 Feet To A Point 30 Feet East Of The West Line Of Said Nw 1/4 Of The Se 1/4; Thence North Parallel With Sai D West Line, 735.10 Feet, More Or Less To The P.0.B.; Being A Portion Of Lots 1, 2, 3, 14, 15 And 16 Of Florida Development Cos Tract, As Shown By Map Or Plat Thereof Recorded In The Office Of The Clerk Of The Circuit Court In And For Polk County, Florida, In Plat Book 3, Pages 60 To 63 inclusive. Less Maintained Right-Of-Way as Shown on Map Book 18, Pages 43 Through 61, Public Records of Polk County, Florida.

CONTAINING 15.43 +/- ACRES. MORE OR LESS.

And subject to the following Conditions:

The Residential units will consist of 140 single-family attached units (see below).

1. Zoning Standards for Townhomes (single-family attached)

- Two entrances off of Sand Hill Road and FDC Grove Road.
- Number of Units Maximum of 140.
- Roadways Minimum 24 feet wide with 2' Miami curbs.
- Utilities Cable TV, telephone, gas, and electric to be located underground and within a 14 foot utility easement on both sides of the street. Polk County will provide utilities.
- Sidewalks 5' sidewalks installed on each side of the 24-foot-wide streets.

- Landscaping All City codes for landscaping will be met or exceeded.
- Neighborhood Park Space provided 1.90± acres and will consist of a clubhouse, pool, tot lot and dog park.
- Setbacks:
 - Front- 20 feet Garage - 20 feet Rear - 10 feet building to building/building to property line Interior Side - 10' building to building/building to street Front Side - 15 feet Accessory - 5 feet
- Density 9.07 units per acre
- Impervious Surface Ratio Not to exceed 60%
- Units will be 3 bedrooms to 4 bedrooms
- Garage is 200 SF however additional parking for vehicles in driveway has been provided and parking spaces have been created throughout the development.
- 2. No parking shall be permitted on roadways and shall be stated in the Association documents and any other Association documents of the development. Signs shall be placed throughout the development for enforcement.
- 3. Street trees shall not be removed and shall be stated in the Association documents and any other Association documents of the development.
- 4. All outstanding Technical Review Board comments shall be satisfied including approved traffic study prior to Site Construction Plan Review and approval.
- 5. Non-Binding School Concurrency letter needs to be received prior to Site Construction Plan approval.
- 6. 6' high, capped masonry wall, measured from the crown of the road, shall be installed along Sand Hill Road and FDC Grove Road.
- 7. The final approval of the traffic study from Polk County, regarding any off-site improvements will be required on Sand Hill Road and/or FDC Grove Road, and shall be received in writing and reviewed by City Staff prior to the site construction plans being approved and/or released.
- 8. All other requirements set forth in Ordinance No. 22-2014, Ordinance No. 24-2070 and the LDRs shall be made part of this Ordinance No. 25-2101.

Section 3. Copy of Ordinance to be kept on file. The City Clerk shall keep and retain a

copy of this Ordinance on file.

Section 4. Severability. The provisions of this Ordinance are severable; and, if any section,

sentence, clause, or phrase is for one reason held to be unconstitutional, invalid or ineffective, this

holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance, it being expressly declared to be the City Commission's intent that it would have passed the valid portions of this Ordinance without inclusion of any invalid portion or portions.

Section 5. Repeal of Ordinance in Conflict. All other ordinances of the City of Haines City, Florida, or portions thereof which conflict with this or any part of this Ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 6. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall take effect immediately upon it being read in two meetings of the City Commission of the City of Haines City, its approval and adoption by said Commission.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED on first reading in regular session of the City Commission of the City of Haines City, this 1st day of May, 2025.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk

Omar Arroyo, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CORRECTNESS:

Fred Reilly, City Attorney

ADOPTED AND ENACTED on second reading in regular session of the City Commission of the City of Haines City, this 15th day of May, 2025.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk

Omar Arroyo, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CORRECTNESS:

Fred Reilly, City Attorney

EXHIBIT A





To: Calvin Clarke Haines City 620 East Main Street Haines City, Florida 33844 Date: April 8, 2024

Project #: 63070.43

From: Joedel Zaballero, PE, PTOE

Re: Sand Hill Shores (formerly known as Feltrim Landing) Traffic Impact Analysis and Response to Comments

As per the request of Haines City, VHB has reviewed the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for Sand Hill Shores prepared by Walsh Traffic Engineering, LLC and dated April 2024 as well as the response to comments dated April 6, 2024. We offer the following comments (these have been transmitted to the Applicant as well):

 While the trip generation for ITE land use code 220 Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise) is higher, 215 Single-Family Attached Housing would be a more appropriate land use for trip generation. Approved methodology in Appendix B uses 215.

Response: We acknowledge that LUC 215 is more appropriate. However, it should be noted that the study was based on 156 units while the development plan has been revised to restrict the development to 140 units. Based on 156 units and LUC 220, as included in the January 2024 study, the development is projected to generate 71 AM peak-hour trips and 88 PM peak-hour trips. With 140 units and based on LUC 215, the development is projected to generate 67 AM peak-hour trips and 80 PM peak-hour trips. Thus, the project trip impact included within the study is overstated by 4 AM peak-hour trips (6%) and 8 PM peak-hour trips (10%). Therefore, we respectfully request that the trip impact included within the study be accepted since it is conservatively high.

Sufficiency Comment: Trip generation is acceptable. No further comment.

2. What was the basis for the distribution percentages used in the significance table? They do not align with those utilized in the approved methodology.

Response: Adjustments to the trip distribution within the approved methodology was discussed later in the report under the "Trip Distribution" section of the study on Page 11.

Sufficiency Comment: Trip Distribution is acceptable. No further comment.

3. Please summarize the synchro results for the existing and future conditions at intersections for each movement showing the v/c, delay and LOS for the two peak periods in each scenario. For example:



Response: The requested summary tables have been included within the TIA.

Calvin Clarke Ref: 63070.43 April 8, 2024 Page 2



Sufficiency Comment: No further comment.

4. Please include 2026 background synchro analysis for the intersections.

Response: The future buildout conditions of the study roadway segments and intersections, which includes background and project trips, are shown to be highly acceptable. Therefore, it can be concluded that the future background conditions would be even better. Therefore, because background analyses would have no bearing on the conclusion that all roadways and intersections are projected to operate acceptably at buildout of the development, it is respectfully requested that this comment/request be withdrawn.

Sufficiency Comment: Comment is withdrawn since no deficiencies were identified under the build condition. No further comments.

5. Appendix G states the Future Conditions are 2024, please confirm the analysis was done for 2026.

Response: The analyses were conducted for year 2026. The Appendix G header sheet was in error and has been corrected to show "2026".

Sufficiency Comment: No further comments.

The Sand Hill Shores TIA dated April 2024 for the proposed 156 low-rise multifamily dwelling units is approved. The TIS did not identify any improvements needed to mitigate site related impacts. The applicant shall provide a copy of the approved County driveway permit for the City's files. If you have any questions or would like to discuss further, please do not hesitate to contact us.

JΖ





WWW.HAINESCITY.COM

CITY MANAGER MEMORANDUM

To: The Honorable Mayor and City Commissioners

Through: James R. Elensky, City Manager

From: Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director

Date: May 1, 2025

Subject: Ordinance No. 25-2106– Bridgemohan Property Voluntary Annexation – First Reading

Executive Summary

Approve Ordinance No. 25-2106, regarding the voluntary annexation for the Bridgemohan property located at 5283 Johnson Avenue East on first reading.

Staff Contact: Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director

Introduction

The intent of this item is to approve Ordinance No. 25-2106, regarding the voluntary annexation of property located at 5283 Johnson Avenue East, owned by Dayaram Bridgemohan.

Background

The subject parcel is 4.68 acres +/- and is located south of Johnson Avenue East. The Polk County Appraiser identifies this property as Parcel Number 27-27-25-000000-031050.

The proposed annexation complies with the provisions of Chapter 171.044, Florida Statutes.

Organizational Goal(s)

Quality of Life: Create an environment that enhances the quality of life and benefits the community culturally, recreationally and economically.

Budget Impact

There is no budget impact for fiscal year 2024-2025.

Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of Ordinance No. 25-2106, regarding the voluntary annexation of property located at 5283 Johnson Avenue East, owned by Dayaram Bridgemohan on first reading.

ORDINANCE NO. 25-2106

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF HAINES CITY FLORIDA, ANNEXING CERTAIN LAND INTO THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF HAINES CITY, FLORIDA AND REDEFINING THE BOUNDARY LINES OF THE MUNICIPALITY TO INCLUDE PROPERTY OWNED BY DAYARAM BRIDGEMOHAN LOCATED AT 5283 JOHNSON AVENUE EAST, HAINES CITY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR **INCORPORATION** OF **RECITALS:** PROVIDING FOR **PROVIDING ANNEXATION:** FOR PUBLICATION OF NOTICE OF THE PROPOSED ANNEXATION AND FILING OF A CERTIFIED COPY OF THIS ORDINANCE WITH THE CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR POLK COUNTY, FLORIDA AND WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE; **PROVIDING FOR LAND USE AND ZONING DESIGNATIONS: PROVIDING FOR A COPY TO BE KEPT ON FILE; PROVIDING** FOR SEVERABILITY; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES IN **CONFLICT HEREWITH; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE** DATE.

WHEREAS, Dayaram Bridgemohan has petitioned for a Voluntary Annexation of

property into the City of Haines City; and

WHEREAS, the said real property is presently in an unincorporated area of Polk County,

is contiguous to the City of Haines City, is reasonably compact and will not result in the creation

of an enclave; and

WHEREAS, the legal description of said real property (the "Legal Description") is defined

as follows:

N1/2 OF W1/4 OF NE1/4 OF NW1/4 LESS COUNTY RD S 580

Parcel ID: 27-27-25-000000-031050

WHEREAS, the attached map shows the parcels comprising of properties containing

approximately 4.68 +/- acres, and is located south of Johnson Avenue, is proposed to be annexed

herein by reference and is hereafter referred to as "Exhibit A"; and

WHEREAS, Section 11.04 (f - i) of the City's Charter provides for annexation; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission hereby determines that the owner of the property and the area proposed to be annexed has consented to the annexation and meets the requirements pursuant to §171.043, Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission hereby determines that incorporation of the area proposed to be annexed are deemed practical and expedient and in conformity with the overall plan for extending the boundaries of the city.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HAINES CITY, FLORIDA:

Section 1. Incorporation of Recitals. The above recitals are true and correct, and are incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. Annexation of Property. The property described above (the Legal Description) and depicted in "Exhibit A" shall be and are hereby annexed into the City of Haines City, Florida and the boundary lines of the municipality shall be redefined to include the said property.

Section 3. Notice of Proposed Annexation. Notice of the proposed annexation shall be published at least once each week for two consecutive weeks in a newspaper published in Polk County, Florida before final passage. A copy of this Ordinance shall, after adoption, be filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court and the Chief Administrative Officer in and for Polk County, Florida and with the Department of State of the State of Florida within seven (7) days after the adoption of this Ordinance.

Section 4. Land Use and Zoning. The County zoning or subdivision regulations shall remain in effect until the City adopts a zoning map amendment that includes the properties annexed.

Section 5. Codification. The ordinance shall be codified and made a part of the official Code of Ordinances or Charter of the City of Haines City.

Section 6. Severability. If any provision or portion of this Ordinance is declared by any court of competent jurisdiction to be void, unconstitutional, or unenforceable, then all remaining provisions and portions of this Ordinance shall remain in full effect.

Section 7. Repeal of Ordinance in Conflict. All other ordinances of the City of Haines City, Florida, or portions thereof which conflict with this or any part of this Ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 8. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall take effect immediately upon it being read in two meetings of the City Commission of the City of Haines City, and its approval and adoption by said Commission.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED on first reading in regular session of the City Commission of the City of Haines City, this 1st day of May, 2025.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk

__, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CORRECTNESS:

Fred Reilly, City Attorney

ADOPTED AND ENACTED on second and final reading by the City Commission of the

City of Haines City, Florida, at regular session this 15th of May, 2025.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk

_____, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CORRECTNESS:

Fred Reilly, City Attorney

"EXHIBIT A"





WWW.HAINESCITY.COM

CITY MANAGER MEMORANDUM

To: The Honorable Mayor and City Commissioners

Through: James R. Elensky, City Manager

From: Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director

Date: May 1, 2025

Subject: Ordinance No. 25-2107 – Voluntary Annexation of Joel Massey Property – First Reading

Executive Summary

Approve Ordinance No. 25-2107 regarding the voluntary annexation for the Joel Massey Property located at 64 & 68 Joel Massey Road on first reading.

Staff Contact: Richard Greenwood, Development Services Director

Introduction

The intent of this item is to approve Ordinance No. 25-2107 regarding the voluntary annexation of property located at 64 & 68 Joel Massey Road, owned by Ford Family Trust, on first reading.

Background

The subject parcel is 19.66 acres +/- and is located north of Grace Avenue, east of 30th Street S & south of Hinson Avenue, and identified by the Polk County Property Appraiser Parcel Numbers as follows: 27-27-000000-042010 & 27-27-27-000000-042100. The proposed annexation complies with the provisions of Chapter 171.044, Florida Statutes.

Organizational Goal(s)

Quality of Life: Create an environment that enhances the quality of life and benefits the community culturally, recreationally and economically.

Budget Impact

There is no budget impact for fiscal year 2024-2025.

Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of Ordinance No. 25-2107 regarding the voluntary annexation of property located at 64 & 68 Joel Massey Road, owned by Ford Family Trust, on first reading.

ORDINANCE NO. 25-2107

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF HAINES CITY FLORIDA, ANNEXING CERTAIN LAND INTO THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF HAINES CITY, FLORIDA AND REDEFINING THE BOUNDARY LINES OF THE MUNICIPALITY TO INCLUDE PROPERTY OWNED BY THE FORD FAMILY TRUST AND LOCATED NORTH OF GRACE AVENUE, EAST OF 30th STREET SOUTH AND SOUTH OF HINSON AVENUE, HAINES CITY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR INCORPORATION OF RECITALS; PROVIDING FOR **ANNEXATION:** PROVIDING FOR PUBLICATION OF NOTICE OF THE PROPOSED ANNEXATION AND FILING OF A CERTIFIED COPY OF THIS ORDINANCE WITH THE CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR POLK COUNTY, FLORIDA AND WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE; **PROVIDING FOR LAND USE AND ZONING DESIGNATIONS; PROVIDING FOR A COPY TO BE KEPT ON FILE; PROVIDING** FOR SEVERABILITY; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES IN **CONFLICT HEREWITH; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE** DATE.

WHEREAS, Quiddity Engineering, on behalf of the property owner, Ford Family Trust,

has petitioned for a Voluntary Annexation of property into the City of Haines City; and

WHEREAS, the said real property is presently in an unincorporated area of Polk County,

is contiguous to the City of Haines City, is reasonably compact and will not result in the creation

of an enclave; and

WHEREAS, the legal description of said real property (the "Legal Description") is defined

as follows:

27-27-27-000000-042010

N1/2 OF SE1/4 OF SW1/4 LESS BEG AT SE COR OF N1/2 OF SE1/4 OF SW1/4 RUN N 104 FT W 208 FT S 104 FT E 208 FT TO POB & LESS MAINT R/W & LESS N 328.59 FT & LESS ADDNL RD R/W PER MB 27 PG 76

27-27-27-000000-042100

N 328.59 FT OF N1/2 OF SE1/4 OF SW1/4 LESS MAINT R/W & LESS ADDNL RD R/W PER MB 27 PG 76

WHEREAS, the attached map shows the parcels comprising of properties containing approximately 19.66 +/- acres, and is located north of Grace Avenue, east of 30th Street S & south of Hinson Avenue, is proposed to be annexed herein by reference and is hereafter referred to as Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, Section 11.04 (f - i) of the City's Charter provides for annexation; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission hereby determines that the owner of the property and the area proposed to be annexed has consented to the annexation and meets the requirements pursuant to §171.043, Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission hereby determines that incorporation of the area proposed to be annexed are deemed practical and expedient and in conformity with the overall plan for extending the boundaries of the city.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HAINES CITY, FLORIDA:

Section 1. Incorporation of Recitals. The above recitals are true and correct, and are incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. Annexation of Property. The property described above (the Legal Description) and depicted in Exhibit "A" shall be and are hereby annexed into the City of Haines City, Florida and the boundary lines of the municipality shall be redefined to include the said property.

Section 3. Notice of Proposed Annexation. Notice of the proposed annexation shall be published at least once each week for two consecutive weeks in a newspaper published in Polk County, Florida before final passage. A copy of this Ordinance shall, after adoption, be filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court and the Chief Administrative Officer in and for Polk County, Florida

and with the Department of State of the State of Florida within seven (7) days after the adoption of this Ordinance.

Section 4. Land Use and Zoning. The County zoning or subdivision regulations shall remain in effect until the City adopts a zoning map amendment that includes the properties annexed.

Section 5. Codification. The ordinance shall be codified and made a part of the official Code of Ordinances or Charter of the City of Haines City.

Section 6. Severability. If any provision or portion of this Ordinance is declared by any court of competent jurisdiction to be void, unconstitutional, or unenforceable, then all remaining provisions and portions of this Ordinance shall remain in full effect.

Section 7. Repeal of Ordinance in Conflict. All other ordinances of the City of Haines City, Florida, or portions thereof which conflict with this or any part of this Ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 8. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall take effect immediately upon it being read in two meetings of the City Commission of the City of Haines City, and its approval and adoption by said Commission.

INTRODUCED AND PASSED on first reading in regular session of the City Commission of the City of Haines City, this 1st day of May, 2025.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk

_____, Mayor-Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CORRECTNESS:

Fred Reilly, City Attorney

ADOPTED AND ENACTED on second and final reading by the City Commission of the

City of Haines City, Florida, at regular session this 15th of May, 2025.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Sharon Lauther, MMC, City Clerk _____, Mayor-Commissioner

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CORRECTNESS:

Fred Reilly, City Attorney
Exhibit "A"





WWW.HAINESCITY.COM

CITY MANAGER MEMORANDUM

To: The Honorable Mayor and City Commissioners

Through: James R. Elensky, City Manager

From: Omar DeJesus, CPA, Finance Director

Date: May 1, 2025

Subject: Ordinance No. 25-2110 Fiscal Year 2024-2025 Budget Amendment No 2 – First Reading

Executive Summary

Approve Ordinance No. 25-2110 on first reading which will amend the budget for fiscal year ending September 30, 2025 to assign excess funds for carryover projects pursuant to Florida Statute requirements.

Introduction

The intent of this item is to adopt an Ordinance for the reallocating and re-appropriating of monies for the fiscal year 2024-2025 budget. This has been approved by the CRA Board

Background

Florida Law requires the City allocate and appropriate any new funds received and/or funds transferred that were not previously appropriated in the budget. The attached Exhibit A of the Ordinance details the revenues, funding sources and how the funds will be expended.

Organizational Goal(s)

Financial: Develop and maintain fiscal policies based on program and performance measures while engaging community involvement.

Budget Impact

There is overall budget impact is \$367,000 specifically to the CRA Fund.

Recommendation

Staff recommends adoption of the Ordinance on first reading for the purposes of reallocating and reappropriating monies for the Fiscal Year 2024-25 budget.

City of Haines City Fiscal Year 2024-2025 Budget Amendment #2

	CRA							
Revenue		102-00-38-9-0-90-00	UNDESIGNATED FUND BALANCE	\$ 3,769,860.00 \$			\$ 367,000.00 \$	4,136,860.00
Expense	Parks	102-10-57-2-6-63-10		 3,044,475.00	108,5(00.00		3,152,975.00
	Parks	102-10-57-2-6-63-10	JJ Smith Aquatic Heater & Chiller		108,50	00.00		108,500.00
	District 47	102-47-55-9-6-63-10		·	258,5(00.00		258,500.00
	District 47	102-47-55-9-6-63-10	Multimodal Facility Planning		203,5(00.00		203,500.00
	District 47	102-47-55-9-6-63-10	Marquee - Hinson		55,00	00.00		55,000.00
			CRA FUND TOTALS		367,00	00.00	367,000.00	

Justification: To assign CRA Excess funds per Florida Statute 163.387(7)

Approved BY:

7 D City Manager ٢ C

0 Finance birector 1 Date C 20

ORDINANCE NO. 25-2110

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF HAINES CITY, FLORIDA; PERTAINING TO THE BUDGET; REALLOCATING AND REAPPROPRIATING MONIES FOR EXPENDITURES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2024-2025; PROVIDING FOR ALL OTHER ITEMS IN THE BUDGET OF EXPENSES TO REMAIN UNCHANGED; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on September 19, 2024, the City of Haines City adopted Ordinance No. 24-2086 appropriating and allocating monies for the payment of municipal expenditures as designated in the Budget of Expenses adopted by the City for the fiscal year 2024-2025; and

WHEREAS, due to unanticipated municipal activities and additional revenues, it has become necessary to reallocate and reappropriate certain budgeted expenditures.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HAINES CITY, FLORIDA:

Section 1. Reappropriated Revenue. The revenue received by the City of Haines City, Florida, from the sources of revenue identified in Exhibit "A" and not otherwise allocated or pledged are hereby reappropriated and redesignated for the payment of expenditures incident to the operation of the said City in all governmental functions and capacities, as the same are designated, adjusted and set forth in the reallocation of funds for the fiscal year 2024-2025, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and made part hereof by reference.

Section 2. All Other Budget Expenses to Remain Unchanged. All other provisions of Ordinance No. 24-2086, and the 2024-2025 budget of expenses which are not in conflict with this or any part of this Ordinance shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

Section 3. <u>Repeal of Ordinances in Conflict.</u> All other ordinances of Haines City or portions thereof which conflict with this or any part of this Ordinance are hereby repealed.

<u>Section 4.</u> <u>Severability</u>. If any provision of this Ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect the other provisions or applications of this Ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions or application, and to this end the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby declared severable.

Section 5. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its passage as a non-emergency ordinance at two scheduled meetings of the City Commission of the City of Haines City, upon its approval and adoption by said Commission, and when certified as to passage.

PASSED AND ENACTED by the City Commission of the City of Haines City, this 1st day of May 2025.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Sharon Lauther, City Clerk

_____, Mayor

Fred Reilly, City Attorney

PASSED AND ENACTED by the City Commission of the City of Haines City, this 15th day of May 2024.

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

_

Sharon Lauther, City Clerk

_____, Mayor

Fred Reilly, City Attorney